

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 5
July 2, 2026
8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

1. JOSH ANDERSON V. YU CHEN CHANG

25FL0020

On April 7, 2026, Petitioner filed a Request for Order (RFO) seeking custody and visitation orders. This matter was originally filed and denied on an ex parte basis. All required documents were served by mail on April 23rd, because this is a post-judgment request, Petitioner also filed a Declaration Regarding Address Verification.

On April 14, 2026, Respondent also filed an RFO seeking custody and visitation orders. The requests were originally filed ex parte and the court ordered the minors to be immediately returned to the state of California. All other requests were denied on an ex parte basis. There is no Proof of Service for the RFO therefore, this matter is dropped from calendar.

A Child Custody Recommending Counseling (CCRC) appointment was scheduled to take place on May 4, 2026, however neither party appeared at the appointment. As such, a memorandum of no appearance was prepared and filed with the court. The court does not have a Certificate of Mailing for this document so it does not appear that it was sent to the parties.

Petitioner filed and served updating declaration on June 22nd.

It is unclear to the court if the parties were aware of the scheduled CCRC appointment. The parties are ordered to appear for the hearing.

TENTATIVE RULING #1: THE PARTIES ARE ORDERED TO APPEAR FOR THE HEARING.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 5
July 2, 2026
8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

2. JOSHUA KHOSHSEFAT V. HEIDI KHOSHEFAT

24FL0682

On July 30, 2025, Respondent filed a Request for Order (RFO) seeking a variety of orders as stated therein. She filed a Memorandum of Points and Authorities concurrently therewith.

A Declaration Re Diligence was filed on October 20th evidencing attempted service of process on Jane Yeun Sook Khoshsefat. Another was filed on October 23rd.

There is no Proof of Service for the RFO, however, on October 24th, Petitioner filed and served a Responsive Declaration to Motion for Joinder and a Responsive Declaration to Request for Order thereby waiving any potential defect in service.

Respondent asks that the court grant joinder of Jane Yeun Sook Khoshsefat. She asks for a finding that Petitioner breached his fiduciary duty on several occasions and that the court set aside the transfer of title to the community property Lamborghini. She requests title to the Lamborghini be put in the names of both parties. Finally, she requests attorney's fees and costs pursuant to Family Code § 1101 and § 271.

Petitioner opposes the request for joinder and offers several alternatives.

Given that the Lamborghini was purchased during the marriage and title was held in the family trust prior to transfer, title to the Lamborghini is ordered to be placed in the names of both parties pending trial on the issue of property division. The court is reserving jurisdiction to make a finding that Petitioner breached his fiduciary duty and award sanctions pursuant to Family Code § 1101 and/or Family Code § 271.

Regarding the joinder, "[t]he court may order that a person be joined as a party to the proceeding if the court finds that it would be appropriate to determine the particular issue in the proceeding and that the person to be joined as a party is either indispensable for the court to make an order about that issue or is necessary to the enforcement of any judgment rendered on that issue." Cal. Rule Ct. 5.24(e)(2).

After reviewing the pleadings, it is unclear to the court whether Mrs. Khoshsefat is an indispensable party. Transferring title to the Lamborghini back to the parties resolves the need for joinder in that regard. However, there is still the outstanding issue of deposits Petitioner made to his mother. It is unclear to the court if Respondent has been able to obtain all necessary records via subpoena or if Petitioner and his mother have objected to any such subpoenas. It is further unclear if the community estate is sufficient to cover any

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 5
July 2, 2026
8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

improper transfers that were made or if joinder of Mrs. Khoshsefat is necessary to enforce any such judgment. The parties are ordered to appear on this issue.

Respondent is directed to prepare the Findings and Orders After Hearing (FOAH), however this order is effective immediately upon the court's adoption of the tentative ruling and is not conditioned on the preparation of the FOAH.

TENTATIVE RULING #2: TITLE TO THE LAMBORGHINI IS ORDERED TO BE PLACED IN THE NAMES OF BOTH PARTIES PENDING TRIAL ON THE ISSUE OF PROPERTY DIVISION. THE COURT IS RESERVING JURISDICTION TO MAKE A FINDING THAT PETITIONER BREACHED HIS FIDUCIARY DUTY AND AWARD SANCTIONS PURSUANT TO FAMILY CODE § 1101 AND/OR FAMILY CODE § 271.

THE PARTIES ARE ORDERED TO APPEAR ON THE ISSUE OF JOINDER.

RESPONDENT IS DIRECTED TO PREPARE THE FINDINGS AND ORDERS AFTER HEARING (FOAH), HOWEVER THIS ORDER IS EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY UPON THE COURT'S ADOPTION OF THE TENTATIVE RULING AND IS NOT CONDITIONED ON THE PREPARATION OF THE FOAH.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY PHONE CALL TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6725 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; *SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT*, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY PHONE CALL OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 5
July 2, 2026
8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

3. HOPE KINSMAN V. JEREMY KINSMAN

25FL0256

On April 9, 2026, Respondent filed a Request for Order (RFO) seeking attorney's fees and costs. It does not appear that this RFO was served, however on June 9, 2026 he filed an amended RFO seeking spousal support, attorney's fees and "fiduciary sanctions." He attached a previously filed Income and Expense Declaration dated March 2nd, but he did not file an updated Income and Expense Declaration. The amended RFO was served on June 9th along with an FL-150, though it is unclear if the FL-150 that was served was a blank one or an updated one. Additionally, the Notice of Tentative Ruling was not served.

Petitioner filed and served her Responsive Declaration to Request for Order and her Income and Expense Declaration on June 17th.

Respondent filed a reply declaration and his Income and Expense Declaration on June 23rd.

"For all hearings involving child, spousal, or domestic partner support, both parties must complete, file, and serve a current Income and Expense Declaration." Cal. Rule Ct. 5.260(1); *See also* Cal. Fam. Code §2100. "'Current' means the form has been completed within the past three months providing no facts have changed." Cal. Rule Ct. 5.260(3). The party requesting support shall file and serve their Income and Expense Declaration with the initial moving papers. El Dorado Sup. Ct. Rule 8.03.01.

In addition to the court's need for an Income and Expense Declaration to make support orders, it is also needed for the court to rule on a request for attorney's fees as the court is to make findings on "whether there is a disparity in access to funds to retain counsel, and whether one party is able to pay for legal representation of both parties." Fam. Code § 2030(a)(2).

This matter is dropped from calendar due to Respondent's failure to timely file the requisite Income and Expense Declaration and due to his failure to serve the required Notice of Tentative ruling.

TENTATIVE RULING #3: THIS MATTER IS DROPPED FROM CALENDAR DUE TO RESPONDENT'S FAILURE TO TIMELY FILE THE REQUISITE INCOME AND EXPENSE DECLARATION AND DUE TO HIS FAILURE TO SERVE THE REQUIRED NOTICE OF TENTATIVE RULING.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 2, 2026

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

BY PHONE CALL TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6725 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; *SEE ALSO* LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY PHONE CALL OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 5
July 2, 2026
8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

4. SARA KLINKENBORG V. CHRISTOPHER NELSON

24FL1262

On March 27, 2026, Petitioner filed a Request for Order (RFO) seeking to compel discovery responses, sanctions, and additional orders as set forth on her FL-316. All required documents were served on May 6th.

Respondent has not filed a Responsive Declaration to Request for Order. Where a party fails to timely file opposition papers the court, in its discretion, may treat said failure “as an admission that the motion or other application is meritorious.” El Dorado County, Local Rule 7.10.02(C). Here, the RFO was timely and properly served on Respondent. He was aware of the requests being made and chose not to file an opposition. As such, the court finds good cause to treat his failure to do so as an admission that the claims made in the RFO are meritorious.

Petitioner requests an order compelling Respondent’s preliminary and final declarations of disclosure, Form Interrogatory responses, Special Interrogatory responses, and responses to Requests for Production of Documents. She further requests attorney fees and sanctions in the amount of \$5,000 plus an additional \$1,000 in sanctions pursuant to Family Code § 271.

Disclosures

Family Code sections 2104 and 2105 impose on each party the obligation of making preliminary and final disclosures of assets within the specified timeframes. Where a party fails to comply with their disclosure requirements, the complying party may, among other things, file a motion to compel and seek sanctions against the noncomplying party. Fam. Code § 2107(b)(1).

Here, Petitioner has complied with the law and served her preliminary and final declarations of disclosure; as such she has standing to assert her demand for the same pursuant to Family Code Section 2107. Accordingly, Respondent is ordered to serve full and complete preliminary and final declarations of disclosure, with supporting documents, no later than July 16, 2026.

Discovery Responses

Petitioner served Requests for Production of Documents and Special Interrogatories on June 2, 2025 and Form Interrogatories on June 6, 2025. Responses were due July 7, 2025 and July 11, 2025, respectively.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 5
July 2, 2026
8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

Generally speaking, responses to interrogatories are due within 30 days of the date of service. Cal. Civ. Pro. § 2030.260. If a party fails to provide timely responses, that party waives any right to object to the interrogatories, and waives the right to produce writings in response. Cal. Civ. Pro. §2030.290 (a).

In addition to interrogatories, the Civil Discovery Act authorizes all parties to request documents from the opposing party by way of a Request for Production of Documents. Cal. Civ. Pro. §2031.210. As with interrogatories, responses to requests for production are due within 30 days of the date of service, where a party fails to provide timely responses the party to whom the discovery was directed waives “any objection...including one based on privilege or on the protection of work product...” and “[t]he party making the demand may move for an order compelling response[s]...” Cal Civ. Pro. §2031.300(a).

Here, Petitioner has sufficiently established Respondent’s failure to comply with his discovery obligations. Petitioner has provided the court with copies of subject proofs of service of the subject discovery and she has attested to the fact that no responses have been received. As such, Petitioner’s Motion to Compel is granted. Respondent shall provide full and complete verified responses, without objections, to Family Law Form Interrogatories, Set One, Special Interrogatories, Set One and Requests for Production of Documents, Set One no later than July 16, 2026.

Sanctions

Where a party fails to comply with his or her disclosure obligations,“...the court *shall*...impose monetary sanctions against the noncomplying party. Sanctions shall be in an amount sufficient to deter repetition of the conduct or comparable conduct, and *shall* include reasonable attorney’s fees, costs incurred, or both, unless the court finds that the noncomplying party acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust.” Fam. Code § 2107(c).

In addition to sanctions under the Family Code, sanctions may also be awarded for a party’s “misuse of the discovery process.” Cal. Civ. Pro. § 2023.030. Conduct subject to discretionary discovery sanctions includes, but is not limited to, “[f]ailing to respond or submit to an authorized method of discovery.” Cal. Civ. Pro. § 2023.010(d).

Where sanctions are awarded, the amount imposed is to include “...the reasonable expenses, including attorney’s fees, incurred by anyone as a result of...” the conduct of the party subject to sanction. Cal. Civ. Pro. 2023.030(a). A party requesting sanctions must establish that the amount requested is reasonable, was incurred as a result of discovery

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 5
July 2, 2026
8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

abuse, and the requesting party must already be liable for those expenses before the court can award the costs as sanctions. See *Tucker v. Pacific Bell Mobile Servs.*, 186 Cal. App. 4th 1548 (2010) (anticipated costs for future deposition could not be included in award of sanctions). Notwithstanding the foregoing, the court is obligated to “...impose a one-thousand-dollar (\$1,000) sanction, payable to the requesting party...” if the court finds that the noncompliant party did not respond in good faith to a request for production of documents. Cal. Civ. Pro. § 2023.050(a).

Here, Respondent did not oppose the Motion to Compel, therefore, discovery sanctions are not mandatory. However, the court does find that Petitioner engaged in the misuse of the discovery process by failing to submit to authorized forms of discovery and he failed to make his preliminary and final disclosures as required. He provides no justification for his actions therefore, the court finds that the imposition of sanctions is warranted.

While there are grounds for sanctions, Petitioner has not provided documentation to establish the reasonableness of the amount requested or the relatedness of that amount to the misuse of the discovery process. Given that Respondent did not provide any responses at all the court finds that a discovery sanction of \$1,500 is reasonable pursuant to Civil Procedure Section 2023.030(a). The court awards an additional \$1,000 in sanctions for Respondent’s failure to respond to Requests for Production of Documents pursuant to Civil Procedure Section 2023.050.

Under Family Code § 2107, the court finds an additional \$1,000 to be reasonable given Respondent’s failure to make his disclosures and the additional time and effort that Petitioner’s counsel expended in attempting to obtain them.

In addition to the discovery and disclosure sanctions, Petitioner requests sanctions pursuant to Family Code § 271 which states, in pertinent part, “...the court may base an award of attorney’s fees and costs on the extent to which the conduct of each party or attorney furthers or frustrates the policy of the law to promote settlement of litigation and, where possible, to reduce the cost of litigation by encouraging cooperation of the parties and attorneys. An award of attorney’s fees and costs pursuant to this section is in the nature of a sanction.” Fam. Code § 271(a).

In reviewing the filings of Petitioner, it is apparent that the conduct of Respondent and his counsel has frustrated the policy of the law to promote settlement and decrease costs. The disclosure and discovery requirements of a party are well settled law and

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 5
July 2, 2026
8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

Respondent, nor his counsel, have made any effort to comply with them. Furthermore, it appears counsel for Respondent has also disregarded the clear notice and service requirements of ex partes. Accordingly, the court is awarding sanctions in the amount of \$2,000 pursuant to Family Code § 271.

The above listed sanctions amount to a total of \$5,500. Respondent is sanctioned \$3,000 to account for the sanctions awarded under Family Code § 271 and Family Code § 2107. His attorney is sanctioned the remaining \$2,500 pursuant to Civil Procedure § 2023.030(a) and § 2023.050. Payments are to be made directly to Petitioner's attorney. Payments may be made in one lump sum or in monthly increments of \$250 with the first payment no later than July 15, 2026 and continuing on the 15th of each month thereafter until paid in full. If any payment is missed or late, the entire amount shall become immediately due and payable.

Finally, Petitioner makes a request for evidentiary sanctions in the event Respondent does not comply with the court's orders. The court is not inclined to make this order as the issue is not yet ripe for decision. Plaintiff will need to bring another noticed motion in the future if called for.

Petitioner is directed to prepare the Findings and Orders After Hearing (FOAH); however, this order is effective immediately upon the court's adoption of the tentative ruling and is not conditioned on the preparation of the FOAH.

TENTATIVE RULING #4: RESPONDENT IS ORDERED TO SERVE FULL AND COMPLETE PRELIMINARY AND FINAL DECLARATIONS OF DISCLOSURE, WITH SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS, NO LATER THAN JULY 16, 2026. PETITIONER'S MOTION TO COMPEL IS GRANTED. RESPONDENT SHALL PROVIDE FULL AND COMPLETE VERIFIED RESPONSES, WITHOUT OBJECTIONS, TO FAMILY LAW FORM INTERROGATORIES, SET ONE, SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES, SET ONE AND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, SET ONE NO LATER THAN JULY 16, 2026.

THE COURT IS ORDERING DISCOVERY SANCTIONS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,500 IS PURSUANT TO CIVIL PROCEDURE SECTION 2023.030(A). THE COURT AWARDS AN ADDITIONAL \$1,000 IN SANCTIONS FOR RESPONDENT'S FAILURE TO RESPOND TO REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS PURSUANT TO CIVIL PROCEDURE SECTION 2023.050. UNDER FAMILY CODE § 2107, THE COURT FINDS AN ADDITIONAL \$1,000 TO BE REASONABLE UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES. THE COURT IS AWARDING SANCTIONS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$2,000 PURSUANT TO FAMILY CODE § 271.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 5
July 2, 2026
8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

THE ABOVE LISTED SANCTIONS AMOUNT TO A TOTAL OF \$5,500. RESPONDENT IS SANCTIONED \$3,000 TO ACCOUNT FOR THE SANCTIONS AWARDED UNDER FAMILY CODE § 271 AND FAMILY CODE § 2107. HIS ATTORNEY IS SANCTIONED THE REMAINING \$2,500 PURSUANT TO CIVIL PROCEDURE § 2023.030(A) AND § 2023.050. PAYMENTS ARE TO BE MADE DIRECTLY TO PETITIONER'S ATTORNEY. PAYMENTS MAY BE MADE IN ONE LUMP SUM OR IN MONTHLY INCREMENTS OF \$250 WITH THE FIRST PAYMENT NO LATER THAN JULY 15, 2026 AND CONTINUING ON THE 15TH OF EACH MONTH THEREAFTER UNTIL PAID IN FULL. IF ANY PAYMENT IS MISSED OR LATE, THE ENTIRE AMOUNT SHALL BECOME IMMEDIATELY DUE AND PAYABLE.

PETITIONER MAKES A REQUEST FOR EVIDENTIARY SANCTIONS IN THE EVENT RESPONDENT DOES NOT COMPLY WITH THE COURT'S ORDERS. THE COURT IS NOT INCLINED TO MAKE THIS ORDER AS THE ISSUE IS NOT YET RIPE FOR DECISION.

PETITIONER IS DIRECTED TO PREPARE THE FINDINGS AND ORDERS AFTER HEARING (FOAH); HOWEVER, THIS ORDER IS EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY UPON THE COURT'S ADOPTION OF THE TENTATIVE RULING AND IS NOT CONDITIONED ON THE PREPARATION OF THE FOAH.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY PHONE CALL TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6725 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; *SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT*, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY PHONE CALL OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 5
July 2, 2026
8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

5. DUNIA LANDAVERDE V. ANGEL LANDAVERDE

23FL0394

On April 20, 2026, Petitioner filed a Request for Order (RFO) seeking child support, spousal support, property control, and a set-aside of the court's prior orders. She filed her Income and Expense Declaration concurrently therewith. Both documents were served on June 2nd however Petitioner failed to serve the required blank FL-320 and Notice of Tentative Ruling.

Respondent filed his Responsive Declaration to Request for Order on June 10th. There is no Proof of Service for this document therefore the court has not read or considered it.

Petitioner is asking the court to set aside the orders made on March 26, 2026 and instead award her spousal and child support in accordance with her proposed Xspouse report. She further requests temporary exclusive use, possession and control of the 2017 Toyota Tacoma.

Civil Procedure Section 473(b) governs the circumstances in which a party may be relieved of the terms of a judgment, dismissal, order or other proceeding in instances of mistake, inadvertence or excusable neglect. Cal. Civ. Pro. § 473(b). The statute addresses instances in which relief is mandatory as well as circumstances giving rise to discretionary relief. While the mandatory provisions only apply to defaults and default judgments, the discretionary portion of the statute has a much broader application. See *Las Vegas Land & Development Co., LLC v. Wilkie Way, LLC*, 219 Cal. App. 4th 1086 (2013) (Mandatory provisions of Section 473(b) apply only to defaults).

Generally speaking, "...the discretionary relief provision of Section 473 only permits relief from attorney error 'fairly imputable to the client, i.e., mistakes anyone could have made.' [Citations]. 'Conduct falling below the professional standard of care, such as failure to timely object or to properly advance an argument, is not therefore excusable. To hold otherwise would be to eliminate the express statutory requirement of excusability and effectively eviscerate the concept of attorney malpractice.' [Citation]." *Zamora v. Clayborn Contracting Group, Inc.*, 28 Cal. 4th 249 (2002) *citing* *Garcia v. Hejmadi*, 58 Cal. App. 4th 674, 682 (1997). Numerous cases have found that an attorney's conduct falling below the professional standard of care is not grounds to vacate a resulting judgment under Section 473(b). See *Carroll v. Abbott Laboratories, Inc.*, 32 Cal. 3d 892 (1982) (conduct falling below the professional standard of care is generally considered inexcusable]; See *also* *Garcia v. Hejmadi*, 58 Cal. App. 4th 674, 682 (1997)["[t]he Legislature did not intend to eliminate

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 5
July 2, 2026
8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

attorney malpractice claims by providing an opportunity to correct all the professional mistakes an attorney might make in the course of litigating a case”].

Here, Petitioner relies heavily on the non-discretionary provisions of Section 473(b). However, such reliance is misplaced. The non-discretionary provisions apply only where the attorney mistake, inadvertence, or excusable neglect resulted in a default or default judgment. Such is not the case here. Thus, the court turns to the discretionary provisions of Section 473(b).

Under the discretionary provisions, conduct falling below the professional standard of care is not grounds to set aside a court order. On March 25th, the court issued its tentative ruling per the local rules, no hearing was properly requested, and the tentative was adopted as the order of the court. Counsel is responsible for knowing the local rules and abiding by them, including the tentative ruling procedures. As such, the court does not find grounds to set aside the March 26th orders. The request to set aside is denied and so too are Petitioner’s other requests as they have already been addressed in the March 26th order.

Petitioner is directed to prepare the Findings and Orders After Hearing (FOAH); however, this order is effective immediately upon the court’s adoption of the tentative ruling and is not conditioned on the preparation of the FOAH.

TENTATIVE RULING #5: THE REQUEST TO SET ASIDE IS DENIED AND SO TOO ARE PETITIONER’S OTHER REQUESTS AS THEY HAVE ALREADY BEEN ADDRESSED IN THE COURT’S MARCH 26TH ORDER.

PETITIONER IS DIRECTED TO PREPARE THE FINDINGS AND ORDERS AFTER HEARING (FOAH); HOWEVER, THIS ORDER IS EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY UPON THE COURT’S ADOPTION OF THE TENTATIVE RULING AND IS NOT CONDITIONED ON THE PREPARATION OF THE FOAH.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT’S WEBSITE OR BY PHONE CALL TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6725 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; *SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT*, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY PHONE CALL OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 2, 2026

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

**THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE
8.05.07.**

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 5
July 2, 2026
8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

6. ADAM KENT LEVERTON V. ERIKA NICOLE LEVERTON

25FL1094

On April 3, 2026, Respondent filed a Request for Order (RFO) seeking spousal support, child support, attorney's fees, and an order regarding the mortgage and utilities. She filed her Income and Expense Declaration and an attorney declaration concurrently therewith. All required documents were served on April 9th.

Petitioner filed and served his Responsive Declaration to Request for Order and his Income and Expense Declaration on June 17, 2026.

The Supplemental Declaration of Erika Leverton, Respondent was filed and served on June 25th. The court deems this to be a reply declaration.

Respondent is requesting guideline child support and guideline spousal support. She further requests attorney's fees in the amount of \$8,500 payable by Petitioner to Respondent's counsel. Finally, she requests an order for Petitioner to pay all mortgage and utilities for the marital residence.

Petitioner opposes the support orders on the basis that the parties are currently residing in the same residence in a nesting arrangement. However, in the event the court is inclined to award support, he asks that Respondent be imputed with income. Also, if the court does award support, then Petitioner asks that both parties be equally responsible for community debts, including all of the associated housing costs (i.e. mortgage, insurance, property taxes, etc.). He further opposes the request for attorney fees as he does not have the ability to pay the fees of both parties.

The court has equitable power to deny or modify a support order when equity requires it. *Jackson v. Jackson*, 51 Cal. App. 3d 363 (1975). In keeping with California's equitable approach to support orders, courts have found that in home support during a period of living together can constitute support sufficient to act as a credit against the amount owed in monetary terms. *Helgestad v. Vargas*, 231 Cal. App. 4th 719, 735 (2014).

Here, the court finds the most equitable outcome is to set support orders that do not commence until the 1st of the month following Respondent's move from the marital residence. Given that an order of this nature is based on the premise that the supported spouse is receiving in-home support by way of the parties residing together, Petitioner is ordered to pay the entire cost of the mortgage, insurance, property taxes and utilities on the marital residence.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 5
July 2, 2026
8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

Regarding the amount of support, an award of temporary spousal support lies solely within the trial court's discretion regarding each party's respective need and ability to pay. See *Marriage of Tong & Samson*, 197 Cal. App. 4th 23, 29 (2011). Support is appropriate where it is necessary to enable a spouse to advance their earning capacity and obtain marketable skills sufficient to become self-supporting. *Marriage of Watt*, 24 Cal. App. 3d 340, 347-348 (1989). However, it is not an abuse of discretion for the court to decrease an award for support, or deny it altogether, based on the requesting spouse's *unreasonable delay* or refusal to seek employment consistent with *existing* marketable skills and ability. In re *Marriage of Dennis*, 35 Cal. App. 3d 279, 283 (1973); See also *Marriage of Mason*, 93 Cal. App. 3d 215, 221 (1979).

Here, the Petition for Dissolution was filed on November 7, 2025. In the interim, Petitioner concedes that Respondent has had a mastectomy recently. Considering the foregoing, the court does not find that Respondent has acted *unreasonably* in failing to seek employment to date. Respondent is cautioned however, that the longer it takes for her to become self-supporting the more likely it is that the court will impute her with income in the future. For now however, the request to impute Respondent with income is denied without prejudice.

Utilizing the same figures as outlined in the attached Xspouse report, the court finds that child support is \$2,118 and spousal support per the Alameda formula is \$988 per month. The court adopts the attached Xspouse report and orders Petitioner to pay Respondent \$3,106 per month as and for child support and temporary spousal support, payable on the 1st of the month until further order of the court or legal termination. This order is effective as of the 1st of the month on the first full month that Respondent has moved out of the marital residence.

The court further finds Petitioner routinely earns overtime pay and therefore, an overtime table has been included with the Xspouse. Petitioner is to pay Respondent a quarterly true up of any overtime earned. Petitioner is to provide Respondent with copies of his pay statements each quarter.

Finally, turning to the issue of attorney fees. The public policy of Family Code section 2030 is to provide "at the outset of litigation, consistent with the financial circumstances of the parties, parity between spouses in their ability to obtain effective legal representation." In Re *Marriage of Keech*, 75 Cal. App. 4th 860, 866 (1999). This ensures each party has access to legal representation to preserve each party's rights. It "is not the redistribution of money from the greater income party to the lesser income party," but

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 5
July 2, 2026
8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

rather “parity.” *Alan S. v Sup. Ct.*, 172 Cal. App. 4th 238,251(2009). In the face of a request for attorney’s fees and costs, the court is to make findings on “whether there is a disparity in access to funds to retain counsel, and whether one party is able to pay for legal representation of both parties.” Fam. Code § 2030(a)(2). Family Code section 2032 works in tandem with Section 2030 to ensure that any award of costs and fees is just and reasonable. Fam. Code § 2032.

Here, the court does find there to be a disparity in income however, given the large amount of debt that Petitioner seems to be paying the court does not find that he has the ability to pay for his attorney’s fees as well as those of Respondent. The request for attorney’s fees is therefore denied without prejudice.

Respondent is directed to prepare the Findings and Orders After Hearing (FOAH); however, this order is effective immediately upon the court’s adoption of the tentative ruling and is not conditioned on the preparation of the FOAH.

TENTATIVE RULING #6: THE COURT IS SETTING SUPPORT ORDERS THAT DO NOT COMMENCE UNTIL THE 1ST OF THE MONTH FOLLOWING RESPONDENT’S MOVE FROM THE MARITAL RESIDENCE. PETITIONER IS ORDERED TO PAY THE ENTIRE COST OF THE MORTGAGE, INSURANCE, PROPERTY TAXES AND UTILITIES ON THE MARITAL RESIDENCE.

THE REQUEST TO IMPUTE RESPONDENT WITH INCOME IS DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

THE COURT FINDS THAT CHILD SUPPORT IS \$2,118 AND SPOUSAL SUPPORT PER THE ALAMEDA FORMULA IS \$988 PER MONTH. THE COURT ADOPTS THE ATTACHED XSPOUSE REPORT AND ORDERS PETITIONER TO PAY RESPONDENT \$3,106 PER MONTH AS AND FOR CHILD SUPPORT AND TEMPORARY SPOUSAL SUPPORT, PAYABLE ON THE 1ST OF THE MONTH UNTIL FURTHER ORDER OF THE COURT OR LEGAL TERMINATION. THIS ORDER IS EFFECTIVE AS OF THE 1ST OF THE MONTH ON THE FIRST FULL MONTH THAT RESPONDENT HAS MOVED OUT OF THE MARITAL RESIDENCE.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS PETITIONER ROUTINELY EARNS OVERTIME PAY AND THEREFORE, AN OVERTIME TABLE HAS BEEN INCLUDED WITH THE XSPOUSE. PETITIONER IS TO PAY RESPONDENT A QUARTERLY TRUE UP OF ANY OVERTIME EARNED. PETITIONER IS TO PROVIDE RESPONDENT WITH COPIES OF HIS PAY STATEMENTS EACH QUARTER.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 5
July 2, 2026
8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

THE REQUEST FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES IS DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

RESPONDENT IS DIRECTED TO PREPARE THE FINDINGS AND ORDERS AFTER HEARING (FOAH); HOWEVER, THIS ORDER IS EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY UPON THE COURT'S ADOPTION OF THE TENTATIVE RULING AND IS NOT CONDITIONED ON THE PREPARATION OF THE FOAH.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY PHONE CALL TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6725 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; *SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT*, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY PHONE CALL OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

Fixed Shares	Father	Mother	Monthly figures		Cash Flow		Guideline	Proposed
#of children	0	2	2026					
% time with NCP	49.99 %	0.00 %	GUIDELINE		Comb. net spendable		7058	7488
Filing status	HH/MLA	HH/MLA	Nets(adjusted)		Percent change		0%	6%
# exemptions	2	3	Support		Father			
Wages+salary	13175	0	Father	7058	Payment cost/benefit		-3106	-2865
Self-employed income	0	0	Mother	0	Net spendable income		3952	4193
Other taxable income	0	0	Total	7058	Change from guideline		0	241
TANF+CS received	0	0	Support		% of combined spendable		56%	56%
Other nontaxable income	0	0	Addons	0	% of saving over guideline		0%	56%
New spouse income	0	0	Guideln CS	2118	Total taxes		2289	1859
401(k) employee contrib	0	0	Alameda SS	988	Dep. exemption value		0	0
Adjustments to income	0	0	Total	3106	# withholding allowances		0	0
SS paid prev marriage	0	0	-		Net wage paycheck		9174	9174
CS paid prev marriage	0	0			Mother			
Health insurance	856	0			Payment cost/benefit		3106	3295
Other medical expense	0	0			Net spendable income		3106	3295
Property tax expense	917	0			Change from guideline		0	189
Ded interest expense	1405	0	Proposed		% of combined spendable		44%	44%
Charitable contributions	0	0	Tactic 9		% of saving over guideline		0%	44%
Misc tax deductions	0	0	CS	2247	Total taxes		0	0
Qual bus income ded	0	0	SS	1048	Dep. exemption value		0	0
Required union dues	176	0	Total	3295	# withholding allowances		0	0
Mandatory retirement	2796	0	Saving	430	Net wage paycheck		0	0
Hardship deduction	0 *	0 *	Releases	2				
Other GDL deductions	0	0	Released to Father					
Child care expenses	0	0						

Father pays Guideline CS, Guideline SS, Proposed CS, Proposed SS

FC 4055 checking: ON

Per Child Information									
	Timeshare	cce(F)	cce(M)	Addons	Payor	Basic CS	Payor	Pres CS	Payor
All children	49 - 51	0	0	0	Father	2118	Father	2118	Father
	49 - 51	0	0	0	Father	794	Father	794	Father
	49 - 51	0	0	0	Father	1324	Father	1324	Father

Annual Bonus Income Father

- Cost is an increase in support paid or a decrease in support received by this spouse
- Bonus income may reverse direction of CS and/or SS
- R = recipient of support

Annual bonus paid to Mother: 0
Prior relationship(s): OFF

Additional Cost to Father

Child Support			Spousal Support		Total CS	Total SS
Father's Bonus	% of bonus	\$	% of bonus	\$		(adjusted)
0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0	0
200	18.30	37	8.54	17	25,448	11,875
400	18.30	73	8.54	34	25,485	11,892
600	18.30	110	8.54	51	25,521	11,910
800	18.30	146	8.54	68	25,558	11,927
1,000	18.30	183	8.54	85	25,594	11,944
1,200	18.30	220	8.54	102	25,631	11,961
1,400	18.30	256	8.54	120	25,668	11,978
1,600	18.30	293	8.54	137	25,704	11,995
1,800	18.30	329	8.54	154	25,741	12,012
2,000	18.30	366	8.54	171	25,777	12,029
2,200	18.30	403	8.54	188	25,814	12,046
2,400	18.30	439	8.54	205	25,851	12,063
2,600	18.30	476	8.54	222	25,887	12,080
2,800	18.30	512	8.54	239	25,924	12,097
3,000	18.30	549	8.54	256	25,960	12,114
3,200	18.30	585	8.54	273	25,997	12,131
3,400	18.30	622	8.54	290	26,033	12,149
3,600	18.30	659	8.54	307	26,070	12,166
3,800	18.30	695	8.54	324	26,107	12,183
4,000	18.30	732	8.54	342	26,143	12,200
4,200	18.30	768	8.54	359	26,180	12,217
4,400	18.30	805	8.54	376	26,216	12,234
4,600	18.30	842	8.54	393	26,253	12,251
4,800	18.30	878	8.54	410	26,290	12,268
5,000	18.30	915	8.54	427	26,326	12,285
5,200	18.30	951	8.54	444	26,363	12,302
5,400	18.30	988	8.54	461	26,399	12,319
5,600	18.30	1,025	8.54	478	26,436	12,336
5,800	18.30	1,061	8.54	495	26,473	12,353
6,000	18.29	1,098	8.54	512	26,509	12,370
6,200	18.28	1,134	8.53	529	26,545	12,387
6,400	18.27	1,169	8.53	546	26,581	12,404
6,600	18.26	1,205	8.52	563	26,617	12,421
6,800	18.26	1,241	8.52	579	26,653	12,438
7,000	18.25	1,277	8.52	596	26,689	12,454
7,200	18.24	1,313	8.51	613	26,725	12,471
7,400	18.23	1,349	8.51	630	26,761	12,488
7,600	18.23	1,385	8.50	646	26,797	12,505
7,800	18.22	1,421	8.50	663	26,832	12,521
8,000	18.21	1,457	8.50	680	26,868	12,538
8,200	18.21	1,493	8.50	697	26,904	12,555
8,400	18.20	1,529	8.49	713	26,940	12,572
8,600	18.20	1,565	8.49	730	26,976	12,588
8,800	18.19	1,601	8.49	747	27,012	12,605

Annual Bonus Income Father

- Cost is an increase in support paid or a decrease in support received by this spouse
- Bonus income may reverse direction of CS and/or SS
- R = recipient of support

Annual bonus paid to Mother: 0
Prior relationship(s): OFF

Additional Cost to Father

Father's Bonus	Child Support		Spousal Support		Total CS	Total SS (adjusted)
	% of bonus	\$	% of bonus	\$		
9,000	18.19	1,637	8.49	764	27,048	12,622
9,200	18.18	1,673	8.48	781	27,084	12,639
9,400	18.18	1,709	8.48	797	27,120	12,656
9,600	18.17	1,745	8.48	814	27,156	12,672
9,800	18.17	1,780	8.48	831	27,192	12,689
10,000	18.16	1,816	8.48	848	27,228	12,706
10,200	18.16	1,852	8.47	864	27,264	12,723
10,400	18.16	1,888	8.47	881	27,300	12,739
10,600	18.15	1,924	8.47	898	27,336	12,756
10,800	18.15	1,960	8.47	915	27,372	12,773
11,000	18.15	1,996	8.47	931	27,407	12,790
11,200	18.14	2,032	8.47	948	27,443	12,807
11,400	18.14	2,068	8.46	965	27,479	12,823
11,600	18.14	2,104	8.46	982	27,515	12,840
11,800	18.13	2,140	8.46	999	27,551	12,857
12,000	18.13	2,176	8.46	1,015	27,587	12,874
12,200	18.13	2,212	8.46	1,032	27,623	12,890
12,400	18.13	2,248	8.46	1,049	27,659	12,907
12,600	18.13	2,285	8.46	1,066	27,696	12,924
12,800	18.14	2,322	8.47	1,084	27,733	12,942
13,000	18.15	2,359	8.47	1,101	27,771	12,959
13,200	18.16	2,397	8.47	1,118	27,808	12,977
13,400	18.16	2,434	8.48	1,136	27,845	12,994
13,600	18.17	2,471	8.48	1,153	27,882	13,011
13,800	18.18	2,508	8.48	1,171	27,920	13,029
14,000	18.18	2,546	8.49	1,188	27,957	13,046
14,200	18.19	2,583	8.49	1,205	27,994	13,064
14,400	18.20	2,620	8.49	1,223	28,032	13,081
14,600	18.20	2,657	8.49	1,240	28,069	13,098
14,800	18.21	2,695	8.50	1,257	28,106	13,116
15,000	18.21	2,732	8.50	1,275	28,143	13,133
15,200	18.22	2,769	8.50	1,292	28,181	13,150
15,400	18.22	2,806	8.50	1,309	28,217	13,168
15,600	18.22	2,842	8.50	1,326	28,253	13,184
15,800	18.22	2,878	8.50	1,343	28,289	13,201
16,000	18.21	2,914	8.50	1,360	28,326	13,218
16,200	18.21	2,950	8.50	1,377	28,362	13,235
16,400	18.21	2,987	8.50	1,394	28,398	13,252
16,600	18.21	3,023	8.50	1,411	28,434	13,269
16,800	18.21	3,059	8.50	1,427	28,470	13,286
17,000	18.21	3,095	8.50	1,444	28,506	13,303
17,200	18.21	3,131	8.50	1,461	28,543	13,319
17,400	18.20	3,167	8.49	1,478	28,579	13,336
17,600	18.20	3,204	8.49	1,495	28,615	13,353
17,800	18.20	3,240	8.49	1,512	28,651	13,370

Annual Bonus Income Father

- Cost is an increase in support paid or a decrease in support received by this spouse
- Bonus income may reverse direction of CS and/or SS
- R = recipient of support

Annual bonus paid to Mother: 0
Prior relationship(s): OFF

Additional Cost to Father						Total CS	Total SS (adjusted)
Child Support			Spousal Support				
Father's Bonus	% of bonus	\$	% of bonus	\$			
18,000	18.20	3,276	8.49	1,529	28,687	13,387	
18,200	18.20	3,312	8.49	1,546	28,724	13,404	
18,400	18.20	3,348	8.49	1,563	28,760	13,421	
18,600	18.20	3,385	8.49	1,579	28,796	13,438	
18,800	18.20	3,421	8.49	1,596	28,832	13,455	
19,000	18.19	3,457	8.49	1,613	28,868	13,471	
19,200	18.19	3,493	8.49	1,630	28,904	13,488	
19,400	18.19	3,529	8.49	1,647	28,941	13,505	
19,600	18.19	3,565	8.49	1,664	28,977	13,522	
19,800	18.19	3,602	8.49	1,681	29,013	13,539	
20,000	18.19	3,638	8.49	1,698	29,049	13,556	
20,200	18.19	3,674	8.49	1,714	29,085	13,573	
20,400	18.19	3,710	8.49	1,731	29,122	13,590	
20,600	18.19	3,746	8.49	1,748	29,158	13,607	
20,800	18.19	3,783	8.49	1,765	29,194	13,623	
21,000	18.18	3,819	8.49	1,782	29,230	13,640	
21,200	18.18	3,855	8.49	1,799	29,266	13,657	
21,400	18.18	3,891	8.48	1,816	29,302	13,674	
21,600	18.18	3,927	8.48	1,833	29,339	13,691	
21,800	18.18	3,963	8.48	1,850	29,375	13,708	
22,000	18.18	4,000	8.48	1,866	29,411	13,725	
22,200	18.18	4,036	8.48	1,883	29,447	13,742	
22,400	18.18	4,072	8.48	1,900	29,483	13,758	
22,600	18.18	4,108	8.48	1,917	29,520	13,775	
22,800	18.18	4,144	8.48	1,934	29,556	13,792	
23,000	18.18	4,181	8.48	1,951	29,592	13,809	
23,200	18.18	4,217	8.48	1,968	29,628	13,826	
23,400	18.17	4,253	8.48	1,985	29,664	13,843	
23,600	18.17	4,289	8.48	2,001	29,700	13,860	
23,800	18.17	4,325	8.48	2,018	29,737	13,877	
24,000	18.17	4,361	8.48	2,035	29,773	13,894	
24,200	18.17	4,398	8.48	2,052	29,809	13,910	
24,400	18.17	4,434	8.48	2,069	29,845	13,927	
24,600	18.17	4,470	8.48	2,086	29,881	13,944	
24,800	18.17	4,506	8.48	2,103	29,918	13,961	
25,000	18.17	4,542	8.48	2,120	29,954	13,978	

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 5
July 2, 2026
8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

7. JORDAN LYKINS V. SONJA GILSON

24FL0295

This matter is before the court for a Child Custody Recommending Counseling (CCRC) review hearing. The parties were referred to CCRC on January 20, 2026, during the hearing on Petitioner's request for a Domestic Violence Restraining Order (DVRO). In accordance with the court's order, the parties attended CCRC on February 4, 2026 and were able to reach agreements on all issues. A report containing those agreements was prepared and mailed to the parties on March 13, 2026.

The court has reviewed the filings as outlined above and, given that Petitioner has dropped the DVRO request, the court finds the agreements contained in the March 13, 2026 CCRC report to be in the best interests of the minors and they are hereby adopted as the orders of the court.

Petitioner is directed to prepare the Findings and Orders After Hearing (FOAH); however, this order is effective immediately upon the court's adoption of the tentative ruling and is not conditioned on the preparation of the FOAH.

TENTATIVE RULING #7: THE COURT FINDS THE AGREEMENTS CONTAINED IN THE MARCH 13, 2026 CCRC REPORT TO BE IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE MINORS AND THEY ARE HEREBY ADOPTED AS THE ORDERS OF THE COURT.

PETITIONER IS DIRECTED TO PREPARE THE FINDINGS AND ORDERS AFTER HEARING (FOAH); HOWEVER, THIS ORDER IS EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY UPON THE COURT'S ADOPTION OF THE TENTATIVE RULING AND IS NOT CONDITIONED ON THE PREPARATION OF THE FOAH.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY PHONE CALL TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6725 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; *SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT*, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY PHONE CALL OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 5
July 2, 2026
8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

8. SUKHDEEP NATT V. GUNEET NATT

25FL0866

On November 13, 2025, Petitioner filed a Request for Order (RFO) seeking child support, spousal support, attorney's fees, and an order for Respondent to pay for extracurricular activities and school tuition for the child. All required documents were served by mail on November 14th. The Proof of Service indicates that a "courtesy copy of endorsed Income and Expense Declaration" was served, however after reviewing the court's file it does not appear that an Income and Expense Declaration was filed concurrently with the RFO. That said, Petitioner did file an Income and Expense Declaration on March 18, 2026. It was served on March 9th.

On March 13th, Respondent filed an RFO for child custody and visitation orders. It was served on March 17th.

Respondent filed and served her Responsive Declaration to Request for Order on March 19th. She filed and served her Income and Expense Declaration on March 27th.

The Reply Declaration of Sukhdeep Natt in Support of RFO Filed on 11/13/2025 was filed on March 27, 2026.

The parties attended Child Custody Recommending Counseling (CCRC) on April 13, 2026 and were unable to reach any agreements. A report with recommendations was prepared on May 27, 2026. It was mailed to the parties on May 28th.

Petitioner filed and served an updated Income and Expense Declaration on May 29th.

Petitioner filed a Responsive Declaration to Request for Order on June 22nd. The court finds this to be late filed pursuant to Civil Procedure section 1005(b) which states all opposition papers are to be filed at least nine court days before the hearing date. Section 12c states, "[w]here any law requires an act to be performed no later than a specified number of days before a hearing date, the last day to perform that act shall be determined by counting backward from the hearing date, *excluding the day of the hearing* as provided by Section 12." Cal. Civ. Pro. § 12c. Section 1005(b) in conjunction with Section 12c would have made June 18th the last date to file the responsive declaration therefore it has not been read or considered by the court.

Respondent's Reply Declaration Regarding Custody, Visitation and FCS Mediation Report was filed on June 24th along with an updated Income and Expense Declaration.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 5
July 2, 2026
8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

Petitioner is requesting guideline child support and spousal support and attorney's fees in the amount of \$20,000 pursuant to Family Code § 2030. She asks that support be ordered back to the date of separation. She also seeks an order for Respondent to cover the full cost of the minor's extracurricular activities and private school tuition. Alternatively, if she receives the requested support she agrees to split these costs proportionally based on each party's net disposable income.

Respondent is requesting joint legal and joint physical custody of the minor child with a week on/week off schedule. He also asks the court to order a holiday schedule and vacation schedule and to make orders regarding out-of-state and international travel. Regarding support, Respondent asks the court to impute Petitioner with full-time income of at least \$2,929 per month. He further asks that Petitioner be ordered to seek work immediately and provide copies of at least 5 submitted job applications and resumes weekly and to undergo a vocational evaluation with Patrick Sullivan at Respondent's cost, subject to reallocation. He asks for a return hearing to review the results of the evaluation. He asks that both parties be ordered to equally split the cost of extracurricular activities and school tuition. Finally, he is requesting a credit for voluntary support paid and he asks the court to deny Petitioner's request for attorney fees.

After reviewing the filings as outlined above the court finds the recommendations contained in the May 27, 2026 CCRC report to be in the best interests of the minor. They are hereby adopted as the orders of the court with the following amendments. Sections 3 and 4 of the Parenting Time section shall be amended to read – "Visitation will be subject to a step-up plan. Step one – Father shall have visitation with the minor every Monday, Tuesday, and Thursday from 5:00pm to 9:00pm. Additionally, if the minor chooses, he can have overnight visits with Father up to twice per month from Saturday at 10:00am to Sunday at 10:00am. These visits are to be solely in the minor's discretion. The parties are to follow step-one for a period of 90 consecutive days and then they are to move on to step two. Step two – Father shall have the minor on alternating weekends from Friday after school (or 3:00pm if no school) to Sunday at 6:00pm. On the weeks that Father does not have his weekend visits, then he is to have the minor on Monday, Tuesday and Thursday from 5:00pm to 9:00pm." In addition to the foregoing, if either parent is unable to care for the minor for a period of 9 consecutive hours or more, the non-custodial parent shall have a right of first refusal to care for the child.

The court is also adopting the holiday and vacation terms set forth on the FL-341(C) which is attached as Exhibit A to Respondent's June 24th reply declaration.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 5
July 2, 2026
8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

Turning to the issue of support, it appears Petitioner has obtained full time employment commencing on July 6, 2026, as such the court declines to rule on the request for a seek-work order as the court finds the request to be moot. Likewise, the court declines to impute Petitioner with income given that she has now obtained employment and her actual anticipated income will be used to calculate support.

It is unclear if Respondent is still requesting Petitioner to undergo a vocational evaluation. If so, the request is granted. Petitioner is ordered to submit to a vocational evaluation with Patrick Sullivan. Respondent is to pay the cost of the evaluation subject to reallocation. The court is not setting a review hearing on the results of the evaluation as it is unclear if Respondent is still making the request. In the event the parties receive the results of the evaluation they can file a new RFO with a request to amend support.

The support orders made herein are effective as of November 15, 2025. Thus, the court finds a need for three separate Xspouse reports to coincide with the increased timeshare. From November 15, 2025 through July 14, 2026, Respondent has had visits with the minor approximately three times per week for approximately 2 hours per visit (per the minor's CCRC statement). This results in 312 hours of visitation a year which equates to a 3% timeshare ($312/8,760 = 3\%$). During step one from July 15, 2026 through October 14, 2026, Respondent will have visits with the minor 12 hours per week, which would be 624 hours of visitation annually, a 7% timeshare ($624/8,760 = 7\%$). Finally, from October 15th on, the timeshare will be 19% ($1,638 \text{ hours}/8,760 = 19\%$). Utilizing these figures, the court finds the following:

- **November 15, 2025 – July 14, 2026:** Child support is \$3,867 and spousal support per the Alameda formula is \$6,393 per month. See attached Xspouse report. The court adopts the attached Xspouse report and orders Respondent to pay Petitioner \$10,259 per month as and for child support and temporary spousal support, payable on the 15th of the month until further order of the court or legal termination.

The court finds this order results in arrears in the amount of \$82,072 through and including July 14, 2026. Respondent shall receive a credit for any voluntary support payments made from November 15, 2025 through July 14, 2026. The parties are to meet and confer to establish a payment plan for any remaining arrears. The court reserves jurisdiction over the issue of arrears and credits.

The court further finds Respondent routinely earned bonus pay during this time and therefore has included a bonus table with the Xspouse. The parties are to adjust the support due pursuant to the attached bonus table.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 2, 2026

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

- **July 15, 2026 – October 14, 2026:** Child support is \$3,498 and spousal support per the Alameda formula is \$4,735 per month. See attached Xspouse report. The court adopts the attached Xspouse report and orders Respondent to pay Petitioner \$8,234 per month as and for child support and temporary spousal support, payable on the 15th of the month until further order of the court or legal termination.

The court further finds the parties both routinely earn bonus pay and therefore two bonus tables have been included. When either party receives a bonus payment, the parties are to adjust the support due for that month pursuant to the attached bonus table.

- **October 15, 2026 - onward :** Child support is \$3,277 and spousal support per the Alameda formula is \$4,620 per month. See attached Xspouse report. The court adopts the attached Xspouse report and orders Respondent to pay Petitioner \$7,898 per month as and for child support and temporary spousal support, payable on the 15th of the month until further order of the court or legal termination.

The court further finds the parties both routinely earn bonus pay and therefore two bonus tables have been included. When either party receives a bonus payment, the parties are to adjust the support due for that month pursuant to the attached bonus table.

The parties are ordered to equally split the costs of the minor's extracurricular activities and private school tuition.

Finally, regarding need-based attorney's fees, in the face of a request for attorney's fees and costs, the court is to make findings on "whether there is a disparity in access to funds to retain counsel, and whether one party is able to pay for legal representation of both parties." Fam. Code § 2030(a)(2). Family Code section 2032 works in tandem with Section 2030 to ensure that any award of costs and fees is just and reasonable. Fam. Code § 2032. "In determining what is just and reasonable under the relative circumstances, the court shall take into consideration the need for the award to enable each party, to the extent practical, to have sufficient financial resources to present the party's case adequately." *Id.* at (b). Here, the court finds there to be both a disparity in access to income and Respondent's ability to pay the attorney fees of both parties. That said, this matter is still in its infancy and the court does not find an award of \$20,000 to be just and reasonable under the circumstances. Instead, Petitioner is awarded \$10,000 as and for attorney's fees pursuant to Family Code § 2030. This amount is to be paid directly to Petitioner's attorney. Payment may be made in one lump sum or in monthly increments of \$1,000 commencing on July 15, 2026 and continuing on the 15th of each month until paid in full (approximately

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 5
July 2, 2026
8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

10 months). If any payment is missed or late, the entire amount shall become immediately due and payable.

Petitioner is directed to prepare the Findings and Orders After Hearing (FOAH); however, this order is effective immediately upon the court's adoption of the tentative ruling and is not conditioned on the preparation of the FOAH.

TENTATIVE RULING #8: THE COURT FINDS THE RECOMMENDATIONS CONTAINED IN THE MAY 27, 2026 CCRC REPORT TO BE IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE MINOR. THEY ARE HEREBY ADOPTED AS THE ORDERS OF THE COURT WITH THE FOLLOWING AMENDMENTS. SECTIONS 3 AND 4 OF THE PARENTING TIME SECTION SHALL BE AMENDED TO READ – “VISITATION WILL BE SUBJECT TO A STEP-UP PLAN. STEP ONE – FATHER SHALL HAVE VISITATION WITH THE MINOR EVERY MONDAY, TUESDAY, AND THURSDAY FROM 5:00PM TO 9:00PM. ADDITIONALLY, IF THE MINOR CHOOSES, HE CAN HAVE OVERNIGHT VISITS WITH FATHER UP TO TWICE PER MONTH FROM SATURDAY AT 10:00AM TO SUNDAY AT 10:00AM. THESE VISITS ARE TO BE SOLELY IN THE MINOR’S DISCRETION. THE PARTIES ARE TO FOLLOW STEP-ONE FOR A PERIOD OF 90 CONSECUTIVE DAYS AND THEN THEY ARE TO MOVE ON TO STEP TWO. STEP TWO – FATHER SHALL HAVE THE MINOR ON ALTERNATING WEEKENDS FROM FRIDAY AFTER SCHOOL (OR 3:00PM IF NO SCHOOL) TO SUNDAY AT 6:00PM. ON THE WEEKS THAT FATHER DOES NOT HAVE HIS WEEKEND VISITS, THEN HE IS TO HAVE THE MINOR ON MONDAY, TUESDAY AND THURSDAY FROM 5:00PM TO 9:00PM.” IN ADDITION TO THE FOREGOING, IF EITHER PARENT IS UNABLE TO CARE FOR THE MINOR FOR A PERIOD OF 9 CONSECUTIVE HOURS OR MORE, THE NON-CUSTODIAL PARENT SHALL HAVE A RIGHT OF FIRST REFUSAL TO CARE FOR THE CHILD.

THE COURT IS ALSO ADOPTING THE HOLIDAY AND VACATION TERMS SET FORTH ON THE FL-341(C) WHICH IS ATTACHED AS EXHIBIT A TO RESPONDENT’S JUNE 24TH REPLY DECLARATION.

PETITIONER HAS OBTAINED FULL TIME EMPLOYMENT COMMENCING ON JULY 6, 2026, AS SUCH THE COURT DECLINES TO RULE ON THE REQUEST FOR A SEEK-WORK ORDER AS THE COURT FINDS THE REQUEST TO BE MOOT. THE COURT DECLINES TO IMPUTE PETITIONER WITH INCOME GIVEN THAT SHE HAS NOW OBTAINED EMPLOYMENT AND HER ACTUAL INCOME WILL BE USED TO CALCULATE SUPPORT.

IT IS UNCLEAR IF RESPONDENT IS STILL REQUESTING PETITIONER UNDERGO A VOCATIONAL EVALUATION. IF SO, THE REQUEST IS GRANTED. PETITIONER IS

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 5
July 2, 2026
8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

ORDERED TO SUBMIT TO A VOCATIONAL EVALUATION WITH PATRICK SULLIVAN. RESPONDENT IS TO PAY THE COST OF THE EVALUATION SUBJECT TO REALLOCATION. THE COURT IS NOT SETTING A REVIEW HEARING ON THE RESULTS OF THE EVALUATION.

THE COURT FINDS THE FOLLOWING FOR SUPPORT:

- **NOVEMBER 15, 2025 – JULY 14, 2026: CHILD SUPPORT IS \$3,867 AND SPOUSAL SUPPORT PER THE ALAMEDA FORMULA IS \$6,393 PER MONTH. SEE ATTACHED XSPOUSE REPORT. THE COURT ADOPTS THE ATTACHED XSPOUSE REPORT AND ORDERS RESPONDENT TO PAY PETITIONER \$10,259 PER MONTH AS AND FOR CHILD SUPPORT AND TEMPORARY SPOUSAL SUPPORT, PAYABLE ON THE 15TH OF THE MONTH UNTIL FURTHER ORDER OF THE COURT OR LEGAL TERMINATION.**

THE COURT FINDS THIS ORDER RESULTS IN ARREARS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$82,072 THROUGH AND INCLUDING JULY 14, 2026. RESPONDENT SHALL RECEIVE A CREDIT FOR ANY VOLUNTARY SUPPORT PAYMENTS MADE FROM NOVEMBER 15, 2025 THROUGH JULY 14, 2026. THE PARTIES ARE TO MEET AND CONFER TO ESTABLISH A PAYMENT PLAN FOR ANY REMAINING ARREARS. THE COURT RESERVES JURISDICTION OVER THE ISSUE OF ARREARS AND CREDITS.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS RESPONDENT ROUTINELY EARNED BONUS PAY DURING THIS TIME AND THEREFORE HAS INCLUDED A BONUS TABLE WITH THE XSPOUSE. THE PARTIES ARE TO ADJUST THE SUPPORT DUE PURSUANT TO THE ATTACHED BONUS TABLE.

- **JULY 15, 2026 – OCTOBER 14, 2026: CHILD SUPPORT IS \$3,498 AND SPOUSAL SUPPORT PER THE ALAMEDA FORMULA IS \$4,735 PER MONTH. SEE ATTACHED XSPOUSE REPORT. THE COURT ADOPTS THE ATTACHED XSPOUSE REPORT AND ORDERS RESPONDENT TO PAY PETITIONER \$8,234 PER MONTH AS AND FOR CHILD SUPPORT AND TEMPORARY SPOUSAL SUPPORT, PAYABLE ON THE 15TH OF THE MONTH UNTIL FURTHER ORDER OF THE COURT OR LEGAL TERMINATION.**

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS THE PARTIES BOTH ROUTINELY EARN BONUS PAY AND THEREFORE TWO BONUS TABLES HAVE BEEN INCLUDED. WHEN EITHER PARTY RECEIVES A BONUS PAYMENT, THE PARTIES ARE TO ADJUST THE SUPPORT DUE FOR THAT MONTH PURSUANT TO THE ATTACHED BONUS TABLE.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 2, 2026

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

- **OCTOBER 15, 2026 - ONWARD : CHILD SUPPORT IS \$3,277 AND SPOUSAL SUPPORT PER THE ALAMEDA FORMULA IS \$4,620 PER MONTH. SEE ATTACHED XSPOUSE REPORT. THE COURT ADOPTS THE ATTACHED XSPOUSE REPORT AND ORDERS RESPONDENT TO PAY PETITIONER \$7,898 PER MONTH AS AND FOR CHILD SUPPORT AND TEMPORARY SPOUSAL SUPPORT, PAYABLE ON THE 15TH OF THE MONTH UNTIL FURTHER ORDER OF THE COURT OR LEGAL TERMINATION.**

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS THE PARTIES BOTH ROUTINELY EARN BONUS PAY AND THEREFORE TWO BONUS TABLES HAVE BEEN INCLUDED. WHEN EITHER PARTY RECEIVES A BONUS PAYMENT, THE PARTIES ARE TO ADJUST THE SUPPORT DUE FOR THAT MONTH PURSUANT TO THE ATTACHED BONUS TABLE.

THE PARTIES ARE ORDERED TO EQUALLY SPLIT THE COSTS OF THE MINOR'S EXTRACURRICULAR ACTIVITIES AND PRIVATE SCHOOL TUITION.

PETITIONER IS AWARDED \$10,000 AS AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES PURSUANT TO FAMILY CODE § 2030. THIS AMOUNT IS TO BE PAID DIRECTLY TO PETITIONER'S ATTORNEY. PAYMENT MAY BE MADE IN ONE LUMP SUM OR IN MONTHLY INCREMENTS OF \$1,000 COMMENCING ON JULY 15, 2026 AND CONTINUING ON THE 15TH OF EACH MONTH UNTIL PAID IN FULL (APPROXIMATELY 10 MONTHS). IF ANY PAYMENT IS MISSED OR LATE, THE ENTIRE AMOUNT SHALL BECOME IMMEDIATELY DUE AND PAYABLE.

PETITIONER IS DIRECTED TO PREPARE THE FINDINGS AND ORDERS AFTER HEARING (FOAH); HOWEVER, THIS ORDER IS EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY UPON THE COURT'S ADOPTION OF THE TENTATIVE RULING AND IS NOT CONDITIONED ON THE PREPARATION OF THE FOAH.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY PHONE CALL TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6725 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; *SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT*, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY PHONE CALL OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

Fixed Shares	Father	Mother	Monthly figures		Cash Flow	Guideline	Proposed
#of children	0	1	2026				
% time with NCP	7.00 %	0.00 %	GUIDELINE		Comb. net spendable	25792	25792
Filing status	MFJ->	<-MFJ	Nets(adjusted)		Percent change	0%	0%
# exemptions	1 *	2 *			Father		
Wages+salary	31781	6769	Father	21439	Payment cost/benefit	-8234	-8234
Self-employed income	0	0	Mother	4353	Net spendable income	13205	13205
Other taxable income	0	0	Total	25792	Change from guideline	0	0
TANF+CS received	0	0	Support		% of combined spendable	51%	51%
Other nontaxble income	0	0	Addons	0	% of saving over guideline	0%	0%
New spouse income	0	0	Guideln CS	3498	Total taxes	10325	10325
401(k) employee contrib	0	0	Alameda SS	4735	Dep. exemption value	0	0
Adjustments to income	0	0	Total	8234	# withholding allowances	0w	0w
SS paid prev marriage	0	0	-		Net wage paycheck	21396	21396
CS paid prev marriage	0	0			Mother		
Health insurance	17	0			Payment cost/benefit	8234	8234
Other medical expense	0	0			Net spendable income	12587	12587
Property tax expense	892	0	Proposed		Change from guideline	0	0
Ded interest expense	0	0	Tactic 9		% of combined spendable	49%	49%
Charitable contributions	0	0			% of saving over guideline	0%	0%
Misc tax deductions	0	0	CS	3498	Total taxes	2416	2416
Qual bus income ded	0	0	SS	4735	Dep. exemption value	0	0
Required union dues	0	0	Total	8234	# withholding allowances	0w	0w
Mandatory retirement	0	0			Net wage paycheck	5425	5425
Hardship deduction	0 *	0 *	Saving	0			
Other GDL deductions	0	0	Releases	0			
Child care expenses	0	0					

Father pays Guideline CS, Guideline SS, Proposed CS, Proposed SS

FC 4055 checking: ON

Per Child Information								
	Timeshare	cce(F)	cce(M)	Addons	Payor	Basic CS	Payor	Pres CS Payor
All children	7 - 93	0	0	0	Father	3498	Father	3498 Father
	7 - 93	0	0	0	Father	3498	Father	3498 Father

Fixed Shares	Father	Mother	Monthly figures		Cash Flow	Guideline	Proposed
#of children	0	1	2026				
% time with NCP	19.00 %	0.00 %	GUIDELINE		Comb. net spendable	25792	25792
Filing status	MFJ->	<-MFJ	Nets(adjusted)		Percent change	0%	0%
# exemptions	1 *	2 *	Support		Father		
Wages+salary	31781	6769	Father	21439	Payment cost/benefit	-7898	-7898
Self-employed income	0	0	Mother	4353	Net spendable income	13541	13541
Other taxable income	0	0	Total	25792	Change from guideline	0	0
TANF+CS received	0	0	Support		% of combined spendable	53%	53%
Other nontaxable income	0	0	Addons	0	% of saving over guideline	0%	0%
New spouse income	0	0	Guideln CS	3277	Total taxes	10325	10325
401(k) employee contrib	0	0	Alameda SS	4620	Dep. exemption value	0	0
Adjustments to income	0	0	Total	7898	# withholding allowances	0w	0w
SS paid prev marriage	0	0	-		Net wage paycheck	21396	21396
CS paid prev marriage	0	0			Mother		
Health insurance	17	0			Payment cost/benefit	7898	7898
Other medical expense	0	0			Net spendable income	12251	12251
Property tax expense	892	0	Proposed		Change from guideline	0	0
Ded interest expense	0	0	Tactic 9		% of combined spendable	47%	47%
Charitable contributions	0	0			% of saving over guideline	0%	0%
Misc tax deductions	0	0	CS	3277	Total taxes	2416	2416
Qual bus income ded	0	0	SS	4620	Dep. exemption value	0	0
Required union dues	0	0	Total	7898	# withholding allowances	0w	0w
Mandatory retirement	0	0			Net wage paycheck	5425	5425
Hardship deduction	0 *	0 *	Saving	0			
Other GDL deductions	0	0	Releases	0			
Child care expenses	0	0					

Father pays Guideline CS, Guideline SS, Proposed CS, Proposed SS

FC 4055 checking: ON

Per Child Information									
	Timeshare	cce(F)	cce(M)	Addons	Payor	Basic CS	Payor	Pres CS	Payor
All children	19 - 81	0	0	0	Father	3277	Father	3277	Father
	19 - 81	0	0	0	Father	3277	Father	3277	Father

Fixed Shares	Father	Mother	Monthly figures	Cash Flow	Guideline	Proposed
#of children	0	1	2026			
% time with NCP	3.00 %	0.00 %				
Filing status	MFJ->	<-MFJ	GUIDELINE	Comb. net spendable	22251	22251
# exemptions	1 *	2 *	Nets(adjusted)	Percent change	0%	0%
Wages+salary	31781	0	Father	Father		
Self-employed income	0	0	Mother	Payment cost/benefit	-10259	-10259
Other taxable income	0	0	Total	Net spendable income	11992	11992
TANF+CS received	0	0	Support	Change from guideline	0	0
Other nontaxable income	0	0	Addons	% of combined spendable	54%	54%
New spouse income	0	0	Guideln CS	% of saving over guideline	0%	0%
401(k) employee contrib	0	0	Alameda SS	Total taxes	9513	9513
Adjustments to income	0	0	Total	Dep. exemption value	0	0
SS paid prev marriage	0	0	-	# withholding allowances	0	0
CS paid prev marriage	0	0		Net wage paycheck	21396	21396
Health insurance	17	0		Mother		
Other medical expense	0	0		Payment cost/benefit	10259	10259
Property tax expense	892	0		Net spendable income	10259	10259
Ded interest expense	0	0	Proposed	Change from guideline	0	0
Charitable contributions	0	0	Tactic 9	% of combined spendable	46%	46%
Misc tax deductions	0	0	CS	% of saving over guideline	0%	0%
Qual bus income ded	0	0	SS	Total taxes	0	0
Required union dues	0	0	Total	Dep. exemption value	0	0
Mandatory retirement	0	0		# withholding allowances	0w	0w
Hardship deduction	0 *	0 *	Saving	Net wage paycheck	0	0
Other GDL deductions	0	0	Releases			
Child care expenses	0	0				

Father pays Guideline CS, Guideline SS, Proposed CS, Proposed SS

FC 4055 checking: ON

Per Child Information	Timeshare	cce(F)	cce(M)	Addons	Payor	Basic CS	Payor	Pres CS	Payor
All children	3 - 97	0	0	0	Father	3867	Father	3867	Father
	3 - 97	0	0	0	Father	3867	Father	3867	Father

Annual Bonus Income Mother

- Cost is an increase in support paid or a decrease in support received by this spouse
- Bonus income may reverse direction of CS and/or SS
- R = recipient of support

Annual bonus paid to Father: 50000
Prior relationship(s): OFF

Additional Cost to Mother							
Child Support			Spousal Support			Total CS	Total SS
Mother's Bonus	% of bonus	\$	% of bonus	\$			(adjusted)
0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0	R	0 R
500	6.57	33	24.09	120	42,884	R	64,001 R
1,000	6.57	66	24.08	241	42,852	R	63,880 R
1,500	6.56	98	24.07	361	42,819	R	63,760 R
2,000	6.56	131	24.06	481	42,786	R	63,640 R
2,500	6.55	164	24.05	601	42,753	R	63,520 R
3,000	6.55	196	24.04	721	42,721	R	63,400 R
3,500	6.54	229	24.02	841	42,688	R	63,280 R
4,000	6.54	261	24.01	961	42,656	R	63,161 R
4,500	6.53	294	24.00	1,080	42,623	R	63,041 R
5,000	6.53	326	23.99	1,200	42,591	R	62,921 R
5,500	6.52	359	23.98	1,319	42,559	R	62,802 R
6,000	6.52	391	23.97	1,438	42,526	R	62,683 R
6,500	6.51	423	23.96	1,558	42,494	R	62,563 R
7,000	6.51	455	23.95	1,677	42,462	R	62,444 R
7,500	6.50	488	23.94	1,796	42,430	R	62,325 R
8,000	6.52	521	23.98	1,918	42,396	R	62,203 R
8,500	6.51	553	23.96	2,037	42,364	R	62,084 R
9,000	6.50	585	23.95	2,156	42,332	R	61,966 R
9,500	6.50	617	23.94	2,274	42,300	R	61,847 R
10,000	6.49	649	23.93	2,393	42,268	R	61,728 R
10,500	6.50	683	23.95	2,514	42,235	R	61,607 R
11,000	6.49	714	23.93	2,633	42,203	R	61,488 R
11,500	6.49	746	23.92	2,751	42,171	R	61,370 R
12,000	6.48	778	23.91	2,869	42,139	R	61,252 R
12,500	6.48	809	23.90	2,987	42,108	R	61,134 R
13,000	6.48	843	23.91	3,108	42,075	R	61,013 R
13,500	6.48	874	23.90	3,226	42,043	R	60,895 R
14,000	6.47	906	23.88	3,344	42,011	R	60,777 R
14,500	6.46	937	23.87	3,461	41,980	R	60,660 R
15,000	6.46	969	23.86	3,579	41,949	R	60,542 R

Annual Bonus Income Father

- Cost is an increase in support paid or a decrease in support received by this spouse
- Bonus income may reverse direction of CS and/or SS
- R = recipient of support

Annual bonus paid to Mother: 15000
Prior relationship(s): OFF

Additional Cost to Father

Father's Bonus	Child Support		Spousal Support		Total CS	Total SS (adjusted)
	% of bonus	\$	% of bonus	\$		
0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0	0
500	7.63	38	18.27	91	41,328	53,442
1,000	7.63	76	18.27	183	41,366	53,533
1,500	7.62	114	18.26	274	41,404	53,624
2,000	7.62	152	18.26	365	41,442	53,716
2,500	7.62	191	18.26	456	41,480	53,807
3,000	7.62	229	18.26	548	41,518	53,898
3,500	7.62	267	18.26	639	41,556	53,989
4,000	7.62	305	18.25	730	41,594	54,081
4,500	7.62	343	18.25	821	41,632	54,172
5,000	7.62	381	18.25	913	41,670	54,263
5,500	7.61	419	18.25	1,004	41,708	54,354
6,000	7.61	457	18.25	1,095	41,746	54,445
6,500	7.61	495	18.25	1,186	41,784	54,537
7,000	7.61	533	18.25	1,277	41,822	54,628
7,500	7.61	571	18.24	1,368	41,860	54,719
8,000	7.61	609	18.24	1,459	41,898	54,810
8,500	7.61	647	18.24	1,551	41,936	54,901
9,000	7.61	685	18.24	1,642	41,974	54,992
9,500	7.61	723	18.24	1,733	42,012	55,083
10,000	7.60	760	18.24	1,824	42,050	55,174
10,500	7.60	798	18.24	1,915	42,088	55,265
11,000	7.60	836	18.23	2,006	42,126	55,356
11,500	7.60	874	18.23	2,097	42,164	55,447
12,000	7.60	912	18.23	2,188	42,202	55,538
12,500	7.60	950	18.23	2,279	42,240	55,629
13,000	7.60	988	18.23	2,370	42,277	55,720
13,500	7.60	1,026	18.23	2,461	42,315	55,811
14,000	7.60	1,063	18.23	2,552	42,353	55,902
14,500	7.59	1,101	18.22	2,642	42,391	55,993
15,000	7.59	1,139	18.22	2,733	42,429	56,084
15,500	7.59	1,177	18.22	2,824	42,467	56,175
16,000	7.59	1,215	18.22	2,915	42,504	56,266
16,500	7.59	1,252	18.22	3,006	42,542	56,356
17,000	7.59	1,290	18.22	3,097	42,580	56,447
17,500	7.59	1,328	18.22	3,188	42,618	56,538
18,000	7.59	1,366	18.21	3,279	42,655	56,629
18,500	7.59	1,403	18.21	3,369	42,693	56,720
19,000	7.59	1,441	18.21	3,460	42,731	56,811
19,500	7.58	1,479	18.21	3,551	42,769	56,901
20,000	7.58	1,517	18.21	3,642	42,806	56,992
20,500	7.58	1,554	18.21	3,732	42,844	57,083
21,000	7.58	1,592	18.21	3,823	42,882	57,174
21,500	7.58	1,630	18.20	3,914	42,919	57,264
22,000	7.58	1,667	18.20	4,005	42,957	57,355

Annual Bonus Income Father

- Cost is an increase in support paid or a decrease in support received by this spouse
- Bonus income may reverse direction of CS and/or SS
- R = recipient of support

Annual bonus paid to Mother: 15000
Prior relationship(s): OFF

Additional Cost to Father						Total CS	Total SS (adjusted)
Child Support			Spousal Support				
Father's Bonus	% of bonus	\$	% of bonus	\$			
22,500	7.58	1,705	18.20	4,095	42,995	57,446	
23,000	7.58	1,743	18.20	4,186	43,032	57,536	
23,500	7.58	1,780	18.20	4,277	43,070	57,627	
24,000	7.57	1,818	18.20	4,367	43,108	57,718	
24,500	7.57	1,856	18.20	4,458	43,145	57,808	
25,000	7.57	1,893	18.19	4,549	43,183	57,899	
25,500	7.57	1,931	18.19	4,639	43,220	57,990	
26,000	7.57	1,968	18.19	4,730	43,258	58,080	
26,500	7.57	2,006	18.19	4,820	43,296	58,171	
27,000	7.57	2,044	18.19	4,911	43,333	58,262	
27,500	7.56	2,080	18.18	5,000	43,370	58,350	
28,000	7.55	2,113	18.15	5,082	43,403	58,432	
28,500	7.53	2,146	18.12	5,164	43,436	58,514	
29,000	7.51	2,179	18.09	5,245	43,469	58,596	
29,500	7.50	2,212	18.06	5,327	43,502	58,678	
30,000	7.48	2,245	18.03	5,409	43,535	58,759	
30,500	7.47	2,278	18.00	5,491	43,568	58,841	
31,000	7.45	2,311	17.98	5,572	43,601	58,923	
31,500	7.44	2,344	17.95	5,654	43,634	59,005	
32,000	7.43	2,377	17.92	5,736	43,667	59,086	
32,500	7.41	2,410	17.90	5,818	43,699	59,168	
33,000	7.40	2,443	17.88	5,899	43,732	59,250	
33,500	7.39	2,476	17.85	5,981	43,765	59,331	
34,000	7.38	2,508	17.83	6,062	43,798	59,413	
34,500	7.37	2,541	17.81	6,144	43,831	59,494	
35,000	7.35	2,574	17.79	6,225	43,864	59,576	
35,500	7.34	2,607	17.77	6,307	43,897	59,657	
36,000	7.33	2,640	17.75	6,388	43,930	59,739	
36,500	7.32	2,673	17.73	6,470	43,962	59,820	
37,000	7.31	2,705	17.71	6,551	43,995	59,902	
37,500	7.30	2,738	17.69	6,633	44,028	59,983	
38,000	7.29	2,771	17.67	6,714	44,061	60,065	
38,500	7.28	2,804	17.65	6,796	44,093	60,146	
39,000	7.27	2,837	17.63	6,877	44,126	60,227	
39,500	7.26	2,869	17.62	6,958	44,159	60,309	
40,000	7.26	2,902	17.60	7,040	44,192	60,390	
40,500	7.25	2,935	17.58	7,121	44,224	60,471	
41,000	7.24	2,967	17.57	7,202	44,257	60,553	
41,500	7.23	3,000	17.55	7,283	44,290	60,634	
42,000	7.22	3,033	17.53	7,364	44,323	60,715	
42,500	7.21	3,066	17.52	7,446	44,355	60,796	
43,000	7.20	3,097	17.50	7,524	44,386	60,874	
43,500	7.19	3,129	17.48	7,605	44,419	60,955	
44,000	7.19	3,162	17.47	7,686	44,452	61,036	
44,500	7.18	3,194	17.45	7,767	44,484	61,117	

Annual Bonus Income

Father

- Cost is an increase in support paid or a decrease in support received by this spouse
 - Bonus income may reverse direction of CS and/or SS
 - R = recipient of support
- Annual bonus paid to Mother:

15000
- Prior relationship(s):

OFF

Additional Cost to Father						Total CS	Total SS (adjusted)
Child Support			Spousal Support				
Father's Bonus	% of bonus	\$	% of bonus	\$			
45,000	7.17	3,227	17.44	7,848	44,517		61,198
45,500	7.16	3,258	17.42	7,926	44,548		61,276
46,000	7.15	3,291	17.41	8,007	44,580		61,357
46,500	7.15	3,323	17.39	8,088	44,613		61,438
47,000	7.14	3,356	17.38	8,169	44,645		61,519
47,500	7.13	3,388	17.37	8,250	44,678		61,600
48,000	7.12	3,419	17.35	8,327	44,709		61,678
48,500	7.12	3,452	17.34	8,408	44,741		61,759
49,000	7.11	3,484	17.32	8,489	44,774		61,840
49,500	7.10	3,517	17.31	8,570	44,807		61,920
50,000	7.10	3,549	17.30	8,651	44,839		62,001

Annual Bonus Income Father

- Cost is an increase in support paid or a decrease in support received by this spouse
- Bonus income may reverse direction of CS and/or SS
- R = recipient of support

Annual bonus paid to Mother: 15000
Prior relationship(s): OFF

Additional Cost to Father

Father's Bonus	Child Support		Spousal Support		Total CS	Total SS (adjusted)
	% of bonus	\$	% of bonus	\$		
0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0	0
500	7.54	38	17.90	90	38,473	52,153
1,000	7.54	75	17.90	179	38,510	52,242
1,500	7.54	113	17.90	268	38,548	52,332
2,000	7.53	151	17.90	358	38,585	52,421
2,500	7.53	188	17.89	447	38,623	52,511
3,000	7.53	226	17.89	537	38,661	52,600
3,500	7.53	264	17.89	626	38,698	52,690
4,000	7.53	301	17.89	716	38,736	52,779
4,500	7.53	339	17.89	805	38,774	52,868
5,000	7.53	376	17.89	894	38,811	52,958
5,500	7.53	414	17.89	984	38,849	53,047
6,000	7.52	451	17.88	1,073	38,886	53,136
6,500	7.52	489	17.88	1,162	38,924	53,226
7,000	7.52	526	17.88	1,252	38,961	53,315
7,500	7.52	564	17.88	1,341	38,999	53,404
8,000	7.52	602	17.88	1,430	39,036	53,494
8,500	7.52	639	17.88	1,520	39,074	53,583
9,000	7.52	676	17.88	1,609	39,111	53,672
9,500	7.52	714	17.87	1,698	39,149	53,761
10,000	7.51	751	17.87	1,787	39,186	53,851
10,500	7.51	789	17.87	1,877	39,224	53,940
11,000	7.51	826	17.87	1,966	39,261	54,029
11,500	7.51	864	17.87	2,055	39,299	54,118
12,000	7.51	901	17.87	2,144	39,336	54,207
12,500	7.51	938	17.87	2,233	39,373	54,297
13,000	7.51	976	17.86	2,322	39,411	54,386
13,500	7.51	1,013	17.86	2,412	39,448	54,475
14,000	7.50	1,051	17.86	2,501	39,485	54,564
14,500	7.50	1,088	17.86	2,590	39,523	54,653
15,000	7.50	1,125	17.86	2,679	39,560	54,742
15,500	7.50	1,163	17.86	2,768	39,597	54,831
16,000	7.50	1,200	17.86	2,857	39,635	54,920
16,500	7.50	1,237	17.86	2,946	39,672	55,010
17,000	7.50	1,275	17.85	3,035	39,709	55,099
17,500	7.50	1,312	17.85	3,124	39,747	55,188
18,000	7.49	1,349	17.85	3,213	39,784	55,277
18,500	7.49	1,386	17.85	3,302	39,821	55,366
19,000	7.49	1,424	17.85	3,391	39,858	55,455
19,500	7.49	1,461	17.85	3,480	39,896	55,544
20,000	7.49	1,498	17.85	3,569	39,933	55,633
20,500	7.49	1,535	17.84	3,658	39,970	55,722
21,000	7.49	1,572	17.84	3,747	40,007	55,810
21,500	7.49	1,610	17.84	3,836	40,044	55,899
22,000	7.49	1,647	17.84	3,925	40,082	55,988

Annual Bonus Income Father

- Cost is an increase in support paid or a decrease in support received by this spouse
- Bonus income may reverse direction of CS and/or SS
- R = recipient of support

Annual bonus paid to Mother: 15000
Prior relationship(s): OFF

Additional Cost to Father						Total CS	Total SS (adjusted)
Child Support			Spousal Support				
Father's Bonus	% of bonus	\$	% of bonus	\$			
22,500	7.48	1,684	17.84	4,014	40,119	56,077	
23,000	7.48	1,721	17.84	4,103	40,156	56,166	
23,500	7.48	1,758	17.84	4,192	40,193	56,255	
24,000	7.48	1,795	17.84	4,281	40,230	56,344	
24,500	7.48	1,833	17.83	4,369	40,267	56,433	
25,000	7.48	1,870	17.83	4,458	40,304	56,522	
25,500	7.48	1,907	17.83	4,547	40,342	56,610	
26,000	7.48	1,944	17.83	4,636	40,379	56,699	
26,500	7.48	1,981	17.83	4,725	40,416	56,788	
27,000	7.47	2,018	17.83	4,814	40,453	56,877	
27,500	7.47	2,054	17.82	4,901	40,489	56,964	
28,000	7.45	2,087	17.79	4,981	40,522	57,044	
28,500	7.44	2,120	17.76	5,061	40,555	57,124	
29,000	7.42	2,153	17.73	5,141	40,587	57,205	
29,500	7.41	2,185	17.70	5,221	40,620	57,285	
30,000	7.39	2,218	17.67	5,302	40,653	57,365	
30,500	7.38	2,251	17.64	5,382	40,686	57,445	
31,000	7.37	2,284	17.62	5,462	40,719	57,525	
31,500	7.35	2,316	17.59	5,542	40,751	57,605	
32,000	7.34	2,349	17.57	5,622	40,784	57,685	
32,500	7.33	2,382	17.54	5,702	40,817	57,765	
33,000	7.32	2,415	17.52	5,782	40,849	57,845	
33,500	7.31	2,447	17.50	5,862	40,882	57,925	
34,000	7.29	2,480	17.48	5,942	40,915	58,005	
34,500	7.28	2,513	17.45	6,022	40,947	58,085	
35,000	7.27	2,545	17.43	6,102	40,980	58,165	
35,500	7.26	2,578	17.41	6,182	41,013	58,245	
36,000	7.25	2,610	17.39	6,261	41,045	58,325	
36,500	7.24	2,643	17.37	6,341	41,078	58,405	
37,000	7.23	2,676	17.35	6,421	41,110	58,484	
37,500	7.22	2,708	17.34	6,501	41,143	58,564	
38,000	7.21	2,741	17.32	6,581	41,176	58,644	
38,500	7.20	2,773	17.30	6,660	41,208	58,724	
39,000	7.19	2,806	17.28	6,740	41,241	58,804	
39,500	7.19	2,838	17.27	6,820	41,273	58,883	
40,000	7.18	2,871	17.25	6,900	41,306	58,963	
40,500	7.17	2,903	17.23	6,979	41,338	59,043	
41,000	7.16	2,936	17.22	7,059	41,371	59,122	
41,500	7.15	2,968	17.20	7,138	41,403	59,202	
42,000	7.14	3,001	17.19	7,218	41,436	59,281	
42,500	7.14	3,033	17.17	7,298	41,468	59,361	
43,000	7.13	3,064	17.15	7,374	41,499	59,437	
43,500	7.12	3,097	17.13	7,453	41,531	59,517	
44,000	7.11	3,129	17.12	7,533	41,564	59,596	
44,500	7.10	3,161	17.11	7,612	41,596	59,676	

Annual Bonus Income

Father

- Cost is an increase in support paid or a decrease in support received by this spouse
 - Bonus income may reverse direction of CS and/or SS
 - R = recipient of support
- Annual bonus paid to Mother:

15000
- Prior relationship(s):

OFF

Additional Cost to Father						
Child Support			Spousal Support		Total CS	Total SS
Father's Bonus	% of bonus	\$	% of bonus	\$		(adjusted)
45,000	7.10	3,194	17.09	7,692	41,629	59,755
45,500	7.09	3,225	17.07	7,768	41,659	59,831
46,000	7.08	3,257	17.06	7,848	41,692	59,911
46,500	7.07	3,289	17.05	7,927	41,724	59,990
47,000	7.07	3,322	17.03	8,006	41,756	60,070
47,500	7.06	3,354	17.02	8,086	41,789	60,149
48,000	7.05	3,385	17.00	8,162	41,819	60,225
48,500	7.05	3,417	16.99	8,241	41,852	60,304
49,000	7.04	3,449	16.98	8,320	41,884	60,384
49,500	7.03	3,482	16.97	8,400	41,916	60,463
50,000	7.03	3,514	16.96	8,479	41,949	60,542

Annual Bonus Income Father

- Cost is an increase in support paid or a decrease in support received by this spouse
- Bonus income may reverse direction of CS and/or SS
- R = recipient of support

Annual bonus paid to Mother: 0
Prior relationship(s): OFF

Additional Cost to Father						Total CS	Total SS (adjusted)
Child Support			Spousal Support				
Father's Bonus	% of bonus	\$	% of bonus	\$			
0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0	0	0
500	7.56	38	19.34	97	46,438	76,809	
1,000	7.56	76	19.34	193	46,475	76,906	
1,500	7.56	113	19.34	290	46,513	77,003	
2,000	7.56	151	19.34	387	46,551	77,099	
2,500	7.56	189	19.34	484	46,589	77,196	
3,000	7.56	227	19.34	580	46,627	77,293	
3,500	7.56	265	19.34	677	46,664	77,390	
4,000	7.56	302	19.34	774	46,702	77,486	
4,500	7.56	340	19.34	870	46,740	77,583	
5,000	7.56	378	19.34	967	46,778	77,680	
5,500	7.56	416	19.34	1,064	46,816	77,776	
6,000	7.56	454	19.34	1,160	46,853	77,873	
6,500	7.56	491	19.34	1,257	46,891	77,970	
7,000	7.56	529	19.34	1,354	46,929	78,066	
7,500	7.56	567	19.34	1,451	46,967	78,163	
8,000	7.56	605	19.34	1,547	47,005	78,260	
8,500	7.56	643	19.34	1,644	47,042	78,357	
9,000	7.56	680	19.34	1,741	47,080	78,453	
9,500	7.56	718	19.34	1,837	47,118	78,550	
10,000	7.56	756	19.34	1,934	47,156	78,647	
10,500	7.56	794	19.34	2,031	47,194	78,743	
11,000	7.56	832	19.34	2,127	47,231	78,840	
11,500	7.56	869	19.34	2,224	47,269	78,937	
12,000	7.56	907	19.34	2,321	47,307	79,033	
12,500	7.56	945	19.34	2,418	47,345	79,130	
13,000	7.56	983	19.34	2,514	47,383	79,227	
13,500	7.56	1,020	19.34	2,611	47,420	79,324	
14,000	7.56	1,058	19.34	2,708	47,458	79,420	
14,500	7.56	1,096	19.34	2,804	47,496	79,517	
15,000	7.56	1,134	19.34	2,901	47,534	79,614	
15,500	7.56	1,172	19.34	2,998	47,572	79,710	
16,000	7.56	1,209	19.34	3,094	47,609	79,807	
16,500	7.56	1,247	19.34	3,191	47,647	79,904	
17,000	7.56	1,285	19.34	3,288	47,685	80,000	
17,500	7.56	1,323	19.34	3,384	47,723	80,097	
18,000	7.56	1,361	19.34	3,481	47,761	80,194	
18,500	7.56	1,398	19.34	3,578	47,798	80,291	
19,000	7.53	1,430	19.26	3,659	47,830	80,372	
19,500	7.53	1,468	19.26	3,756	47,868	80,469	
20,000	7.50	1,500	19.19	3,837	47,900	80,550	
20,500	7.50	1,538	19.19	3,934	47,938	80,647	
21,000	7.47	1,569	19.12	4,015	47,969	80,728	
21,500	7.48	1,607	19.13	4,112	48,007	80,825	
22,000	7.45	1,639	19.06	4,193	48,039	80,906	

Annual Bonus Income Father

- Cost is an increase in support paid or a decrease in support received by this spouse
- Bonus income may reverse direction of CS and/or SS
- R = recipient of support

Annual bonus paid to Mother: 0
Prior relationship(s): OFF

Additional Cost to Father						Total CS	Total SS (adjusted)
Child Support			Spousal Support				
Father's Bonus	% of bonus	\$	% of bonus	\$			
22,500	7.45	1,677	19.07	4,290	48,077		81,003
23,000	7.43	1,709	19.01	4,372	48,109		81,084
23,500	7.43	1,746	19.01	4,468	48,146		81,181
24,000	7.41	1,778	18.96	4,550	48,178		81,262
24,500	7.41	1,816	18.96	4,646	48,216		81,359
25,000	7.39	1,848	18.91	4,728	48,248		81,440
25,500	7.39	1,886	18.92	4,824	48,286		81,537
26,000	7.37	1,917	18.87	4,906	48,317		81,618
26,500	7.38	1,955	18.88	5,002	48,355		81,715
27,000	7.36	1,987	18.83	5,084	48,387		81,796
27,500	7.36	2,025	18.84	5,180	48,425		81,893
28,000	7.35	2,057	18.79	5,262	48,457		81,974
28,500	7.35	2,094	18.80	5,359	48,494		82,071
29,000	7.33	2,126	18.76	5,440	48,526		82,153
29,500	7.34	2,164	18.77	5,537	48,564		82,249
30,000	7.32	2,196	18.73	5,618	48,596		82,331
30,500	7.32	2,234	18.74	5,715	48,633		82,427
31,000	7.31	2,265	18.70	5,796	48,665		82,509
31,500	7.31	2,303	18.71	5,893	48,703		82,605
32,000	7.30	2,335	18.67	5,974	48,735		82,687
32,500	7.30	2,373	18.68	6,071	48,773		82,783
33,000	7.29	2,405	18.64	6,152	48,804		82,865
33,500	7.29	2,442	18.65	6,249	48,842		82,962
34,000	7.28	2,474	18.62	6,330	48,874		83,043
34,500	7.28	2,512	18.63	6,427	48,912		83,140
35,000	7.27	2,544	18.60	6,508	48,944		83,221
35,500	7.27	2,582	18.61	6,605	48,981		83,318
36,000	7.26	2,613	18.57	6,686	49,013		83,399
36,500	7.26	2,651	18.58	6,783	49,051		83,496
37,000	7.25	2,683	18.55	6,864	49,083		83,577
37,500	7.26	2,721	18.56	6,961	49,121		83,674
38,000	7.24	2,753	18.53	7,042	49,152		83,755
38,500	7.25	2,790	18.54	7,139	49,190		83,852
39,000	7.24	2,822	18.51	7,221	49,222		83,933
39,500	7.24	2,860	18.52	7,317	49,260		84,030
40,000	7.23	2,892	18.50	7,399	49,292		84,111
40,500	7.23	2,930	18.51	7,495	49,329		84,208
41,000	7.22	2,961	18.48	7,577	49,361		84,289
41,500	7.23	2,999	18.49	7,673	49,399		84,386
42,000	7.22	3,031	18.46	7,755	49,431		84,467
42,500	7.22	3,069	18.47	7,851	49,469		84,564
43,000	7.21	3,101	18.45	7,933	49,500		84,645
43,500	7.21	3,138	18.46	8,029	49,538		84,742
44,000	7.20	3,170	18.43	8,111	49,570		84,823
44,500	7.21	3,208	18.44	8,208	49,608		84,920

Annual Bonus Income

Father

- Cost is an increase in support paid or a decrease in support received by this spouse
 - Bonus income may reverse direction of CS and/or SS
 - R = recipient of support
- Annual bonus paid to Mother:

0
- Prior relationship(s):

OFF

Additional Cost to Father						Total CS	Total SS (adjusted)
Child Support			Spousal Support				
Father's Bonus	% of bonus	\$	% of bonus	\$			
45,000	7.20	3,240	18.42	8,289	49,640		85,002
45,500	7.20	3,278	18.43	8,386	49,677		85,098
46,000	7.19	3,309	18.41	8,467	49,709		85,180
46,500	7.20	3,347	18.42	8,564	49,747		85,276
47,000	7.19	3,379	18.39	8,645	49,779		85,358
47,500	7.19	3,417	18.40	8,742	49,817		85,454
48,000	7.18	3,449	18.38	8,823	49,848		85,536
48,500	7.19	3,486	18.39	8,920	49,886		85,632
49,000	7.18	3,518	18.37	9,001	49,918		85,714
49,500	7.18	3,556	18.38	9,098	49,956		85,811
50,000	7.18	3,588	18.36	9,179	49,988		85,892

Annual Bonus Income Mother

- Cost is an increase in support paid or a decrease in support received by this spouse
- Bonus income may reverse direction of CS and/or SS
- R = recipient of support

Annual bonus paid to Father: 50000
Prior relationship(s): OFF

Additional Cost to Mother						
Child Support			Spousal Support		Total CS	Total SS
Mother's Bonus	% of bonus	\$	% of bonus	\$		(adjusted)
0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0 R	0 R
500	5.31	27	24.73	124	45,593 R	65,551 R
1,000	5.30	53	24.72	247	45,567 R	65,428 R
1,500	5.30	79	24.71	371	45,541 R	65,304 R
2,000	5.29	106	24.70	494	45,514 R	65,181 R
2,500	5.29	132	24.69	617	45,488 R	65,058 R
3,000	5.28	158	24.68	740	45,462 R	64,935 R
3,500	5.28	185	24.67	863	45,435 R	64,812 R
4,000	5.27	211	24.65	986	45,409 R	64,689 R
4,500	5.27	237	24.64	1,109	45,383 R	64,566 R
5,000	5.26	263	24.63	1,232	45,357 R	64,443 R
5,500	5.26	289	24.62	1,354	45,331 R	64,321 R
6,000	5.25	315	24.61	1,477	45,305 R	64,198 R
6,500	5.25	341	24.60	1,599	45,279 R	64,076 R
7,000	5.25	367	24.59	1,721	45,253 R	63,954 R
7,500	5.24	393	24.58	1,844	45,227 R	63,831 R
8,000	5.26	421	24.61	1,969	45,199 R	63,706 R
8,500	5.25	446	24.60	2,091	45,174 R	63,584 R
9,000	5.25	472	24.59	2,213	45,148 R	63,462 R
9,500	5.24	498	24.57	2,335	45,122 R	63,340 R
10,000	5.23	523	24.56	2,456	45,097 R	63,219 R
10,500	5.25	551	24.58	2,581	45,069 R	63,094 R
11,000	5.24	576	24.57	2,703	45,044 R	62,972 R
11,500	5.23	602	24.56	2,824	45,018 R	62,851 R
12,000	5.23	627	24.54	2,945	44,993 R	62,730 R
12,500	5.22	653	24.53	3,066	44,967 R	62,609 R
13,000	5.23	680	24.54	3,191	44,940 R	62,484 R
13,500	5.22	705	24.53	3,312	44,915 R	62,363 R
14,000	5.22	731	24.52	3,432	44,889 R	62,243 R
14,500	5.21	756	24.50	3,553	44,864 R	62,122 R
15,000	5.21	781	24.49	3,674	44,839 R	62,001 R

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 5
July 2, 2026
8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

9. AARON STEINER V. TARA STEINER

25FL0646

On April 1, 2026, Petitioner filed a Request for Order (RFO) seeking property and debt division orders. All required documents were served by mail on April 2nd.

Respondent filed and served her Responsive Declaration to Request for Order and her Income and Expense Declaration on May 18th, however Respondent signed the Proof of Service.

The parties are ordered to appear to address the defect in service and whether Petitioner waives the defect.

TENTATIVE RULING #9: THE PARTIES ARE ORDERED TO APPEAR TO ADDRESS THE DEFECT IN SERVICE AND WHETHER PETITIONER WAIVES THE DEFECT.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 5
July 2, 2026
8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

10. CODY BRIDENBAKER V. JENNA PERYAM

PFL20160174

Respondent filed a Request for Order (RFO) on April 15, 2026, seeking modification of the child custody and parenting plan orders. The parties were referred to Child Custody Recommending Counseling (CCRC) with an appointment on May 15, 2026, and a review hearing on July 2, 2026. Petitioner was served on April 16, 2026. There is no Proof of Service showing that the Department of Child Support Services, who is a party to the case, was properly served.

Both parties attended CCRC and were able to reach agreements. A report with the parties' agreements, as well as further recommendations was filed with the court on May 20, 2026. Copies were mailed to the parties on May 26th.

Petitioner has not filed a Responsive Declaration.

The court finds good cause to proceed on the merits, despite the lack of proper service to the Department of Child Support Services. The court finds the agreements and recommendations as set forth in the May 20th CCRC report to be in the best interest of the minor. The court adopts the agreements and recommendations as set forth.

All prior orders not in conflict with these orders remain in full force and effect. Respondent is directed to prepare the Findings and Orders After Hearing (FOAH); however, this order is effective immediately upon the court's adoption of the tentative ruling and is not conditioned on the preparation of the FOAH.

TENTATIVE RULING #10: THE COURT FINDS GOOD CAUSE TO PROCEED ON THE MERITS, DESPITE THE LACK OF PROPER SERVICE TO THE DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES. THE COURT FINDS THE AGREEMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AS SET FORTH IN THE MAY 20TH CCRC REPORT TO BE IN THE BEST INTEREST OF THE MINOR. THE COURT ADOPTS THE AGREEMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AS SET FORTH.

ALL PRIOR ORDERS NOT IN CONFLICT WITH THESE ORDERS REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT. RESPONDENT IS DIRECTED TO PREPARE THE FINDINGS AND ORDERS AFTER HEARING (FOAH); HOWEVER, THIS ORDER IS EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY UPON THE COURT'S ADOPTION OF THE TENTATIVE RULING AND IS NOT CONDITIONED ON THE PREPARATION OF THE FOAH.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 2, 2026

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

BY PHONE CALL TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6725 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; *SEE ALSO* LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY PHONE CALL OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 5
July 2, 2026
8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

11. REMEDIOS BRISENO V. FRANCISCO BISENO

PFL20090850

Petitioner filed a Request for Order (RFO) on April 27, 2026. Proof of Service shows Respondent was personally served on June 10, 2026, in Mexico. Petitioner is requesting enforcement of the spousal support orders.

Respondent has not filed a Responsive Declaration.

The court drops the matter from calendar due to untimely service. Civil Procedure section 1005(b) states: "Unless otherwise ordered or specifically provided by law, all moving and supporting papers shall be served and filed at least 16 court days before the hearing. This would have made June 9, 2026, the last day for personal service.

All prior orders remain in full force and effect, including the orders for spousal support.

TENTATIVE RULING #11: THE MATTER IS DROPPED FROM CALENDAR DUE TO THE UNTIMELY SERVICE. ALL PRIOR ORDERS REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT, INCLUDING THE ORDERS FOR SPOUSAL SUPPORT.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY PHONE CALL TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6725 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; *SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT*, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY PHONE CALL OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 5
July 2, 2026
8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

12. JENNIFER DAIGNAULT-KREBS V. TODD KREBS

25FL0807

Petitioner filed a motion for joinder on May 12, 2026. A Summons was issued the same day. Proof of Service shows Respondent was personally served on May 18, 2026.

Respondent filed a Responsive Declaration and Memorandum of Points and Authorities on June 18, 2026. Both were served the same day.

In determining the joinder request, “[t]he court may order that a person be joined as a party to the proceeding if the court finds that it would be appropriate to determine the particular issue in the proceeding and that the person to be joined as a party is either indispensable for the court to make an order about that issue or is necessary to the enforcement of any judgment rendered on that issue.” Cal. Rule Ct. 5.24(e)(2). Importantly, joinder of a third-party owner is permissive, not mandatory, for purposes of adjudicating the spouses’ rights amongst themselves. See *Long v. Long*, 88 Cal. App. 2d 544 (1948).

Petitioner relies on the doctrine of a constructive trust which is created where one party pays the purchase price for property, but title is taken in another’s name. However, she does not provide any documentation that the parties paid the purchase price, including the down payment, or taking out the mortgage in their names. Respondent opposes the joinder asserting that the third party is not indispensable to the court nor is it necessary to the enforcement of the judgment.

The court has read and considered the filings as outlined above. The court denies the request for joinder, as the party sought to be joined is not indispensable and is not necessary to enforce the judgment. Petitioner has appropriate remedies available through the civil court.

All prior orders not in conflict with these orders remain in full force and effect. Petitioner is directed to prepare the Findings and Orders After Hearing (FOAH); however, this order is effective immediately upon the court’s adoption of the tentative ruling and is not conditioned on the preparation of the FOAH.

TENTATIVE RULING #12: THE COURT DENIES THE REQUEST FOR JOINDER, AS THE PARTY SOUGHT TO BE JOINED IS NOT INDISPENSABLE AND IS NOT NECESSARY TO ENFORCE THE JUDGMENT. PETITIONER HAS APPROPRIATE REMEDIES AVAILABLE THROUGH THE CIVIL COURT. ALL PRIOR ORDERS NOT IN CONFLICT WITH THESE ORDERS REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT. PETITIONER IS DIRECTED TO PREPARE THE FINDINGS AND ORDERS AFTER HEARING (FOAH); HOWEVER, THIS ORDER IS

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 5
July 2, 2026
8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY UPON THE COURT'S ADOPTION OF THE TENTATIVE RULING AND IS NOT CONDITIONED ON THE PREPARATION OF THE FOAH.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY PHONE CALL TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6725 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; *SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT*, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY PHONE CALL OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 5
July 2, 2026
8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

13. AMY LYNN MONCADA V. BRANDON MONCADA

PFL20170685

Petitioner filed an Order to Show Cause and Affidavit for Contempt on May 13, 2026, asserting one count of contempt. Respondent was personally served on May 30, 2026.

Parties are ordered to appear for the hearing.

TENTATIVE RULING #13: PARTIES ARE ORDERED TO APPEAR FOR THE HEARING.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 5
July 2, 2026
8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

14. MADISON MOODY V. MAURICE MOODY

25FL1089

Petitioner filed a Request for Order (RFO) seeking child custody and parenting plan orders as well as child support orders. Petitioner concurrently filed an Income and Expense Declaration. The parties were referred to Child Custody Recommending Counselling (CCRC) with an appointment on May 11, 2026 and a review hearing on July 2, 2026. Respondent was mail served on April 16, 2026.

Both parties appeared at CCRC and reached a full agreement. On May 14, 2026, parties submitted a stipulation which the court adopted as its order resolving the custody and parenting plan issues. Therefore, the court finds this portion of the RFO to be moot.

Respondent has not filed a Responsive Declaration or an Income and Expense Declaration.

The court orders parties to appear for the hearing on the issue of child support. Respondent is ordered to bring a completed Income and Expense Declaration with him.

TENTATIVE RULING #14: THE COURT ORDERS PARTIES TO APPEAR FOR THE HEARING ON THE ISSUE OF CHILD SUPPORT. RESPONDENT IS ORDERED TO BRING A COMPLETED INCOME AND EXPENSE DECLARATION WITH HIM.

THE COURT FINDS THE CUSTODY AND PARENTING PLAN PORTION OF THE RFO TO BE MOOT AND DROPS THAT PORTION FROM CALENDAR. THE COURT MAINTAINS ALL CURRENT ORDERS IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY PHONE CALL TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6725 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; *SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT*, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY PHONE CALL OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 5
July 2, 2026
8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

15. RICHARD MUELLER V. AMBER MUELLER

PFL20170889

Petitioner filed a Request for Order (RFO) on April 30, 2026, requesting the clerk of the court act as elisor to sign the deed transferring title of the former marital residence. Proof of Service shows Respondent was personally served with some, but not all of the required documents on May 5, 2026.

Respondent has not filed a Responsive Declaration.

The court drops the matter from calendar due to the lack of proper service.

TENTATIVE RULING #15: THE MATTER IS DROPPED FROM CALENDAR DUE TO THE LACK OF PROPER SERVICE.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY PHONE CALL TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6725 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; *SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT*, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY PHONE CALL OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 5
July 2, 2026
8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

16. CLARIS PALAFOX V. EDWIN GUZMAN

25FL0462

Petitioner filed a Request for Order (RFO) on May 8, 2026, seeking child support orders. Petitioner concurrently filed an Income and Expense Declaration. Respondent was personally served on May 8, 2026, however, Respondent was not served with the Notice of Tentative Ruling.

Respondent filed an Income and Expense Declaration on June 25, 2026. There is no Proof of Service for this document, therefore, the court cannot consider it. Respondent has not filed a Responsive Declaration.

Parties are ordered to appear for the hearing.

TENTATIVE RULING #16: PARTIES ARE ORDERED TO APPEAR FOR THE HEARING.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 5
July 2, 2026
8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

17. RYAN RICHARDS V. JENNIFER RICHARDS

23FL0665

Petitioner filed an ex parte application for emergency custody orders on May 18, 2026. The court granted the request on May 19, 2026, granting Petitioner temporary sole legal and physical custody of the minors. The court set an emergency Child Custody Recommending Counseling (CCRC) appointment for June 2, 2026 and a review hearing on July 2, 2026. Respondent was mail served on May 27, 2026. This is a post-judgement request for modification, and as such, Family Code section 215 applies. Petitioner has not provided address verification in accordance with Family Code section 215.

Only Petitioner appeared at the CCRC appointment. A single parent report was filed with the court on June 2, 2026. Copies were mailed to the parties on June 3, 2026.

Petitioner filed a Supplemental Declaration on June 22, 2026. Respondent was mail served on June 22, 2026. Petitioner subsequently filed a corrected Exhibit 1 to the Supplemental Declaration on June 25, 2026. It was served on June 25, 2026.

The court orders parties to appear for the hearing.

TENTATIVE RULING #17: PARTIES ARE ORDERED TO APPEAR FOR THE HEARING.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 5
July 2, 2026
8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

18. MONIQUE ROYAL V. GREGORY ROYAL

23FL0191

On December 8, 2025, Respondent filed a Request for Order (RFO) seeking custody and visitation orders. The parties were referred to Child Custody Recommending Counseling (CCRC) with an appointment on January 9, 2026. However, the RFO and the CCRC referral were not served until January 9th.

The RFO and other requisite documents were mail served, however, this is a post-judgment request for modification of custody orders. As such, it was required to be personally served or, if served by mail, Respondent was required to complete and file a Declaration Regarding Address Verification – Post Judgment Request to Modify a Child Custody, Visitation, or Child Support Order, which she has not done. See Fam. Code § 215.

Petitioner did not appear at the initial CCRC appointment and she has not filed a Responsive Declaration to Request for Order.

Counsel for Respondent appeared at the March 12th hearing and requested the parties be referred back to CCRC and a new review hearing be set. The court granted the request and set a further CCRC appointment for May 7, 2026 and a review hearing for June 25, 2026.

Petitioner was personally served with the moving papers as well as the minute order from the March 12th hearing on April 20th.

Respondent filed a Supplemental Declaration on May 1, 2026. Petitioner was served the same day.

Neither party appeared for the May 7, 2026 CCRC appointment.

Respondent filed an ex parte application for emergency orders to reset the CCRC appointment on May 7, 2026. On May 11, 2026, the court reset the CCRC appointment for May 21st and reset the review hearing date to July 2, 2026. Respondent was served by overnight mail on May 14, 2026.

Petitioner failed to appear at CCRC on May 21st. As such, a single parent report was filed with the court on May 21, 2026. Copies were mailed to the parties on May 26, 2026.

The court has read and considered the filings as outlined above. The court finds Petitioner has failed to participate in any of the CCRC appointments scheduled. Further, Petitioner has failed to file a Responsive Declaration to Request for Order, therefore, the

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 5
July 2, 2026
8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

court deems the failure to do so as an admission that Respondent's moving papers have merit. See El Dorado County, Local Rule 7.10.02(C).

The court finds Respondent's requested orders are in the minor's best interest. The court grants Respondent sole legal and physical custody of the minor. Petitioner shall have professionally supervised parenting time in California. When Petitioner is in El Dorado County, California, she may have professionally supervised parenting time two times per week for two hours each. Petitioner shall be responsible for the costs of supervision. Petitioner shall have telephonic and video calls with the minor up to three times per week, on Tuesday, Thursday, and Saturday at 6 PM Pacific Time.

All prior orders not in conflict with these orders shall remain in full force and effect. Respondent is directed to prepare the Findings and Orders After Hearing (FOAH); however, this order is effective immediately upon the court's adoption of the tentative ruling and is not conditioned on the preparation of the FOAH.

TENTATIVE RULING #18: THE COURT FINDS PETITIONER HAS FAILED TO PARTICIPATE IN ANY OF THE CCRC APPOINTMENTS SCHEDULED. FURTHER, PETITIONER HAS FAILED TO FILE A RESPONSIVE DECLARATION TO REQUEST FOR ORDER, THEREFORE, THE COURT DEEMS THE FAILURE TO DO SO AS AN ADMISSION THAT RESPONDENT'S MOVING PAPERS HAVE MERIT. SEE EL DORADO COUNTY, LOCAL RULE 7.10.02(C).

THE COURT FINDS RESPONDENT'S REQUESTED ORDERS ARE IN THE MINOR'S BEST INTEREST. THE COURT GRANTS RESPONDENT SOLE LEGAL AND PHYSICAL CUSTODY OF THE MINOR. PETITIONER SHALL HAVE PROFESSIONALLY SUPERVISED PARENTING TIME IN CALIFORNIA. WHEN PETITIONER IS IN EL DORADO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, SHE MAY HAVE PROFESSIONALLY SUPERVISED PARENTING TIME TWO TIMES PER WEEK FOR TWO HOURS EACH. PETITIONER SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE COSTS OF SUPERVISION. PETITIONER SHALL HAVE TELEPHONIC AND VIDEO CALLS WITH THE MINOR UP TO THREE TIMES PER WEEK, ON TUESDAY, THURSDAY, AND SATURDAY AT 6 PM PACIFIC TIME.

ALL PRIOR ORDERS NOT IN CONFLICT WITH THESE ORDERS SHALL REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT. RESPONDENT IS DIRECTED TO PREPARE THE FINDINGS AND ORDERS AFTER HEARING (FOAH); HOWEVER, THIS ORDER IS EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY UPON THE COURT'S ADOPTION OF THE TENTATIVE RULING AND IS NOT CONDITIONED ON THE PREPARATION OF THE FOAH.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 2, 2026

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY PHONE CALL TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6725 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; *SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT*, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY PHONE CALL OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 5
July 2, 2026
8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

19. HOLLIE WALLACE V. JASON WALLACE

24FL1139

Petitioner filed a Request for Order (RFO) on December 18, 2025, seeking child custody and parenting plan orders, as well as orders regarding the division of property. The parties were referred to Child Custody Recommending Counseling (CCRC) with an appointment on January 15, 2026, and a review hearing on March 12, 2026. Proof of Service shows Respondent was mail served on December 24, 2025. However, the Proof of Service does not show Respondent was served with a blank FL-320 form.

Only Petitioner appeared at the CCRC appointment on January 15th. As such, a single parent report was filed with the court on January 15th. Copies were mailed to the parties the same day.

Petitioner filed a Declaration on January 22, 2026. There is no Proof of Service for this document, therefore, the court has not considered it.

Petitioner appeared at the hearing on March 12, 2026. Respondent failed to appear. The court found good cause to continue the hearing to allow Petitioner time to perfect service. The court set a further review hearing for May 14, 2026, and directed Petitioner to serve all the required documents as well as a copy of the minute order from the March 12, 2026 hearing on Respondent.

Petitioner filed a Proof of Service as well as an address verification showing Respondent was served by overnight delivery on April 21, 2026.

Petitioner appeared for the hearing on May 14, 2026. The court found good cause to continue to allow Petitioner additional time to properly serve Respondent.

Respondent was served by mail with the May 14, 2026 minute order on May 21, 2026.

Respondent has not filed a Responsive Declaration.

The court has read and considered the filings as outlined above. The court finds Respondent has failed to participate in any of the CCRC appointments scheduled. Further, Respondent has failed to file a Responsive Declaration to Request for Order, therefore, the court deems his failure to do so as an admission that Petitioner's moving papers have merit. See El Dorado County, Local Rule 7.10.02(C).

The court finds Petitioner's requested orders for custody and a parenting plan are in the minors' best interests. The court grants Petitioner sole legal and physical custody of the

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 5
July 2, 2026
8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

minors. Respondent shall have professionally supervised parenting time in California. When Petitioner is in El Dorado County, California, he may have professionally supervised parenting time two times per week for two hours each. Respondent shall be responsible for the costs of supervision. Respondent shall have telephonic and video calls with the minors up to three times per week, on Tuesday, Thursday, and Saturday at 6 PM Pacific Time.

As to Petitioner's request for the court to declare that there is no community or separate property to be divided, the request is denied. Petitioner failed to file any supportive documentation for this claim. Petitioner may request the matter be set for a prove-up hearing on the dissolution when appropriate.

All prior orders not in conflict with these orders remain in full force and effect. Petitioner is directed to prepare the Findings and Orders After Hearing (FOAH); however, this order is effective immediately upon the court's adoption of the tentative ruling and is not conditioned on the preparation of the FOAH.

TENTATIVE RULING #19: THE COURT FINDS RESPONDENT HAS FAILED TO PARTICIPATE IN ANY OF THE CCRC APPOINTMENTS SCHEDULED. FURTHER, RESPONDENT HAS FAILED TO FILE A RESPONSIVE DECLARATION TO REQUEST FOR ORDER, THEREFORE, THE COURT DEEMS HIS FAILURE TO DO SO AS AN ADMISSION THAT PETITIONER'S MOVING PAPERS HAVE MERIT. SEE EL DORADO COUNTY, LOCAL RULE 7.10.02(C).

THE COURT FINDS PETITIONER'S REQUESTED ORDERS FOR CUSTODY AND PARENTING PLAN ARE IN THE MINORS' BEST INTERESTS. THE COURT GRANTS PETITIONER SOLE LEGAL AND PHYSICAL CUSTODY OF THE MINORS. RESPONDENT SHALL HAVE PROFESSIONALLY SUPERVISED PARENTING TIME IN CALIFORNIA. WHEN PETITIONER IS IN EL DORADO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, HE MAY HAVE PROFESSIONALLY SUPERVISED PARENTING TIME TWO TIMES PER WEEK FOR TWO HOURS EACH. RESPONDENT SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE COSTS OF SUPERVISION. RESPONDENT SHALL HAVE TELEPHONIC AND VIDEO CALLS WITH THE MINORS UP TO THREE TIMES PER WEEK, ON TUESDAY, THURSDAY, AND SATURDAY AT 6 PM PACIFIC TIME.

AS TO PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR THE COURT TO DECLARE THAT THERE IS NO COMMUNITY OR SEPARATE PROPERTY TO BE DIVIDED, THE REQUEST IS DENIED. PETITIONER FAILED TO FILE ANY SUPPORTIVE DOCUMENTATION FOR THIS CLAIM. PETITIONER MAY REQUEST THE MATTER BE SET FOR A PROVE-UP HEARING ON THE DISSOLUTION WHEN APPROPRIATE.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

July 2, 2026

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

ALL PRIOR ORDERS NOT IN CONFLICT WITH THESE ORDERS REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT. PETITIONER IS DIRECTED TO PREPARE THE FINDINGS AND ORDERS AFTER HEARING (FOAH); HOWEVER, THIS ORDER IS EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY UPON THE COURT'S ADOPTION OF THE TENTATIVE RULING AND IS NOT CONDITIONED ON THE PREPARATION OF THE FOAH.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY PHONE CALL TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6725 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; *SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT*, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY PHONE CALL OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.