## 1. BRIAN EDWARD SEAHOLM V. VANESSA RUELLYN SEAHOLM 25FL0271

This matter is before the court for hearing of the Request For Order (RFO) filed by the Petitioner on August 22, 2025, raising Custody and Visitation of the parties' children SS (age 11) and CS (age 9). The Petitioner requested and was granted a Temporary Emergency Order granting him temporary physical custody of the children and giving limited visits to the Respondent. In setting this hearing, the court ordered service of the RFO by August 25, 2025, and a Responsive Declaration to be filed and served by the Respondent by August 29, 2025.

Proof of service filed September 02, 2025, shows that the RFO was electronically served upon the Respondent on August 23, 2025. There is no Responsive Declaration filed.

TENTATIVE RULING #1: THE PARTIES ARE ORDERED TO APPEAR.

## 2. CANDELARIA PURCELL V. DANE PURCELL

SFL20200041

This matter was set for a contested hearing on September 04, 2025. However, due to the judicial officer's unavailability on that date, the court advanced the hearing to this date, at which time, the parties can select new trial dates.

TENTATIVE RULING #2: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 8:30 A.M., WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 03, 2025, IN DEPARTMENT 12 TO SELECT NEW MANDATORY SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE AND TRIAL DATES.

## 3. DAWN KRAVAN V. ISAC BELL

SFL20150187

This matter was set for trial on October 09, 2025. However, due to the judicial officer's unavailability on that date, the court advanced the hearing to this date, at which time, the parties can select new trial dates.

TENTATIVE RULING #3: APPEARANCES REQUIRED AT 8:30 A.M., WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 03, 2025, IN DEPARTMENT 12 TO SELECT NEW MANDATORY SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE AND TRIAL DATES.

## 4. JARED ALLAN COLLINS V. STEFANI KERN

SFL20180059

Each party filed a Request for Orders (RFO) on August 25, 2025, and each raised the issue of Custody and Visitation of the parties' three children, MC (age 13), TC (age 11), and JC (age 9). Petitioner's RFO included a request for Emergency orders granting him immediate custody and permitting the children to begin the current school year in the public school for his district. Respondent's RFO did not request Emergency Orders but requested her parenting time be enforced.

The court denied the Petitioner's requested Emergency Orders but did grant an Order Shortening Time, setting the matter for hearing on this date, and ordering service of the RFO on the Respondent by August 27, 2025, and ordering a Responsive Declaration to be filed and served by the Respondent by August 29, 2025.

There is no Proof of Service filed and no Responsive Declaration.

Respondent's RFO was set for hearing on October 22, 2025, and a CCRC appointment has been set for September 12, 2025. There is no Proof of Service of Respondent's RFO and no Responsive Declaration from the Petitioner.

The parties are ordered to appear to determine interim custody and choice of school(s) for the children pending the hearing on October 22, 2025.

TENTATIVE RULING #4: THE PARTIES ARE ORDERED TO APPEAR.