

1. ESTATE OF SAMANIEGO PP-20180051

Review Hearing Re: Status of Administration.

The order of final distribution was entered on October 2, 2019. At the hearing on July 15, 2021 the court was advised that one of the beneficiaries lost capacity and resides out of state; and the parties were attempting to take action in New York to get authority for someone to be able to sign on his behalf. The court continued the hearing to this date. The court has not received any further word about any progress in obtaining a court order granting someone authority to execute documents on behalf of the beneficiary who lacks capacity.

A receipt for payment of a settlement agreement in full executed by Traelynn Lewis' counsel was filed on April 26, 2021. The settlement related to the creditor's claim by Traelynn Lewis described in paragraph 19 of the Final Report of Administration on Waiver of Account filed on July 17, 2021, which resulted in a promissory note for \$100,000 being executed that was secured by the estate's real property .

There are no receipts of distribution in the court's file and no ex parte Petition for Final Discharge (Judicial Council Form DE-295.) in the court's file.

TENTATIVE RULING # 1: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 8:30 A.M. ON WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 29, 2021 IN DEPARTMENT EIGHT. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO APPEAR BY ZOOM PLEASE CONTACT THE COURT AT tdavy@eldoradocourt.org AND MEETING INFORMATION WILL BE PROVIDED.

2. MATTER OF THE ISAAC YOON SPECIAL NEEDS TRUST PP-20180198

Review Hearing Re: 3rd Account and Report.

At the hearing on June 3, 2021 the court questioned how \$5,603.40 in donations to Goodwill is a special need. Counsel declares and the trustee verifies that the donations were simply gestures of good faith for their community and the sum has been returned to the Trust account by the trustee.

Schedule C – Disbursements was deficient in that it did not specify what the disbursements paid for and only itemizes electronic transfers of cash in the total amount of \$99,822. Schedule G – Cash Transfers Purchase Details itemizes specific expenditures that amount to \$102,735.25, while the Schedule C EFTs amount to \$99,822.

On August 26, 2021, the personal representative's counsel filed a declaration which explains: the personal representative has repaid the donation amount to the Trust; and the discrepancy between \$99,822 in EFT transfers and \$102,735.25 in detailed purchases was caused by the trustee, the beneficiary's mother, using her own private funds in the sum of \$2,913.25 to purchase the items in addition to the trust monies transferred to her.

From this point forward, the trustee needs to avoid co-mingling her funds with trust funds and the trustee must use the checking accounts held in the name of the trust for disbursements of funds from the special needs trust directly to the parties that the funds are disbursed to and not use electronic funds transfers to the trustee as a means to pay trust expenses and disburse funds for the beneficiary's special needs.

TENTATIVE RULING # 2: THE THIRD ACCOUNT OF TRUSTEE IS ALLOWED, SETTLED, APPROVED AND CONFIRMED. THE TRUSTEE'S ATTORNEY FEES ARE FIXED AND PAYMENT ALLOWED AS REQUESTED. BOND IS SET IN THE AMOUNT OF \$5,284,

918.20 AS REQUESTED. THE COURT SETS A REVIEW HEARING RE: FOURTH ACCOUNT FOR 8:30 A.M. ON WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 19, 2022 IN DEPARTMENT EIGHT.

3. ESTATE OF WALLACE P-20180214

Review Hearing Re: Status of Administration.

Letters Testamentary were issued on September 7, 2017. The case was transferred to the El Dorado County Superior Court. The Final Inventory and Appraisal was filed on December 9, 2019. At the hearing on July 14, 2021 the personal representative was directed to file a Final Account and Report. There is no Final Account and Request for Order of Final Distribution in the court's file.

TENTATIVE RULING # 3: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 8:30 A.M. ON WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 29, 2021 IN DEPARTMENT EIGHT. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO APPEAR BY ZOOM PLEASE CONTACT THE COURT AT tdavy@eldoradocourt.org AND MEETING INFORMATION WILL BE PROVIDED.

4. ESTATE OF KURTZ PP-20190062

(1) 1st and Final Account.

(2) Review Hearing Re: Status of Administration.

The report and petition seeks the court to fix and allow ordinary compensation for the personal representative and counsel, which is calculated by using the appraised value of the assets of the estate without deduction for a loss of \$95,000 on the sale of the estate's residence.

"For the purposes of this section, the value of the estate accounted for by the personal representative is the total amount of the appraisal value of property in the inventory, plus gains over the appraisal value on sales, plus receipts, less losses from the appraisal value on sales, without reference to encumbrances or other obligations on estate property." (Emphasis added.)
(Probate Code, § 10800(b).)

The sum to apply the statutory fee schedule is \$376,500 and not \$471,500. Should the petition be granted, the court is inclined to fix and allow payment of ordinary fees of \$10,530 each.

Counsel responded by declaration stating that counsel expended 6.7 hours on services outside the ordinary service, which justified fixing and allowing payment of attorney extraordinary fees in the amount of \$2,345, however, counsel only requests \$1,900 in extraordinary compensation, thereby bringing the requested attorney fees to a total amount of \$12,430 requested in the petition and report. The problem is that the petition, account and report did not request attorney extraordinary fees (See 1st and Final Account, page 11, lines 14-15.) and there are two beneficiaries entitled to notice of this new request for attorney extraordinary fees.

The Final Account and Report states at paragraph 19 that no creditor claims were filed with the court and, thus, no claims were rejected. Wells Fargo Bank filed a creditor's claim in the amount of \$13,120.69 on September 3, 2019; and U.S. Bank National Association filed a creditor's claim in the amount of \$3,299.29 on July 8, 2019.

A satisfaction and release of creditor's claim in the amount of \$989.79 executed by an authorized representative of U.S. Bank was filed on September 15, 2021. It states that the amount is received in payment of the claim filed in the action.

One creditor's claim remaining outstanding, the administration of the estate does not appear to be in a position to be closed until these claims are resolved.

At the hearing on August 25, 2021, the personal representative was directed to amend the petition. There was no amendment to the petition in the court's file at the time this ruling was prepared.

TENTATIVE RULING # 4: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 8:30 A.M. ON WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 29, 2021 IN DEPARTMENT EIGHT. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO APPEAR BY ZOOM PLEASE CONTACT THE COURT AT tdavy@eldoradocourt.org AND MEETING INFORMATION WILL BE PROVIDED.

5. ESTATE OF DESIN PP-20190211

Review Hearing Re: Status of Administration.

The beneficiaries waive the accounting requirement.

The assets of the estate were valued at \$29,552.58. The personal representative seeks reimbursement for legal expenses of \$4,378 in administering the estate. The petition does not describe the legal services provided to the personal representative and the claim does not break the request down to ordinary and extraordinary attorney fees. The statutory ordinary fees paid to an attorney in a probate administration where the total assets of the estate are appraised as \$29,552.58 is \$1,182.10, not \$4,378. "Subject to the provisions of this part, for ordinary services the attorney for the personal representative shall receive compensation based on the value of the estate accounted for by the personal representative, as follows: ¶ (1) Four percent on the first one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000)...." (Probate Code, § 10810(a)(1).) Absent further explanation, the court can only allow reimbursement of \$1,182.10 for the personal representative's attorney fees incurred during administration of the estate.

There is no proof of service of notice of the hearing and a copy of the petition on will beneficiary Lucas Desin. The court can not rule on the petition until it has adequate proof of service of notice of the hearing and a copy of the petition on Lucas Desin in the court's file.

At the prior hearing the personal representative was directed to amend the petition to explain the legal fees. There is no amendment and no explanation of the fees sought. In addition, there is the issue of the lack of proof of service on will beneficiary Lucas Desin in the court's file.

TENTATIVE RULING # 5: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 8:30 A.M. ON WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 29, 2021 IN DEPARTMENT EIGHT. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO

APPEAR BY ZOOM PLEASE CONTACT THE COURT AT tdavy@eldoradocourt.org AND
MEETING INFORMATION WILL BE PROVIDED.

6. ESTATE OF GENOUD PP-20190251

Review Hearing Re: Status of Administration.

Letters of Administration were issued on April 15, 2020. The Final Inventory and Appraisal was filed on September 29, 2020.

At the hearing on July 14, 2021 the personal representative's counsel explained that purchases were made after decedent's death by a family member that they are attempting to sort out. The court continued the hearing to September 29, 2021.

The court has not received any further word concerning the status of working out the issue with the family member and there is no Final Account and Request for Order of Final Distribution in the court's file.

TENTATIVE RULING # 6: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 8:30 A.M. ON WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 29, 2021 IN DEPARTMENT EIGHT. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO APPEAR BY ZOOM PLEASE CONTACT THE COURT AT tdavy@eldoradocourt.org AND MEETING INFORMATION WILL BE PROVIDED.

7. ESTATE OF FELTS PP-20200050

Review Hearing Re: Inventory and Appraisal.

While the court ordered the will admitted to probate and appointed the personal representative on January 6, 2021, no letters testamentary were issued as the personal representative failed to submit letters to the court for approval. This needs to be corrected.

There is no Final Inventory and Appraisal in the court's file.

TENTATIVE RULING # 7: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 8:30 A.M. ON WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 29, 2021 IN DEPARTMENT EIGHT. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO APPEAR BY ZOOM PLEASE CONTACT THE COURT AT tdavy@eldoradocourt.org AND MEETING INFORMATION WILL BE PROVIDED.

8. ESTATE OF LUTZ PP-20200052

Review Hearing Re: Status of Administration.

Letters of Administration with will annexed were issued on June 19, 2020. The Final Inventory and Appraisal filed on October 3, 2020. There is no Final Account and Report in the court's file.

TENTATIVE RULING # 8: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 8:30 A.M. ON WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 29, 2021 IN DEPARTMENT EIGHT. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO APPEAR BY ZOOM PLEASE CONTACT THE COURT AT tdavy@eldoradocourt.org AND MEETING INFORMATION WILL BE PROVIDED.

9. ESTATE OF LANCE PP-20200114

Review Hearing Re: Status of Administration.

Letters of Administration were issued on September 30, 2020. The Final Inventory and Appraisal was filed on January 26, 2021. There is no Final Account and Request for Order of Final Distribution in the court's file.

TENTATIVE RULING # 9: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 8:30 A.M. ON WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 29, 2021 IN DEPARTMENT EIGHT. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO APPEAR BY ZOOM PLEASE CONTACT THE COURT AT tdavy@eldoradocourt.org AND MEETING INFORMATION WILL BE PROVIDED.

10. ESTATE OF MILLER PP-20200135

Review Hearing Re: Status of Administration.

The order of final distribution was entered on June 16, 2021. There is no receipt of distribution in the court's file and no ex parte Petition for Final Discharge (Judicial Council Form DE-295.) in the court's file.

TENTATIVE RULING # 10: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 8:30 A.M. ON WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 29, 2021 IN DEPARTMENT EIGHT. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO APPEAR BY ZOOM PLEASE CONTACT THE COURT AT tdavy@eldoradocourt.org AND MEETING INFORMATION WILL BE PROVIDED.

11. MATTER OF THE LUTZ FAMILY REVOCABLE TRUST PP-20200202

Hearing Re: Review of Conditions of Settlement.

At the MSC on June 24, 2021 the parties reached a global settlement of all issues that was placed on the record in open court and each of the parties indicated their agreement with the settlement agreement on the record in open court. The court reserved jurisdiction to enforce the settlement pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure, § 664.6 and set this review hearing. The court specified that if a notice of settlement was filed, the hearing would be vacated. There is no notice of settlement in the court's file.

TENTATIVE RULING # 11: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 8:30 A.M. ON WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 29, 2021 IN DEPARTMENT EIGHT. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO APPEAR BY ZOOM PLEASE CONTACT THE COURT AT tdavy@eldoradocourt.org AND MEETING INFORMATION WILL BE PROVIDED.

12. ESTATE OF KENNY PP-20200237

Review Hearing Re: Inventory and Appraisal.

Letters Testamentary were issued on February 19, 2021. Although an Inventory and Appraisal was filed on September 9, 2021, which indicates it is a Final Inventory and Appraisal, it also states in paragraph 3 that the inventoried property is only a portion of the estate assets, thereby making it a partial inventory and appraisal. This needs to be explained. In addition, although the Inventory and Appraisal states that Attachment 1 property was appraised as being worth \$13,157.15, there is no Attachment 1 in the court's file.

TENTATIVE RULING # 12: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 8:30 A.M. ON WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 29, 2021 IN DEPARTMENT EIGHT. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO APPEAR BY ZOOM PLEASE CONTACT THE COURT AT tdavy@eldoradocourt.org AND MEETING INFORMATION WILL BE PROVIDED.

13. ESTATE OF ROWE PP-20200242

- (1) Review Hearing Re: Inventory and Appraisal.
- (2) 1st and Final Report on Waiver of Account and Petition for Settlement and for Waiver of Personal Representative Compensation.

TENTATIVE RULING # 13: THE AMENDED FINAL INVENTORY AND APPRAISAL HAVING BEEN FILED ON AUGUST 4, 2021, THE REVIEW HEARING RE: INVENTORY AND APPRAISAL IS DROPPED FROM THE CALENDAR. THE PETITION IS GRANTED. FEES ARE FIXED AND PAYMENT ALLOWED AS REQUESTED. REIMBURSEMENT FOR COSTS ADVANCED IS ALLOWED AS REQUESTED. THE COURT ORDERS THAT THE PAYMENT OF SUCH FEES AND REIMBURSEMENT OF COSTS WILL BE SECURED BY A LIEN PLACED ON THE ESTATE'S REAL PROPERTY DISTRIBUTED TO DECEDENT'S SOLE HEIR. FINAL DISTRIBUTION IS ORDERED AS REQUESTED. THE COURT CONFIRMS THE REVIEW HEARING RE: STATUS OF ADMINISTRATION SET FOR 8:30 A.M. ON WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 16, 2022 IN DEPARTMENT EIGHT AT WHICH TIME THE COURT ANTICIPATES THAT AN EX PARTE PETITION FOR FINAL DISCHARGE (JUDICIAL COUNCIL FORM DE-295.) WILL BE SUBMITTED THAT STATES THE ORDER OF FINAL DISTRIBUTION OR A PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE'S DEED WAS RECORDED CONCERNING THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE REAL PROPERTY AND THAT NO RECEIPTS OF DISTRIBUTION ARE REQUIRED, BECAUSE THE PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE IS THE SOLE DISTRIBUTE.

14. ESTATE OF PEARSON PP-20210041

Review Hearing Re: Inventory and Appraisal.

TENTATIVE RULING # 14: THE FINAL INVENTORY AND APPRAISAL HAVING BEEN
FILED ON JULY 27, 2021, THIS MATTER IS DROPPED FROM THE CALENDAR.

15. ESTATE OF KESTNER PP-20210071

Review Hearing Re: Inventory and Appraisal.

Letters Testamentary were issued on May 26, 2021. There is no Final Inventory and Appraisal in the court's file.

TENTATIVE RULING # 15: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 8:30 A.M. ON WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 29, 2021 IN DEPARTMENT EIGHT. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO APPEAR BY ZOOM PLEASE CONTACT THE COURT AT tdavy@eldoradocourt.org AND MEETING INFORMATION WILL BE PROVIDED.

16. MATTER OF THE LORENE L. STURGESS TRUST PP-20210142**Petition to Appoint Fiduciary a Trustee.**

The verified petition alleges: settlor Lorene Sturgess passed away on October 25, 2020; Robert Sturgess was the sole residual beneficiary of The Lorene L. Sturgess Trust (Lorene's Trust) and sole named successor trustee of the Trust; Robert Sturgess was entitled to outright distribution of all assets of Lorene's Trust; Robert Sturgess passed away on January 30, 2021; the administration of Lorene's Trust was not completed; Robert Sturgess created his own revocable trust in 2006 (Robert's Trust) and executed a pour-over will; Frederick Lee is named as the successor Trustee of Robert's Trust and executor of the pour-over will; on April, 21, 2021 Mr. Lee initiated probate proceedings on the pour-over will in case number PP-20210089; the office of trustee of Lorene's Trust remains vacant and there is no alternate trustee named in the Trust instrument; petitioner is informed and believes that the assets in Lorene's Trust as of her death are valued as being worth \$4.2 Million, consisting of real property and stocks; petitioner is also informed that the trustee had not filed state or federal income tax returns; and the administration of Lorene's Trust is stalled due to the lack of a trustee, which has also stalled the administration of the Roberts Trust and probate proceeding.

Petitioner requests that professional fiduciary Shannon Downs be appointed trustee of Lorene's Trust to serve without bond. Petitioner states she has met and conferred with trustee/executor Frederick Lee, they agree to the appointment, and Shannon Downs has agreed to appointment.

"There is a vacancy in the office of trustee in any of the following circumstances: ¶ * * * (d) The trustee dies..." (Probate Code, § 15643(d).)

“Except as provided in Section 15800, a trustee or beneficiary of a trust may petition the court under this chapter concerning the internal affairs of the trust or to determine the existence of the trust.” (Probate Code, § 17200(a).) “Proceedings concerning the internal affairs of a trust include, but are not limited to, proceedings for any of the following purposes: ¶
* * * (10) Appointing or removing a trustee.” (Probate Code, § 17200(b)(10).)

“If the trust has no trustee or if the trust instrument requires a vacancy in the office of a cotrustee to be filled, the vacancy shall be filled as provided in this section.” (Probate Code, § 15660(a).)

“If the trust instrument provides a practical method of appointing a trustee or names the person to fill the vacancy, the vacancy shall be filled as provided in the trust instrument.” (Probate Code, § 15660(b).)

“If the vacancy in the office of trustee is not filled as provided in subdivision (b) or (c), on petition of any interested person or any person named as trustee in the trust instrument, the court may, in its discretion, appoint a trustee to fill the vacancy. If the trust provides for more than one trustee, the court may, in its discretion, appoint the original number or any lesser number of trustees. In selecting a trustee, the court shall give consideration to any nomination by the beneficiaries who are 14 years of age or older.” (Probate Code, § 15660(d).)

In the absence of objections it appears appropriate under the circumstances presented to appoint professional fiduciary Shannon Downs as successor trustee of the Lorene L. Sturgess Trust.

- Trustee Bond

“A trustee is not required to give a bond to secure performance of the trustee's duties, unless any of the following circumstances occurs: ¶
* * * (3) An individual who is not named as

a trustee in the trust instrument is appointed as a trustee by the court..." (Probate Code, § 15602(a)(3).)

"A trustee bond is required when the court appoints an individual other than one named in the trust instrument, the trust instrument requires a bond, or the court determines a bond is necessary to protect beneficiaries' interests. (Prob.Code, § 15602, subd. (a).)" (Estate of Cibulk (1998) 67 Cal.App.4th 690, 692.)

Should the petition be granted, the court will set bond in the amount of \$4.2 Million.

Although there are no oppositions or objections in the court's file, an objection can be made at any time and even orally at the hearing. (Probate Code, § 1043.) Therefore, appearances are required.

TENTATIVE RULING # 16: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 8:30 A.M. ON WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 29, 2021 IN DEPARTMENT EIGHT. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO APPEAR BY ZOOM PLEASE CONTACT THE COURT AT tdavy@eldoradocourt.org AND MEETING INFORMATION WILL BE PROVIDED.

17. MATTER OF THE JANE P. HOWELL TRUST PP-20210143

Petition for Order Confirming Validity of Revocable Trust.

TENTATIVE RULING # 17: THIS MATTER IS CONTINUED TO 8:30 A.M. ON WEDNESDAY,
OCTOBER 27, 2021 IN DEPARTMENT EIGHT.

18. ESTATE OF HARNEY PP-20210198

Petition to Appoint Special Administrator.

Decedent's sister petitions to be appointed special administrator apparently to administer the general probate of decedent's attached holographic will. General administration of the estate and admission of a will to probate is not the proper subject of a special administration of an estate.

"If circumstances of the estate require the immediate appointment of a personal representative, the court may appoint a special administrator to exercise any powers that may be appropriate under the circumstances for the preservation of the estate." (Probate Code, § 8540(a).) "The appointment may be for a specified term, to perform particular acts, or on any other terms specified in the court order." (Probate Code, § 8540(b).)

"The object of special administration is, of course, 'to preserve the estate until general letters testamentary or of administration are granted, and the executor or administrator empowered to take charge of it'. *Estate of Heaton*, 142 Cal. 116, 75 P. 662. It should be borne in mind that no one as yet has become entitled to take possession of the estate under general letters. As said in *Estate of Heaton*, supra, 142 Cal. at page 118, 75 P. at page 662, 'The policy and purpose of the law is to give the court complete and continuous jurisdiction over such estate by special administration as long as there is no person entitled to take charge of it under a grant of general letters, whether the delay is occasioned through litigation * * * or from any other cause'." (O'Bryan v. Superior Court of Los Angeles County (1941) 18 Cal.2d 490, 494.)

Petitioners needs to file a petition for general administration and to admit the purported holographic will to probate.

The original holographic will has not been lodged with the court. This needs to be remedied.

The holographic will has not been proven as an authenticated copy of the holographic will has not been attached to the Judicial Council form proof of holographic will (Form DE-135.). The Proof of Subscribing witness declaration (Judicial Council Form DE-131.) suffers from the same defect.

“At least 15 days before the hearing of a petition for administration of a decedent's estate, the petitioner shall serve notice of the hearing by mail or personal delivery on all of the following persons: (a) Each heir of the decedent, so far as known to or reasonably ascertainable by the petitioner. (b) Each devisee, executor, and alternative executor named in any will being offered for probate, regardless of whether the devise or appointment is purportedly revoked in a subsequent instrument.” (Probate Code, § 8110.)

Petitioner, decedent's sister, is named in the purported holographic will as the sole beneficiary if decedent's estate as he left her all his worldly belongings. On September 14, 2021 petitioner filed page 2 of Judicial Council Form DE-120, which declares that a DE-120 notice of hearing form and a copy of the unspecified document referred to in Item 1 of the first page of the notice form were served by mail on decedent's four daughters. The failure to provide the first page of the notice renders the proof of service fatally defective, because the court can not confirm that the notice stated that the petition to administer the estate and admit the will to probate was noticed and the date, time, and location stated on the first page.

In addition, the petition does not list the addresses of three of the four daughters and the address number listed in the petition for daughter Kelly Harney is 2807 while the proof of service states it was served to her by mail to 8207. The court is unable to confirm whether the interested persons were provided adequate notice of this proceeding.

Finally, the general form DE-120 is the wrong notice to serve when seeking to admit wills to probate and to administer the estate. The notice of hearing of a petition for administration of a

decedent's estate that is served on the interested persons shall substantially state the language set forth in Section 8100. (Probate Code, § 8100.) Petitioner failed to provide a proof of service of the mandatory Judicial Council Form DE-121 – Notice of Petition to Administer Estate, which includes the statutory notice language. The general notice of hearing form number DE-120 does not meet the requirements of Section 8100. This needs to be corrected. The court can not reach the merits of the petition absent filing a petition for general administration and proof of adequate service on the interested persons.

There is no proof of publication in the court's file. (See Probate Code, §§ 8120 and 8121(a).) The court can not consider this petition absent proof of publication.

TENTATIVE RUIING # 18: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 8:30 A.M. ON WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 29, 2021 IN DEPARTMENT EIGHT. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO APPEAR BY ZOOM PLEASE CONTACT THE COURT AT tdavy@eldoradocourt.org AND MEETING INFORMATION WILL BE PROVIDED.