1.	23CV1961	O'DELL v. SINCLAIR OIL CORP. et al
Attorney Withdrawal		

Counsel for the Plaintiff has filed a motion to be relieved as counsel pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 284(2) and California Rules of Court, Rule 3.1362.

A declaration on Judicial Council Form MC-052 accompanies the motion, as required by California Rules of Court, Rule 3.1362, stating that it is not feasible to engage with the client and there has been an irreparable breakdown in the attorney-client relationship.

Code of Civil Procedure § 284(2) and California Rules of Court, Rule 3.1362 allow an attorney to withdraw after notice to the client. Proof of service of the motion on the Plaintiff at her last known address was filed on May 15, 2025. The proof of service filed June 30, 2025, shows service on the other parties.

At the time of the initial filing, there was a Case Management Conference scheduled on July 1, 2025, and the date is listed in the proposed Order as required by California Rules of Court, Rule 3.1362(e). However, a subsequent CMC has since been set for September 2, 2025, which is not listed on the proposed Order.

TENTATIVE RULING #1:

MOTION FOR ATTORNEY WITHDRAWAL IS GRANTED. COUNSEL IS DIRECTED TO FILE AN AMENDED ORDER, WHICH LISTS THE SEPTEMBER 2, 2025, CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE. THEREAFTER, COUNSEL IS DIRECTED TO SERVE A COPY OF THE SIGNED ORDER (FORM MC-053) ON THE CLIENT AND ALL PARTIES THAT HAVE APPEARED IN THE CASE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CALIFORNIA RULES OF COURT, RULE 3.1362(e).

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY TELEPHONE TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6551 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999).

NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY TELEPHONE OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; EL DORADO COUNTY LOCAL RULE 8.05.07. PROOF OF SERVICE OF SAID NOTICE MUST BE FILED PRIOR TO OR AT THE HEARING.

LONG CAUSE HEARINGS MUST BE REQUESTED BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED AND THE PARTIES ARE TO PROVIDE THE COURT WITH THREE MUTUALLY AGREEABLE DATES ON FRIDAY AFTERNOONS AT 2:30 P.M. LONG CAUSE ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTS WILL BE SET FOR HEARING ON ONE OF THE THREE MUTUALLY AGREEABLE DATES

ON FRIDAY AFTERNOONS AT 2:30 P.M. THE COURT WILL ADVISE THE PARTIES OF THE LONG CAUSE HEARING DATE AND TIME BY 5:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. PARTIES MAY PERSONALLY APPEAR AT THE HEARING.

2.	24CV2037	WELLS FARGO BANK v. GODINA CONSTRUCTION
Sanctions		

Pursuant to its April 18, 2025, tentative ruling, the Court granted Plaintiff's Motion to Deem Matters Admitted. The proposed order included \$860 in sanctions, which the tentative ruling did not address. However, the Court notes that CCP § 2033.280 requires the imposition of monetary sanctions. Therefore, the Court finds \$660 in sanctions to be reasonable, but the additional \$200.00 that was requested for appearance at hearing is not awarded since counsel did not actually appear at the April 18, 2025 hearing.

TENTATIVE RULING #2:

THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS DEFENDANT PAY \$660.00 IN SANCTIONS TO PLAINTIFF, BEFORE MONDAY, AUGUST 18, 2025.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY TELEPHONE TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6551 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999).

NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY TELEPHONE OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; EL DORADO COUNTY LOCAL RULE 8.05.07. PROOF OF SERVICE OF SAID NOTICE MUST BE FILED PRIOR TO OR AT THE HEARING.

LONG CAUSE HEARINGS MUST BE REQUESTED BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED AND THE PARTIES ARE TO PROVIDE THE COURT WITH THREE MUTUALLY AGREEABLE DATES ON FRIDAY AFTERNOONS AT 2:30 P.M. LONG CAUSE ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTS WILL BE SET FOR HEARING ON ONE OF THE THREE MUTUALLY AGREEABLE DATES ON FRIDAY AFTERNOONS AT 2:30 P.M. THE COURT WILL ADVISE THE PARTIES OF THE LONG CAUSE HEARING DATE AND TIME BY 5:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. PARTIES MAY PERSONALLY APPEAR AT THE HEARING.

4.	SC20180243	SO LAKE TAHOE PROPERTY OWNERS v. CITY
Motion to Tax Costs and Award Attorney Fees		

TENTATIVE RULING #4:

MOTION TO TAX COSTS AND MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES BOTH CONTINUED TO FRIDAY, JULY 24, 2026 AT 8:30 AM IN DEPARTMENT NINE. IF THE PENDING APPEAL IS RESOLVED BEFORE JULY 24, 2026, EITHER PARTY MAY REQUEST THAT THE COURT ADVANCE THE HEARING DATE.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY TELEPHONE TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6551 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999).

NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY TELEPHONE OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; EL DORADO COUNTY LOCAL RULE 8.05.07. PROOF OF SERVICE OF SAID NOTICE MUST BE FILED PRIOR TO OR AT THE HEARING.

LONG CAUSE HEARINGS MUST BE REQUESTED BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED AND THE PARTIES ARE TO PROVIDE THE COURT WITH THREE MUTUALLY AGREEABLE DATES ON FRIDAY AFTERNOONS AT 2:30 P.M. LONG CAUSE ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTS WILL BE SET FOR HEARING ON ONE OF THE THREE MUTUALLY AGREEABLE DATES ON FRIDAY AFTERNOONS AT 2:30 P.M. THE COURT WILL ADVISE THE PARTIES OF THE LONG CAUSE HEARING DATE AND TIME BY 5:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. PARTIES MAY PERSONALLY APPEAR AT THE HEARING.

5.	PC20210448	STUART v. CORDANO
Motions to Contest Good Faith Settlement (2)		

TENTATIVE RULING #5:

APPEARANCES REQUIRED ON FRIDAY, JULY 25, 2025, AT 8:30 AM IN DEPARTMENT NINE FOR THE COURT TO INQUIRE FURTHER OF THE PARTIES GIVEN THE COMPLEXITY OF THE MATTER.

6.	24CV2173	BUCHELE v. D'ARCY
Motion to Compel		

TENTATIVE RULING #6:

APPEARANCES REQUIRED ON FRIDAY, JULY 25, 2025, AT 8:30 AM IN DEPARTMENT NINE FOR PARTIES TO MEET AND CONFER.

7.	PC20210340	SCHNEIDER v. SCHNEIDER et al
Motion to Strike		

Plaintiff Tiffany Schneider filed a Complaint on July 6, 2021. Default judgment was entered as to Defendants Debbie Schneider, Richard Schneider and Nathan Schneider on January 5, 2022.

Tiana Schneider filed a Cross-Complaint on February 13, 2024, against Cross-Defendants Debbie Schneider, Richard Schneider and Nathan Schneider. A default was entered as to Cross-Defendant Nathan Schneider on May 8, 2024.

On May 31, 2024, Cross-Defendant Nathan Schneider filed a motion to set aside the May 8, 2024, default related to the February 13, 2024 Cross-Complaint, stating that he had understood that they could respond to the Complaint during mediation. This Motion was granted and Cross-Defendant had 10 days from the Order to file an Answer. Cross-Defendant Nathan Schneider did not file an Answer.

On June 17, 2024, Defendant Nathan Schneider filed a motion to set aside the January 5, 2022, default related to the July 6, 2021 Complaint, stating that he became aware of the default on April 23, 2024, and that he had understood that he could respond to the Complaint during mediation. This Motion was denied.

Plaintiff now files a Motion to Strike, but it is unclear to the Court which purported pleading she is seeking to strike. Plaintiff brings the Motion under California Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(c) which is not proper authority for a Motion to Strike.

Plaintiff requests a hearing date for her Application for Default Judgment, however, no recent Application for Default Judgment has been filed.

TENTATIVE RULING #7:

MOTION TO STRIKE DENIED.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY TELEPHONE TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6551 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999).

NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY TELEPHONE OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; EL DORADO COUNTY LOCAL RULE 8.05.07. PROOF OF SERVICE OF SAID NOTICE MUST BE FILED PRIOR TO OR AT THE HEARING.

LONG CAUSE HEARINGS MUST BE REQUESTED BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED AND THE PARTIES ARE TO PROVIDE THE COURT WITH THREE MUTUALLY AGREEABLE DATES ON FRIDAY AFTERNOONS AT 2:30 P.M. LONG CAUSE ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTS WILL BE SET FOR HEARING ON ONE OF THE THREE MUTUALLY AGREEABLE DATES ON FRIDAY AFTERNOONS AT 2:30 P.M. THE COURT WILL ADVISE THE PARTIES OF THE LONG CAUSE HEARING DATE AND TIME BY 5:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. PARTIES MAY PERSONALLY APPEAR AT THE HEARING.