LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS DEPARTMENT 12 MAY 07, 2025 8:30 a.m.

1. CRYSTAL SCOTT V. JOSEPH COBB

24FL0570

This matter is before the court on the Petitioner's Request for Order (RFO) regarding Contempt filed on June 06, 2024, in case number SFL20180038. That case was subsequently consolidated with this Dissolution action which has been deemed the lead case.

Trial was set for March 20, 2025. However, the matter was continued twice because Respondent stated his intention to obtain private counsel for his defense.

TENTATIVE RULING #1: PARTIES AND COUNSEL FOR THE RESPONDENT ARE ORDERED TO APPEAR FOR SETTING OF A NEW TRIAL DATE.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS DEPARTMENT 12 MAY 07, 2025 8:30 a.m.

2. JESSICA BARACKMAN V. ANDREW CHAVEZ

22FL1056

This matter is before the court on the Petitioner's Request for Order (RFO) filed February 28, 2025, to modify child custody and visitation. That same day, the court referred the parties to a CCRC session scheduled for March 20, 2025. The proof of service shows Petitioner served the RFO upon Respondent by mail on February 28, 2025.

Respondent did not file a responsive declaration.

In a memorandum to the court dated March 20, 2025, the CCRC counsellor indicated that neither party appeared for the CCRC session.

TENTATIVE RULING #2: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 8:30 A.M., WEDNESDAY, MAY 07, 2025, IN DEPARTMENT 12.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS DEPARTMENT 12 MAY 07, 2025 8:30 a.m.

3. MATTHEW VANHORN V. KYLIE VANHORN

SFL20180216

This matter is before the court on the Respondent's Request for Order (RFO) filed April 09, 2025, requesting that the parties be rereferred to CCRC. There is no Proof of Service to show that the Petitioner was served a copy of Respondent's RFO, and no Responsive Declaration has been filed by the Petitioner.

Respondent filed another, earlier RFO on March 17, 2025, in which she requests modifications to the parties' custody/visitation orders. That RFO caused the court to set a CCRC date on April 10, 2025, which neither party attended. The March 17, 2025, RFO is set for hearing on May 28, 2025.

Because there is no Proof of Service on the Petitioner of the RFO set for hearing this date, the matter is dropped from the calendar. The court will consider rereferral to CCRC at the hearing on May 28, 2025.

TENTATIVE RULING #3: DUE TO LACK OF SERVICE, THE MATTER IS DROPPED FROM THE CALENDAR.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY PHONE CALL TO THE COURT AT (530) 573-3042 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL.RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT, 19 CAL.4TH 1232 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY PHONE CALL OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL.RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.