

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS  
DEPARTMENT 12  
JUNE 17, 2026  
8:30 a.m.

**1. JACOB HENSON V. CASSIE MOORE**

**SFL20210006**

This matter is before the court for a review hearing regarding visitation, as set in the Findings and Order after Hearing (FOAH) filed December 22, 2025. The court ordered the parties to file and serve any supplemental declarations on or before June 07, 2026, and noted that, if no supplemental declarations are timely filed, the matter would be dropped from the calendar.

On June 05, 2026, Petitioner filed a supplemental declaration. However, there is no proof of service in the court's file. Therefore, the court does not consider this filing.

On June 11, 2026, Respondent filed an untimely supplemental declaration.

There being no timely supplemental declarations filed and served, the court drops the matter from the calendar.

**TENTATIVE RULING #1: MATTER IS DROPPED FROM THE CALENDAR.**

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS  
DEPARTMENT 12  
JUNE 17, 2026  
8:30 a.m.

**2. JEFFREY STEVEN VANHEE V. ASHLEY NICHOLE VANHEE**

**25FL0526**

This matter is before the court for hearing of the Request for Order (RFO) filed by the Respondent on June 05, 2026, requesting an order requiring Petitioner to deposit assets with Respondent's attorney. The RFO also includes a request for attorney fees and costs. On June 05, 2026, the court issued an order shortening time, requiring the Respondent to serve the RFO on Petitioner no later than June 09, 2026, and requiring Petitioner to file a Responsive Declaration no later than June 15, 2026.

To date, there is no proof of service of the RFO in the court's file. Petitioner filed no Responsive Declaration. Nonetheless, on June 10, 2026, Respondent filed a reply, a copy of which was electronically served upon Petitioner that same day according to the proof of service attached to the reply.

Due to the lack of timely service, the court denies the RFO without prejudice.

**TENTATIVE RULING #2: DUE TO THE LACK OF TIMELY SERVICE, THE COURT DENIES THE RFO WITHOUT PREJUDICE.**

**NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY PHONE CALL TO THE COURT AT (530) 573-3042 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL.RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT, 19 CAL.4<sup>TH</sup> 1232 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY PHONE CALL OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL.RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.**

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS  
DEPARTMENT 12  
JUNE 17, 2026  
8:30 a.m.

**3. MONIQUE INSHAW V. FRANCOIS INSHAW**

**23FL0924**

This matter is before the court for hearing of the Request for Order (RFO) filed by the Respondent on June 05, 2026, to (1) modify child custody and visitation, (2) issue an award of attorney fees and costs; and (3) issue an order stating that Respondent's adult son from a previous marriage, Elliott, is not required to be present during Respondent's visitation. Also on June 05, 2026, the court issued an order shortening time, requiring the Respondent to serve the RFO upon Petitioner no later than June 08, 2026, and requiring Petitioner to file and serve a Responsive Declaration no later than June 12, 2026.

Proof of service filed June 08, 2026, shows the Respondent electronically served the RFO and supporting papers upon Petitioner that same day. Petitioner filed no Responsive Declaration.

Trial in this matter is currently set to begin July 02, 2026. In the interest of judicial economy, the court finds good cause to defer ruling on the issues raised in the instant RFO to the time of trial.

**TENTATIVE RULING #3: THE COURT, ON ITS OWN MOTION AND IN THE INTEREST OF JUDICIAL ECONOMY, DEFERS RULING ON THE ISSUES RAISED IN THE INSTANT RFO TO THE TIME OF TRIAL, WHICH IS CURRENTLY SET TO BEGIN JULY 02, 2026.**

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS  
DEPARTMENT 12  
JUNE 17, 2026  
8:30 a.m.

**4. STEPHANIE MCTEAR V. PATRICK KELLY**

**22FL0249**

This matter is before the court for hearing of the Request for Order (RFO) filed by the Petitioner on April 20, 2026, to modify child custody. The court denied Petitioner's request for an order shortening time but set a CCRC session for May 08, 2026.

To date, there is no proof of service of the RFO in the court's file. Respondent filed no Responsive Declaration. Additionally, the court is in receipt of a CCRC report dated May 08, 2026, indicating that neither party appeared for the CCRC session.

Due to the lack of service, the court denies the RFO without prejudice.

**TENTATIVE RULING #4: DUE TO THE LACK OF SERVICE, THE COURT DENIES THE RFO WITHOUT PREJUDICE.**

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS  
DEPARTMENT 12  
JUNE 17, 2026  
8:30 a.m.

**5. WILFRIDO HERNANDEZ BAUTISTA V. JOANNA T. ROJAS**

**23FL1226**

This matter is before the court for hearing of the Request for Order (RFO) filed by the Respondent on May 27, 2026, to reconsider the court's May 20, 2026, order dismissing Respondent's previous RFO to modify child custody and visitation. To date, there is no proof of service for the instant RFO in the court's file. Therefore, the RFO is denied.

The court notes that the Respondent may seek assistance from the Family Law Facilitator.

**TENTATIVE RULING #5: THE RFO REQUESTING RECONSIDERATION IS DENIED.**

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS  
DEPARTMENT 12  
JUNE 17, 2026  
10:00 a.m.

**1. YESSALIN MONDRAGON V. RICARDO ORBEGOSO**

**25FL0474**

This matter is before the court for hearing of the Request for Order (RFO) filed by the Petitioner on May 05, 2026, to modify visitation. The RFO also includes a request for Temporary Emergency Orders, which the court denied on May 05, 2026.

To date, there is no proof of service of the RFO in the court's file. Respondent filed no Responsive Declaration. Due to the lack of service, the court denies the RFO without prejudice.

**TENTATIVE RULING #1: DUE TO THE LACK OF SERVICE, THE RFO IS DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.**