

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 12
MARCH 26, 2025
8:30 a.m.

1. ELIZABETH LANDEROS DIAZ V. CARLOS MEDINA LOPEZ

22FL0231

This matter is before the court on the Request for Order (RFO) filed by the Petitioner on December 13, 2024. The RFO was accompanied by a request of the Petitioner for Temporary Emergency Orders pending the hearing. The court granted the requested emergency orders which gave Petitioner temporary sole legal and physical custody of the parties' child KM (age 4) and granted the Respondent supervised visits 2 times per week for up to 2 hours each visit.

The court referred the parties to CCRC on December 23, 2024, and set the matter for hearing on January 15, 2025.

Petitioner filed a proof of service on December 17, 2024, showing personal service on the Respondent on December 15, 2024.

The court received a report from the CCRC counsellor on January 08, 2025, which stated that only the Petitioner attended the CCRC session for which reason the CCRC counsellor could not make a recommendation to the court. A copy of the CCRC report was sent to each party on January 07, 2025.

Both parties appeared for the hearing on January 15, 2025, at which time the court re-referred the parties to CCRC with a new session date of February 10, 2025, and continued the hearing to the current date, leaving the temporary emergency orders in place pending the hearing.

The CCRC report from the session on February 10, 2025, was received by the court on February 24, 2025, and a copy was mailed to each of the parties that same date.

The court has read and considered the report.

The report recites several agreements reached by the parties and sets forth recommendations on issues upon which the parties did not reach agreement. The court finds that the agreements reached by the parties are in KM's best interests and so adopts them as orders of the court.

The court finds that most of the recommendations are also in KM's best interest. The court does not adopt the sections under the heading "Alcohol or Substance Abuse" concerning the Defendant installing a Soberlink system. The court believes that the expense is too great to impose for the limited supervised visits being granted to the Respondent and so replaces that section with an order that the Respondent not be allowed to transport KM. The court adopts the rest of the recommendations as stated.

The Petitioner's RFO predates the court's implementation of the Tentative Ruling process so the court anticipates that the parties will appear at the hearing.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 12
MARCH 26, 2025
8:30 a.m.

2. LYDIA MARIE CAREL V. JEROME SILAS

22FL0110

This matter is before the court on the Petitioner's Request for Order (RFO) filed on January 08, 2025. The Petitioner also requested Temporary Emergency Orders requesting that the Respondent be ordered to return the parties' two children, NS (age 7) and SC (age 6), to her following their Winter visit with the Respondent in Las Vegas.

A hearing before the Honorable Gary Slossberg was held on January 08, 2025, at which both parties appeared. The court ordered return of the children, set the current hearing date and referred the parties to a CCRC session on February 06, 2025, for the parties to develop a Holiday schedule.

The RFO does not request any relief beyond the return of the children to Petitioner from their Winter visit with Respondent.

There is no proof of service in the file showing service of the RFO on the Respondent.

There are no responsive pleadings in the file.

The CCRC counsellor submitted a report to the court on February 06, 2025. A copy of the report was sent to each of the parties on February 07, 2025.

Only the Petitioner attended the CCRC session for which reason the CCRC counsellor could not make a recommendation to the court.

The Petitioner's RFO predates the court's adoption of the Tentative Ruling process, so it is anticipated that the parties will appear at the hearing.