

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 12
MAY 13, 2026
8:30 a.m.

1. MARIA DE LA CRUZ V. JUAN DE LA CRUZ VAZQUEZ

SFL20210053

This matter is before the court for a five-year dismissal hearing under Code of Civil Procedure section 583.310.

The action was filed on April 16, 2021. On May 04, 2023, both parties being present, the court ordered the marriage dissolved; however a judgment was never entered. It is the court's understanding that the Petitioner has since deceased.

The court continued the dismissal hearing from April 22, 2026, and directed the Respondent to submit a copy of Petitioner's death certificate so the court can confirm the Petitioner is not entitled to notice of the proposed judgment before it is entered. To date, there is no death certificate in the court's file.

**TENTATIVE RULING #1: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 8:30 A.M.,
WEDNESDAY, MAY 13, 2026, IN DEPARTMENT 12.**

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 12
MAY 13, 2026
8:30 a.m.

2. ROBERT SOUZA V. ARIANA MARTINEZ

24FL0473

This action was filed on May 09, 2024. To date, there is no proof of service of summons on the Respondent in the court's file. Code of Civil Procedure section 583.420 authorizes the court to dismiss an action for delay in prosecution where service is not made within two years after the action is commenced. (Code Civ. Proc., § 583.420, subd. (a)(1).)

On November 13, 2025, the court mailed the Petitioner notice of this two-year dismissal hearing.

Due to the lack of service, the court intends to dismiss the action without prejudice at the hearing.

TENTATIVE RULING #2: PURSUANT TO CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE SECTION 583.420, THE COURT DISMISSES THE ACTION.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY PHONE CALL TO THE COURT AT (530) 573-3042 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL.RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT, 19 CAL.4TH 1232 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY PHONE CALL OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL.RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 12
MAY 13, 2026
8:30 a.m.

3. STEPHAN HAASE V. TAYLOR BROOKE MYATT

23FL0933

This matter is back before the court on unresolved issues (Winter Breaks, Vacations, Boat Safety) following the April 29, 2026, hearing on the Respondent's Request for Order (RFO) filed March 03, 2026, to modify visitation.

The court intends to adopt the recommendation of the CCRC report regarding Winter Breaks.

With respect to Vacations, each parent shall be entitled to two 10-day periods of time with the child, SH (age 7), to be used during the summer, with the limitation that neither parent may have the child away from the other parent for more than 14 consecutive days.

With respect to Boat Safety, the court will require another adult to be present with the parent having custody of SH on any vessel that is being operated in open water.

TENTATIVE RULING #3: THE COURT INTENDS TO ADOPT THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE CCRC REPORT REGARDING WINTER BREAKS. WITH RESPECT TO VACATIONS, EACH PARENT SHALL BE ENTITLED TO TWO 10-DAY PERIODS OF TIME WITH THE CHILD, SH (AGE 7), TO BE USED DURING THE SUMMER, WITH THE LIMITATION THAT NEITHER PARENT MAY HAVE THE CHILD AWAY FROM THE OTHER PARENT FOR MORE THAN 14 CONSECUTIVE DAYS. WITH RESPECT TO BOAT SAFETY, THE COURT WILL REQUIRE ANOTHER ADULT TO BE PRESENT WITH THE PARENT HAVING CUSTODY OF SH ON ANY VESSEL THAT IS BEING OPERATED IN OPEN WATER.

APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 8:30 A.M., WEDNESDAY, MAY 13, 2026, IN DEPARTMENT 12.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 12
MAY 13, 2026
8:30 a.m.

4. TYLER JACKSON V. DEBORAH PUMARINO

SFL20150111

This matter is before the court for hearing of the Request for Order (RFO) filed by the Respondent on April 24, 2026, to modify child custody and visitation. The RFO also included a request for Temporary Emergency Orders, which the court granted on April 24, 2026, as follows: pending the instant hearing, Respondent shall have temporary sole physical custody of the parties' child, TP-J (age 11) pending the instant hearing; Petitioner must not remove the child from El Dorado County; and Petitioner shall have two supervised visits per week for up to three hours per visit. The court also issued an order shortening time. The court ordered Respondent to serve the RFO and Temporary Emergency Orders upon Petitioner no later than May 01, 2026; and ordered the Petitioner to file and serve a Responsive Declaration no later than May 08, 2026.

To date, there is no proof of service in the court's file. The Petitioner filed no Responsive Declaration. Therefore, the court denies the RFO without prejudice. The Temporary Emergency Orders issued April 24, 2026, shall hereby terminate and custody shall be restored to the pre-existing orders.

TENTATIVE RULING #4: DUE TO THE LACK OF SERVICE, THE RFO IS DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. THE TEMPORARY EMERGENCY ORDERS ISSUED APRIL 24, 2026, SHALL HEREBY TERMINATE AND CUSTODY SHALL BE RESTORED TO THE PRE-EXISTING ORDERS.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY PHONE CALL TO THE COURT AT (530) 573-3042 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL.RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT, 19 CAL.4TH 1232 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY PHONE CALL OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL.RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.