

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS  
DEPARTMENT 12  
JUNE 10, 2026  
8:30 a.m.

**1. ARTHUR SCOTT AMOROSO V. DANIELLE DOLAN**

**SFL20190051**

This matter is before the court for hearing of the Request for Order (RFO) filed by the Petitioner on April 13, 2026, to modify child custody and visitation of the parties' child, SD (age 7). The RFO also included a request for Temporary Emergency Orders, which the court denied. The filing of the RFO prompted the court to refer the parties to a CCRC session set for April 30, 2026.

To date, there is no proof of service of the RFO in the court's file. Respondent filed no responsive pleading. However, on April 27, 2026, the court entered a stipulation of the parties to continue the CCRC session to May 08, 2026, and both parties attended the re-scheduled hearing. Therefore, the court finds that Respondent has waived any defect in service of the notice of hearing.

The court is in receipt of a CCRC report dated May 19, 2026, a copy of which was mailed to both parties per the Clerk's Certificate of Mailing filed May 20, 2026. The CCRC report includes agreements of the parties on some issues. The court finds these agreements to be in the best interest of the parties' child and so adopts them as the orders of the court. The CCRC report also includes recommendations of the CCRC counsellor as to the remaining issues. The court will also adopt the recommendations as being in the best interests of the child with the following modifications.

The CCRC report recommends (under "Parenting Plan") that, beginning Summer 2026, the parties shall utilize a week-on, week-off schedule with the exchange occurring on Mondays at 8:00 a.m. The CCRC report goes on to recommend that, beginning next school year (2026–2027), the parties shall utilize a 3/3/4/4 parenting plan. The court finds it to be in the best interest of the child to continue the week-on, week-off parenting plan (that will be in effect Summer 2026) into the next school year. That is because it will help avoid confusion for the child and also reduce the likelihood of disrupting the child's school week with, for example, a backpack, folder, homework assignment, etc., being left at the other parent's house.

Additionally, beginning Summer 2026, each week, the parent who does not have custody of the child shall have visitation with the child on Wednesday after school (or beginning at 4:00 p.m., if there is no school) until 7:00 p.m. On those days, the non-custodial parent shall return the child to the custodial parent's residence at 7:00 p.m.

**TENTATIVE RULING #1: THE COURT FINDS THE AGREEMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS, AS MODIFIED HEREIN, OF THE CCRC REPORT DATED MAY 19, 2026, TO BE IN THE BEST INTEREST OF THE PARTIES' CHILD AND SO ADOPTS THEM AS THE ORDERS OF THE COURT.**

**NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY PHONE CALL TO THE COURT AT (530) 573-3042 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE**

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 12

JUNE 10, 2026

8:30 a.m.

**TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL.RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT, 19 CAL.4<sup>TH</sup> 1232 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY PHONE CALL OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL.RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.**

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS  
DEPARTMENT 12  
JUNE 10, 2026  
8:30 a.m.

**2. LESLIE EDMONDS V. JEFFREY EDMONDS**

**23FL1077**

This matter was continued from June 03, 2026, on the issue of interim attorney fees pending trial. The court directed the parties to file any supplemental declarations on or before June 05, 2026, but did not receive any supplemental declarations.

Based on the Income and Expense Declarations (I&E) filed by the parties, the court orders the Respondent to pay Petitioner's attorney, no later than June 24, 2026, \$7,500 for need-based attorney fees. This award is based on findings from Respondent's I&E filed April 22, 2026, that Respondent has approximately \$275,000 cash on hand, earns approximately \$12,000 per month, and only owes approximately \$2,000 in outstanding attorney fees. The Petitioner has approximately \$50,000 cash on hand and a slightly lesser monthly income of approximately \$10,000. Although Petitioner's I&E filed January 23, 2026, does not show she owes any attorney fees, it is the court's understanding that Petitioner has incurred attorney fees since January 23, 2026, and will incur additional attorney fees in preparation for trial, which is currently set for July 16, 2026.

This order is made without prejudice to an additional award, rescission of award, or reallocation of the award at the time of trial.

**TENTATIVE RULING #2: THE COURT ORDERS THE RESPONDENT TO PAY PETITIONER'S ATTORNEY, NO LATER THAN JUNE 24, 2026, \$7,500 FOR NEED-BASED ATTORNEY FEES. THIS ORDER IS MADE WITHOUT PREJUDICE TO AN ADDITIONAL AWARD, RESCISSION OF AWARD, OR REALLOCATION OF AWARD AT THE TIME OF TRIAL.**

**NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY PHONE CALL TO THE COURT AT (530) 573-3042 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL.RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT, 19 CAL.4<sup>TH</sup> 1232 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY PHONE CALL OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL.RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.**