

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS  
DEPARTMENT 12  
JULY 09, 2025  
8:30 a.m.

**1. ERNESTO MAZAR KINDELAN V. KARI GILSON**

**24FL0826**

This matter is before the court on the Petitioner's Request for Order (RFO) filed July 01, 2025, seeking emergency orders for Permission to travel with the parties' children to Florida from July 11 to July 18. The court granted an order shortening time for hearing and service, setting the hearing for this date, ordering service by July 03, 2025, and ordering that any Responsive Declaration be filed and served no later than July 07, 2025.

Petitioner filed a Proof of Electronic Service showing service on the Respondent on July 03, 2025.

Respondent did not file a Responsive Declaration in opposition to the Petitioner's RFO.

The parties discussed the issue at the hearing on June 25, 2025, at which time the court adopted the CCRC agreements and recommendations pending an evidentiary hearing set for August 14, 2025. The CCRC report lists an agreed to condition that the travelling party ". . . must have written permission from the other parent or a court order to take the children out of the State of California, State of Nevada, and out of the country." The Petitioner noted that he had provided the requisite itinerary more than 30 days prior to his proposed travel but had not received written consent from the Respondent.

The court noted on June 25, 2025, that time remained for Respondent to forward written consent and so did not act on the issue.

Petitioner's RFO states that the trip is to take the children to Disney World and that the Respondent has still not provided written consent.

The court grants the Petitioner's RFO and orders that he is allowed to take the children to Florida July 11, 2025, through July 18, 2025. He is not to take the children out of the country.

**TENTATIVE RULING #1: THE RFO IS GRANTED. PETITIONER IS ALLOWED TO TAKE THE PARTIES' CHILDREN TO FLORIDA FROM JULY 11, 2025, THROUGH JULY 18, 2025. HE IS NOT PERMITTED TO TAKE THE CHILDREN OUT OF THE COUNTRY.**

**NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY PHONE CALL TO THE COURT AT (530) 573-3042 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL.RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT, 19 CAL.4<sup>TH</sup> 1232 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY PHONE CALL OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL.RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.**

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS  
DEPARTMENT 12  
JULY 09, 2025  
8:30 a.m.

**2. SHANNON KELLER V. MICHAEL MOODY**

**23FL0560**

This action was filed on June 15, 2023. To date, there is no proof of service of summons on the Respondent in the court's file. Under Code of Civil Procedure section 583.420, the court may dismiss an action for delay in prosecution where service is not made within two years after the action is commenced. (Code Civ. Proc., § 583.420, subd. (a)(1).)

**TENTATIVE RULING #2: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 8:30 A.M.,  
WEDNESDAY, JULY 09, 2025, IN DEPARTMENT 12.**

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS  
DEPARTMENT 12  
JULY 09, 2025  
8:30 a.m.

**3. STEPHANIE MARIE MANSELL V. LES PHILLIP MANSELL**

**24FL0859**

This matter is before the court on the Petitioner's Request for Order (RFO) filed February 26, 2025. The matter was previously before the court on April 30, 2025, for which date the court wrote (and subsequently adopted) a tentative ruling which re-referred the parties to CCRC and established an interim order for Child Support, including an arrears payment amount.

The parties attended CCRC on May 15, 2025, and reached a full agreement on a parenting plan for their children (EM, age 13, and JM, age 12). The CCRC report setting forth the parties' agreement was submitted to the court on May 15, 2025, and copies were sent to the parties that same day according to the Clerk's Certificate of mailing filed on that same date.

The Petitioner filed a supplemental declaration on June 24, 2025, a copy of which was emailed to the Respondent's attorney of record on that same date according to the Proof of Electronic Service also filed on June 24, 2025. In her Supplemental Declaration the Petitioner requests: 1) a wage assignment be issued for payment of child support (and arrears) previously ordered, 2) an order that each party pay 50% of uninsured healthcare costs for care of the children, and 3) that each party pay 50% of the costs of the children's extracurricular activities.

No opposition to the Petitioner's Supplemental Declaration has been filed.

The court has read and considered the CCRC report and the Petitioner's Supplemental Declaration and finds and orders as follows.

The court finds that the agreements reached in CCRC are in the best interest of the parties' children and so adopts the terms of the CCRC report as its orders.

The court orders each party to pay 50% of uninsured health care costs (including dental and vision) incurred for care of the children.

The court orders each party to pay 50% of the costs of extracurricular activities in which their children participate so long as the parties have previously discussed the cost and agreed to enrollment of the children in the activity.

The court will approve a wage assignment when submitted.

All prior orders not modified by these orders shall remain in full force and effect.

**TENTATIVE RULING #3: THE CCRC REPORT SUBMITTED ON MAY 15, 2025, IS ADOPTED AS THE ORDERS OF THE COURT. EACH PARTY SHALL PAY 50% OF UNINSURED HEALTHCARE COSTS INCURRED FOR CARE OF THEIR CHILDREN. EACH PARTY SHALL PAY 50% OF COSTS FOR THEIR CHILDREN'S EXTRACURRICULAR ACTIVITIES SO LONG AS THE PARTIES HAVE AGREED TO ENROLLMENT. A WAGE ASSIGNMENT FOR CHILD SUPPORT PAYMENTS WILL ISSUE.**

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS  
DEPARTMENT 12  
JULY 09, 2025  
8:30 a.m.

**NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY PHONE CALL TO THE COURT AT (530) 573-3042 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL.RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT, 19 CAL.4<sup>TH</sup> 1232 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY PHONE CALL OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL.RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.**

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS  
DEPARTMENT 12  
JULY 09, 2025  
8:30 a.m.

**4. VICTORIA RAMOS LOPEZ V. AUTURO CASTRO PIMENTEL**

**23FL0594**

This action was filed on June 27, 2023. To date, there is no proof of service of summons on the Respondent in the court's file. Under Code of Civil Procedure section 583.420, the court may dismiss an action for delay in prosecution where service is not made within two years after the action is commenced. (Code Civ. Proc., § 583.420, subd. (a)(1).)

**TENTATIVE RULING #4: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 8:30 A.M.,  
WEDNESDAY, JULY 09, 2025, IN DEPARTMENT 12.**