

**1. GUARDIANSHIP OF BRYCE F. PP-20140033****Petition to Terminate Guardianship**

The ward's father petitions to terminate the guardianship. The guardians (the paternal grandparents), the ward's mother, the ward (age 14), and the maternal grandfather executed and signed item number 11 to the petition, consenting to the termination and waiving service and notice.

The best interest of the child is the sole criterion for termination of a guardianship. (Guardianship of L.V. (2006) 136 Cal.App.4th 481, 491.) The Third District Court of Appeal stated the following concerning a claim that parental fitness alone is a sufficient ground for termination of a guardianship: "The parents claim that because they are fit parents—i.e., they can provide adequate food, clothing, shelter, and guidance for the minor—they are entitled to have the guardianship terminated and the minor returned to their custody. This is not the statutory standard in California law. As we will explain, it is the best interest of the child that governs whether the trial court should terminate a guardianship." (Id. at pp. 488–489.)

The Third District stated in relation to the 2002 amendment of Probate Code § 1601 concerning the standard for termination of a guardianship: "In short, the Legislature agreed with the holding in Kassandra H. [(1998) 64 Cal.App.4th 1228, 1238] that 'continuity and stability in a child's life most certainly count for something' [citation] ... and found that, in the absence of proof to the contrary, removing a child from what has been a stable, continuous, and successful placement is detrimental to the child. (Fam. Code § 3041, subs. (c), (d).) And in that circumstance, a finding of parental unfitness is not necessary to a finding of detriment to the child. (Fam. Code § 3041, subd. (c).)" (Guardianship of L.V., supra, 136 Cal.App.4th at p. 491.)

The parties entitled to notice all waived their right to service and notice of the hearing. (Prob. Code §§ 1460(a) and (b)(5), 1510(c), and 1601.) The maternal grandmother is deceased.

The court investigator recommends that the petition be granted.

**TENTATIVE RULING # 1: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 1:30 P.M. ON WEDNESDAY, MAY 18, 2022, IN DEPARTMENT EIGHT.**

**2. GUARDIANSHIP OF ANAYAH S. PP-20210008**

**Annual Status Review Hearing**

Letters of Guardianship of the person were issued May 3, 2021. The annual guardianship status report (Judicial Council Form GC-251) concerning the ward was not submitted by the guardians.

**TENTATIVE RULING # 2: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 1:30 P.M. ON WEDNESDAY, MAY 18, 2022, IN DEPARTMENT EIGHT.**

**3. GUARDIANSHIP OF CHRISTIAN T. & JESUS S. PP-20200082**

**Annual Status Review Hearing**

Letters of Guardianship of the person were issued April 15, 2021. The annual guardianship status reports (Judicial Council Form GC-251) concerning the two wards were submitted by the guardian. Having read and considered the reports, the court finds that the guardianship shall continue.

**TENTATIVE RULING # 3: THE GUARDIANSHIP SHALL CONTINUE. THE COURT SETS THE NEXT ANNUAL STATUS REVIEW HEARING AT 1:30 P.M. ON WEDNESDAY, MAY 17, 2023, IN DEPARTMENT EIGHT.**

**4. GUARDIANSHIP OF JACOB C. & NATALIE C. PP-20160151**

**Annual Status Review Hearing**

Letters of Guardianship of the person were issued October 17, 2017. The annual guardianship status reports (Judicial Council Form GC-251) concerning the two wards were not submitted by the guardians.

**TENTATIVE RULING # 4: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 1:30 P.M. ON WEDNESDAY, MAY 18, 2022, IN DEPARTMENT EIGHT.**

**5. GUARDIANSHIP OF SEAN W. PP-20180145**

**Hearing Re: Establishment of Guardianship in Texas**

This matter was continued from January 5, 2022, and March 16, 2022.

To date, there is no copy of a Texas court order in the court's file verifying that a guardianship in Texas has been established.

**TENTATIVE RULING # 5: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 1:30 P.M. ON WEDNESDAY, MAY 18, 2022, IN DEPARTMENT EIGHT.**