1. ESTATE OF BOE PP-20170135

Final Account and Report.

Claimant Narayans' Objections to Final Account and Report

- Belongings in Estate's 1967 Ford Pickup Truck

Claimants Sasha Narayan, Kaiya Narayan, and Beverly Narayan object to paragraph 25 of the Final Account and report seeking approval of the court to abandon the 1967 Ford pickup truck and turn it over to claimant Beverly Narayan due to damage caused by a falling tree rendering the vehicle greatly more expensive to remove and dispose of that it is worth. Claimants argue that the request would require claimant Beverly Narayan to pay the estate's expense of paying the past due storage fees for an asset of the estate in order to retrieve her belongings stored in the truck and it is unknown if the owners of the property where the trick is stored would allow claimant Beverly Narayan onto their property to retrieve her belongings.

The settlement of Beverly Narayan's claim against the estate provided: the red truck located on or near the Siskiyou County property is an asset of the estate and following removal of the personal property stored in the truck, the administrator shall take possession of the truck and dispose of it appropriately. (Order Approving Settlement, paragraph 3 and Settlement Agreement, paragraph 1.c.)

Paragraph 21 of the verified account and report states that reasonable efforts were made to identify estate creditors and on May 4, 2018 notice to all known creditors was provided. The objections to the Final Account and Report states that in 2019 the persons who had possession of a truck owned by the estate asked for payment of storage fees in the amount of \$1,300 representing fees of \$100 per month for 13 months and the information was passed on by claimant Narayan to the administrator and her attorney. (See Claimant Narayan's Objection to Final Account and Report, page 2, lines 1-5.) The Final Account and Report makes no

Probate Calendar – Department Nine (8:30 a.m.)

mention of being informed about that creditor and whether any notice of administration of the estate and the need to file a claim was ever sent to this newly discovered creditor. The personal representative apparently contends that any claim for storage fees is time barred as not having been filed within the statutory time after letters were issued.

Paragraph 25 of the Final Account and Report seeks court approval to either abandon the vehicle by turning the truck and its contents over to claimant Beverly Narayan to dispose of as she determines appropriate, or to authorize the personal representative to expend \$4,000 to remove the vehicle from the property where it is currently located and junk it.

The personal representative is obligated by the terms of the settlement agreement to make the truck available for claimant Beverly Narayan to retrieve her personal property stored in that truck.

The report fails to advise the court whether the owners of the property where the truck is stored was advised of the contention that their storage expense claim was time barred and what efforts, if any, were made to obtain an agreement with the owners of the real property where it is stored to abandon the vehicle to them upon the condition that claimant Beverly Narayan be provided access and time to retrieve her belongings stored in the truck.

The court is not prepared to authorize additional expenses of up to \$4,000 to retrieve the truck until it receives further information.

The estate does not appear to be in a condition to close until after the issue of retrieval of claimant Narayan's personal effects from the estate's truck is resolved.

- Claim Re: AR-15 Rifle

Claimants Sasha Narayan, Kaiya Narayan, and Beverly Narayan object to paragraph 26 of the Final Account and Report on the following grounds: having reviewed the final inventory with attached pictures, claimant Beverly Narayan believes the CMMG Model 4 semi-automatic gun

listed on the inventory may have been the gun in question; there is no serial number listed on the inventory; as a result, the claimants are unable to check with state gun licensing authorities to determine if it is registered to claimant Beverly Narayan; claimants have requested the personal representative to obtain the serial number and/or gun ownership history from the gun shop that sold it, but has not received a response to the request from the personal representative; and the claimants request the court order the personal representative to obtain this information.

Paragraph 26 of the Final Account and Report states: that claimant Beverly Narayan has stated that at one time decedent had possession of an AR-15 registered in her name; she requested information about the gun and a release from responsibility for it; after inquiry concerning additional guns, the personal representative obtained no knowledge or information about any other guns other than listed on the inventory and appraisal; as far as the personal representative knows, none of those guns were registered to claimant Beverly Narayan; the personal representative has never taken possession of any such gun; and the personal representative requests that the court confirm that the personal representative has no duty or authority to release claimant Beverly Narayan from any responsibility for any gun registered in her name.

Claimant Beverly Narayan expressly agreed in the paragraphs 7 and 13 of the settlement agreement approved by the court to release all claims set forth in the creditor's claim filed on July 5, 2018 and rejected by the personal representative on January 31, 2019 and waived the provisions of <u>Civil Code</u>, § 1542 concerning release of unknown or unsuspected claims. Claimant Beverly Narayan has not drawn the court's attention to any provision of the settlement agreement or legal authority that the personal representative has authority and a duty to release claimant Beverly Narayan from any responsibility for any gun registered in her

name or do any further research concerning the serial number of a semi-automatic rifle listed in the Inventory and Appraisal as an asset of the estate. The personal representative states in the verified account and report that after the personal representative inquired she obtained no knowledge or information about any other guns other than listed on the inventory and appraisal; as far as the personal representative knows, none of those guns were registered to claimant Beverly Narayan; and the personal representative has never taken possession of any such gun.

There being no authority and/or obligation of the personal representative to release claimant Beverly Narayan from any responsibility for any gun registered in her name or do any further research concerning the serial number of a semi-automatic rifle listed in the Inventory and Appraisal as an asset of the estate under the circumstances presented, the court overrules the objection to paragraph 26 of the Final Account and Report.

Final Distribution

The Final Account and Report requests instructions in paragraph 37 concerning whether the two minor intestate heirs are to be distributed the funds they are entitled to by deposit into a blocked account, deposit into a custodial account under the California Uniform Transfers to Minors Act, or distributed to formal guardianships of the estate that are directed to be established.

Should the final Account and Report be approved with instructions, the court is inclined to order the final distributions to the two minor heirs be deposited into blocked accounts.

TENTATIVE RULING # 1: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 8:30 A.M. ON WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 30, 2022 IN DEPARTMENT NINE. IF A PARTY OR PARTIES WISH TO APPEAR BY ZOOM PLEASE CONTACT THE COURT AT (530) 621-5867 AND MEETING INFORMATION WILL BE PROVIDED.

2. ESTATE OF FERGES 22PR0203

Petition to Administer Estate.

The petition requests that the bond requirement be waived and states that executed waivers of the account are attached to the petition. There are no waivers of account attached to the petition and the court is unable to find any waivers in the court's file. The Judicial Council of California adopted for mandatory use as of January 1, 2015 a form Waiver of Bond by Heir or Beneficiary (Judicial Council Form DE-142/DE-111(A-3d).).

Should waivers of the bond requirement (Forms DE-142/DE-111(A-3d).) executed by the intestate heirs not be filed, the court will require a bond to be posted. Inasmuch as the petitioners failed to include an estimate of the value of the estate's property in paragraph 3.d. of the petition, the petitioners must advise the court of the estimated value of the estate's real and personal property in order for the court to set a bond amount.

The petition lists four persons entitled to service of notice of the hearing and a copy of petition. One of those persons is a co-petitioner, therefore, Gabriel Ferges need not be served notice and a copy of the petition.

"If a person is required to give notice, the person required to give the notice need not give the notice to himself or herself or to any other person who joins in the petition." (<u>Probate Code</u>, § 1201.)

However, notice of the hearing and a copy of the petition must be served on the other three named persons.

"At least 15 days before the hearing of a petition for administration of a decedent's estate, the petitioner shall serve notice of the hearing by mail or personal delivery on all of the following persons: (a) Each heir of the decedent, so far as known to or reasonably

ascertainable by the petitioner. (b) Each devisee, executor, and alternative executor named in any will being offered for probate, regardless of whether the devise or appointment is purportedly revoked in a subsequent instrument." (Probate Code, § 8110.)

The notice of hearing of a petition for administration of a decedent's estate that is served on the interested persons shall substantially state the language set forth in Section 8100. (Probate <u>Code</u>, § 8100.) Therefore, mandatory Judicial Council Form DE-121 – Notice of Petition to Administer Estate must be served along with a copy of the petition.

The court can not rule on the merits of the petition until the above-cited deficiencies are remedied.

TENTATIVE RULING # 2: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 8:30 A.M. ON WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 30, 2022 IN DEPARTMENT NINE. IF A PARTY OR PARTIES WISH TO APPEAR BY ZOOM PLEASE CONTACT THE COURT AT (530) 621-5867 AND MEETING INFORMATION WILL BE PROVIDED.

3. ESTATE OF LAUX PP-20200009

Review Hearing Re: Status of Administration.

The order of final distribution was entered on March 3, 2021. There are no receipts of distribution in the court's file and no ex parte Petition for Final Discharge (Judicial Council Form DE-295.) in the court's file.

TENTATIVE RULING # 3: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 8:30 A.M. ON WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 30, 2022 IN DEPARTMENT NINE. IF A PARTY OR PARTIES WISH TO APPEAR BY ZOOM PLEASE CONTACT THE COURT AT (530) 621-5867 AND MEETING INFORMATION WILL BE PROVIDED.

4. ESTATE OF SANCHEZ PP-20200103

- (1) Review Hearing Re: Inventory and Appraisal.
- (2) Review Hearing Re: Status of Administration.

Review Hearing Re: Inventory and Appraisal.

Letters Testamentary were issued on September 23, 2020. Partial Inventory and Appraisal number one was filed on March 31, 2021. At the hearing on June 23, 2021 co-executor Woodring's counsel stated the March 31, 2021 Inventory and Appraisal is a final inventory and appraisal. Co-Executor Covey's counsel disagreed and stated that he believed there were more assets to be inventoried and appraised. There was no Final Inventory and Appraisal in the court's file at the time this ruling was prepared.

Review Hearing Re: Status of Administration.

There appears to remain disputes between the two co-executors concerning the assets of the estate and whether co-executor Woodring loaned \$25,000 to decedent to purchase her home in 1999.

At the hearing on July 13, 2022 the court denied the petition for order confirming validity of settlement agreement and directed the parties to comply with the terms of the settlement agreement.

TENTATIVE RULING # 4: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 8:30 A.M. ON WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 30, 2022 IN DEPARTMENT NINE. IF A PARTY OR PARTIES WISH TO APPEAR BY ZOOM PLEASE CONTACT THE COURT AT (530) 621-5867 AND MEETING INFORMATION WILL BE PROVIDED.

5. ESTATE OF WILLIAMS 22PR0112

Petition to Admit Will to Probate.

The petition requests the bond requirement be waived on the ground that all heirs/will beneficiaries have waived the bond requirement. There were no form Waivers of Bond by Heir or Beneficiary (Judicial Council Form DE-142/DE-111(A-3d).) executed by will beneficiaries Jason Williams and Brian Williams in the court's file at the time this ruling was prepared. Absent those executed waivers being filed, bond will be set in the amount of \$259,900.

TENTATIVE RULING # 5: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 8:30 A.M. ON WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 30, 2022 IN DEPARTMENT NINE. IF A PARTY OR PARTIES WISH TO APPEAR BY ZOOM PLEASE CONTACT THE COURT AT (530) 621-5867 AND MEETING INFORMATION WILL BE PROVIDED.

6. ESTATE OF LOGAN PP-20190148

(1) Final Account and Report.

(2) Review Hearing Re: Status of Administration.

Letters of Administration were issued on September 11, 2019. The Final Inventory and Appraisal was filed on January 22, 2020. There was no Final Account and Request for Order of Final Distribution in the court's file at the time this ruling was prepared.

TENTATIVE RULING # 6: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 8:30 A.M. ON WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 30, 2022 IN DEPARTMENT NINE. IF A PARTY OR PARTIES WISH TO APPEAR BY ZOOM PLEASE CONTACT THE COURT AT (530) 621-5867 AND MEETING INFORMATION WILL BE PROVIDED.

7. ESTATE OF BREHM PP-20210007

Review Hearing Re: Status of Administration.

Letters Testamentary were issued on July 1, 2021. The Final Inventory and Appraisal was filed on October 20, 2021. At the hearing on May 11, 2022 the personal representative's counsel stated a petition for final distribution would be filed.

There was no Final Account and Request for Order of Final Distribution in the court's file at the time this ruling was prepared.

TENTATIVE RULING # 7: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 8:30 A.M. ON WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 30, 2022 IN DEPARTMENT NINE. IF A PARTY OR PARTIES WISH TO APPEAR BY ZOOM PLEASE CONTACT THE COURT AT (530) 621-5867 AND MEETING INFORMATION WILL BE PROVIDED.

8. ESTATE OF HENNICK PP-20210098

Review Hearing Re: Status of Administration.

Letters of Administration were issued on July 7, 2022. The Final Inventory and Appraisal was filed on January 28, 2022. Creditors' claims were filed on August 24, 2021, September 24, 2021, February 14, 2022, and July 27, 2022.

A notice of related case, <u>Matter of the Trust of Arthur E. and Elizabeth J. Piper</u>, Case Number 22PR0100, was filed on November 18, 2022.

There was no Final Account and Request for Order of Final Distribution in the court's file at the time this ruling was prepared.

TENTATIVE RULING # 8: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 8:30 A.M. ON WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 30, 2022 IN DEPARTMENT NINE. IF A PARTY OR PARTIES WISH TO APPEAR BY ZOOM PLEASE CONTACT THE COURT AT (530) 621-5867 AND MEETING INFORMATION WILL BE PROVIDED.

9. ESTATE OF CROWE 22PR0204

Petition to Administer Estate.

Decedent's nephew petitions to be appointed personal representative with full powers under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. Petitioner is not a resident of California.

Except as otherwise provided by statute, every person appointed personal representative of an estate shall, before letters are issued, give a bond approved by the court. (Probate Code, § 8480.)

"Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter and notwithstanding a waiver of a bond, the court in its discretion may require a nonresident personal representative to give a bond in an amount determined by the court." (<u>Probate Code</u>, § 8571.)

Should the petition be granted, bond will be set in the amount of \$162,325.

The petition lists six persons entitled to service of notice of the hearing and a copy of the petition. There was no proof of service of notice of the hearing and a copy of the petition on these persons in the court's file at the time this ruling was prepared.

"At least 15 days before the hearing of a petition for administration of a decedent's estate, the petitioner shall serve notice of the hearing by mail or personal delivery on all of the following persons: (a) Each heir of the decedent, so far as known to or reasonably ascertainable by the petitioner. (b) Each devisee, executor, and alternative executor named in any will being offered for probate, regardless of whether the devise or appointment is purportedly revoked in a subsequent instrument." (Probate Code, § 8110.)

The notice of hearing of a petition for administration of a decedent's estate that is served on the interested persons shall substantially state the language set forth in Section 8100. (Probate

<u>Code</u>, § 8100.) Therefore, mandatory Judicial Council Form DE-121 – Notice of Petition to Administer Estate must be served along with a copy of the petition.

The court can not rule on the merits of the petition until the interested parties are adequately served notice and the petition.

TENTATIVE RULING # 9: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 8:30 A.M. ON WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 30, 2022 IN DEPARTMENT NINE. IF A PARTY OR PARTIES WISH TO APPEAR BY ZOOM PLEASE CONTACT THE COURT AT (530) 621-5867 AND MEETING INFORMATION WILL BE PROVIDED.

10. ESTATE OF HLADIK PP-20200206

Review Hearing Re: Status of Administration.

Letters of Administration were issued on March 1, 2021. The Final Inventory and Appraisal was filed on July 27, 2021. There was no Final Account and Request for Order of Final Distribution in the court's file at the time this ruling was prepared.

TENTATIVE RULING # 10: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 8:30 A.M. ON WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 30, 2022 IN DEPARTMENT NINE. IF A PARTY OR PARTIES WISH TO APPEAR BY ZOOM PLEASE CONTACT THE COURT AT (530) 621-5867 AND MEETING INFORMATION WILL BE PROVIDED.

11. ESTATE OF STONER 22PR0208

Petition to Administer Estate.

The petitioner has not filed an executed statement of duties and liabilities. (Judicial Council Form DE-147.) Prior to issuing letters of administration, the personal representative, other than a trust company or public administrator, shall file an acknowledgement of receipt of a statement of duties and liabilities of the office of personal representative. The statement is a mandated Judicial Council form. (Probate Code, § 8404(a).)

Petitioner states in paragraph 3.g.(2)(a) that the petitioner is a person entitled to Letters as described in Attachment 3.g.(2)(a). There is no such attachment to the petition. Petitioner must explain why petitioner is entitled to issuance of Letters of Administration.

The proof of service on the sole intestate heir named in the petition does not declare that a copy of the petition was served on that heir along with the notice of hearing.

"Where the court determines that the notice otherwise required is insufficient in the particular circumstances, the court may require that further or additional notice, including a longer period of notice, be given." (Probate Code, § 1202.) The court has determined that failure to serve a copy of the petition referred to in a notice of hearing is insufficient to meet the requirements of fundamental due process and, therefore, petitioner is required to serve a copy of the notice of hearing.

The heir must be served a copy of the petition.

Should the petition be granted, the court will set bond in the amount of \$300,000 as requested in the petition.

TENTATIVE RULING # 11: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 8:30 A.M. ON WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 30, 2022 IN DEPARTMENT NINE. IF A PARTY OR PARTIES

WISH TO APPEAR BY ZOOM PLEASE CONTACT THE COURT AT (530) 621-5867 AND MEETING INFORMATION WILL BE PROVIDED.

12. ESTATE OF ALTER 22PR0000

Review Hearing Re: Inventory and Appraisal.

TENTATIVE RULING # 12: THE FINAL INVENTORY AND APPRAISAL HAVING BEEN

FILED ON OCTOBER 20, 2022, THIS MATTER IS DROPPED FROM THE CALENDAR.

13. MATTER OF THE SMITH LIVING TRUST 22PR0207

Petition for Order Authorizing Modification of Trust.

The successor trustees, who are also two of the four remainder beneficiaries of the trust, have filed a petition seeking a court order to modify the distribution terms of the Trust to provide for distribution of the remainder of the Trust in equal shares to the four remainder beneficiaries, rather than maintaining administration of four sub-trusts funded with equal shares of the reminder of the Trust for each of the remainder beneficiaries paying one remainder beneficiaries with entire net value of the assets each year and the other remainder beneficiaries to be paid the entire net income of their respective sub-trusts each year, plus distributions of principal if the income is insufficient. The petitioners/successor trustees/remainder beneficiaries argue that due to changed circumstances not anticipated by settlors, continuation of the trust under its terms would defeat or substantially impair the accomplishment of the purposes of the trust due to the inability to provide beneficiary Donald Hinshaw a guaranteed stream of income from 8% of the value of the assets in recognition of his integral role in managing the settlors' carwash business.

The verified petition alleges: the Trust was established in 2004; the surviving settlor passed away on January 25, 2022; when the trust was established the settlors placed significant assets into the Trust estate consisting of a primary residence in San Carlos, CA, commercial car wash property with an operating car wash business, residential rental property, and eleven bank accounts; petitioners are informed and believe the settlors intended to compensate Donald Hinshaw for his efforts relating to the commercial car wash property by providing him with guaranteed income stream tied to the Trust assets, which included the car wash; at the time the Trust was executed the settlors believed the assets would be generating sufficient income to cover all expenses and provide distributions of 8% of the assets to Donald Hinshaw: the surviving settlor filed for bankruptcy in 2013, which was still pending at the time of his death: at the time when petitioners became successor trustees the Trust assets had diminished significantly and only included the commercial car wash property, the residential rental property, a mobile home in Sunnyvale, two bank accounts holding \$66,000 and a debtor in possession account holding \$25,590 at the time of the surviving settlor's death, which was recently released by the bankruptcy court; the income from the assets is insufficient to satisfy the Trust's liabilities as the car wash was closed and the only income came from the residential rental property, which did not cover the recurring monthly expenses of the Trust that amounted to \$9,475; the trustees were required to sell all the properties of the Trust, including the mobile home, rental property and car wash, in order to avoid the Trust becoming insolvent; what remains is a bank account with \$275.000 and an investment account with a balance of \$1,500,000; there is insufficient income from the remaining assets to make the required distributions to the remainder beneficiaries from income, which will result in guickly diminishing the Trust principal, thereby making it impossible to carry out the intent of the settlors to provide beneficiary Donald Hinshaw a guaranteed income stream tied to the Trust assets due to changed circumstances at the time of the surviving settlor's death that was not known to the settlors and not anticipated by the settlors at time the Trust was established.

A trustee or beneficiary may petition the court to approve or direct the modification or termination of the trust. (<u>Probate Code</u>, § 17200(b)(13).) However, Sections 15400, et seq do not set forth the exclusive grounds for the modification of a trust under Section 17200(b)(13). The broader equitable power of the trial courts to modify or reform a trust is preserved by the operation of <u>Probate Code</u>, § 15002. (<u>Ike v. Doolittle</u> (1998) 61 Cal.App.4th 51, 84.)

"On petition by a trustee or beneficiary, the court may modify the administrative or dispositive provisions of the trust or terminate the trust if, owing to circumstances not known to the settlor and not anticipated by the settlor, the continuation of the trust under its terms would defeat or substantially impair the accomplishment of the purposes of the trust. In this case, if necessary to carry out the purposes of the trust, the court may order the trustee to do acts that are not authorized or are forbidden by the trust instrument." (Probate Code, § 15409(a).)

Petitioners being trustees and beneficiaries of the Trust they need not provide notice to themselves. "If a person is required to give notice, the person required to give the notice need not give the notice to himself or herself or to any other person who joins in the petition." (Probate Code, § 1201.)

The proofs of service in the court's file declare that on August 5, 2022 notice of the hearing and a copy of the petition were served on the other two remainder beneficiaries by mail; and notice of the change of the hearing location to Department Nine in Cameron Park was served on them on October 27, 2022. There are no objections or opposition to the petition in the court's file. In fact, all remainder beneficiaries have consented to the modification proposed.

Petitioners/Successor Trustees/Beneficiaries Donald Hinshaw and Kimberly Smith have essentially consented to the modification by being the proponents of the modification. Attached to the petition are consents to the modification executed by the other two remainder beneficiaries, Jean Smith and Melville Hinshaw.

The court finds under the totality of the circumstances presented in the verified petition that due to changed circumstances of a bankruptcy and significant depletion of the Trust assets due to the changed economic conditions regarding the Trust income generated not known to the settlors and not anticipated by the settlors at the time the Trust was established, the continuation of the trust under its terms would defeat or substantially impair the

accomplishment of the purposes of the trust, which justifies the court to modify the Trust's dispositive provisions as requested.

The petition is granted.

TENTATIVE RULING # 13: THE PETITION FOR ORDER AUTHORIZING MODIFICATION OF TRUST IS GRANTED.

14. MATTER OF THE TRUST OF ARTHUR E. AND ELIZABETH J. PIPER 22PR0100 Account of Trustee.

At the hearing on the Petition to Compel Trust Accounting, to Suspend and Remove Trustee, to Surcharge Trustee, for Transfer of Property, and to Appoint Professional Fiduciary as Successor Trustee on July 13, 2022 the court ordered the trustee to file an accounting by September 15, 2022; the accounting shall cover the period from the date of death to July 2022; the parties are to meet and confer by October 31, 2022; and a hearing was set for November 30, 2022.

The proof of service declares that on September 15, 2022 the account was served by mail and email to counsel for five of the six remainder beneficiaries of the Trust and the trustee/remainder beneficiary of the Trust. There were no objections to the account in the court's file at the time this ruling was prepared, however, an objection can be made at any time and even orally at the hearing. (Probate Code, § 1043.)

There is no report or statement from the parties in the court's file that they met and conferred about the account.

The court questions why trustee/remainder beneficiary Teresa Piper advanced to herself \$101,918.10 in Trust funds "in her beneficial capacity" over the period of July 23, 2009 to October 8, 2022 as stated in Schedule D Disbursements and Schedule I of the account. There were no such advances in equal amounts to the other five beneficiaries. In addition, the trustee/beneficiary received her equal share of the distributions she made as trustee to all six beneficiaries in 2009 and 2010. (See Schedule F – Distributions.)

In addition to advancing herself \$101,918.10 in Trust funds, she also paid herself \$21,171.37 as a trustee's fee on July 31, 2022 as 10% of the Trust receipts. (See Schedule D – Disbursements.)

TENTATIVE RULING # 14: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 8:30 A.M. ON WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 30, 2022 IN DEPARTMENT NINE. IF A PARTY OR PARTIES WISH TO APPEAR BY ZOOM PLEASE CONTACT THE COURT AT (530) 621-5867 AND MEETING INFORMATION WILL BE PROVIDED.