

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 12
MARCH 19, 2025
8:30 a.m.

1. ANNETTE LILLY V. MARK LILLY

22FL1103

This matter was previously set for a contested hearing on February 27, 2025. At that time, counsel for the Respondent informed the court that the case resolved. Appearances are required to announce the terms of the settlement or select a new trial date.

**TENTATIVE RULING #1: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 8:30 A.M.,
WEDNESDAY, MARCH 19, 2025, IN DEPARTMENT 12 TO ANNOUNCE THE TERMS OF THE
SETTLEMENT OR SELECT A NEW TRIAL DATE.**

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 12
MARCH 19, 2025
8:30 a.m.

2. CRYSTAL SCOTT V. JOSEPH COBB

24FL0570

Appearances are required to confirm trial will go forward as scheduled on March 20, 2025.

TENTATIVE RULING #2: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 8:30 A.M., WEDNESDAY, MARCH 19, 2025, IN DEPARTMENT 12 TO CONFIRM TRIAL WILL GO FORWARD AS SCHEDULED ON MARCH 20, 2025.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 12
MARCH 19, 2025
8:30 a.m.

3. DAWN KRAVAN V. ISAC BELL

SFL20150187

This matter was continued from January 23, 2025, upon stipulation of the parties. Appearances are required to select a new hearing date.

**TENTATIVE RULING #3: APPEARANCES ARE REQUIRED AT 8:30 A.M.,
WEDNESDAY, MARCH 19, 2025, IN DEPARTMENT 12 TO SELECT A NEW HEARING DATE.**

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 12
MARCH 19, 2025
8:30 a.m.

4. HERVE GOGUELY V. SYLVIE GOGUELY

24FL0466

This matter is before the Court on the Petitioner's Request for Order (RFO) filed on February 13, 2025. The RFO seeks an award of Attorney's fees as a sanction pursuant to Family Code section 271, an order compelling the Respondent to provide Preliminary Declaration of Disclosure (PDD), and to set Trial and MSC dates.

Proof of Service filed February 13, 2025, shows that the RFO was served by mail on counsel of record for the Respondent on February 12, 2025.

There is no Responsive Declaration in the Court's file.

There is a Declaration regarding service of PDD filed by the Respondent on February 27, 2025.

The Court deems the filing by the Respondent of his proof of PDD to have made moot that request of the RFO.

The Court continues the request for Attorney's fees as a sanction to time of Trial of this matter.

The parties are ordered to appear to participate in the selection of Trial and MSC dates.

TENTATIVE RULING #4: THE REQUEST FOR COMPLIANCE WITH DISCLOSURE IS MOOT. THE REQUEST FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES AS A SANCTION IS CONTINUED TO TIME OF TRIAL AND THE PARTIES ARE ORDERED TO APPEAR TO SELECT DATES FOR TRIAL AND MSC.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY PHONE CALL TO THE COURT AT (530) 573-3042 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL.RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT, 19 CAL.4TH 1232 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY PHONE CALL OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL.RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 12
MARCH 19, 2025
8:30 a.m.

5. JED HIRSCHFELD V. KELLI MCALISTER

24FL1065

This matter is before the Court on the Petitioner's Request for Order (RFO) filed February 25, 2025, in which he seeks an order approving a vacation with one of the parties' children beginning on March 28, 2025. The Petitioner also requested an Order shortening time for hearing and service which the Court granted. The Court ordered service of the RFO by March 03, 2025, and Responsive Declaration to be filed by March 12, 2025.

Proof of service filed with the Court on February 28, 2025, shows personal service on the Respondent on that same date.

There is no Responsive Declaration in the file.

The Custody/Visitation orders were filed on December 17, 2024, and are based on an agreement of the parties. The order permits each party to take a vacation with the children of up to three weeks each year. The vacation may be outside California and the parties are to transfer the children's passports to the other parent as needed. The parent taking the vacation must provide at least 30 days advance notice to the other parent, including itinerary, etc.

The Petitioner asserts he gave appropriate notice per the order.

The Court grants the Petitioner's request and orders the Respondent to make the child available for the vacation and to provide the child's passport to the Petitioner, if needed.

TENTATIVE RULING #5: THE RFO IS GRANTED AND THE RESPONDENT IS ORDERED TO MAKE THE CHILD AVAILABLE FOR THE PETITIONER'S SCHEDULED VACATION AND TO PROVIDE THE CHILD'S PASSPORT, IF NEEDED.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY PHONE CALL TO THE COURT AT (530) 573-3042 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL.RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT, 19 CAL.4TH 1232 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY PHONE CALL OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL.RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 12
MARCH 19, 2025
8:30 a.m.

6. MARLENE MEDEL V. VICTOR DIAZ RAMIREZ

24FL0270

This matter is before the Court on the Respondent's Request for Order (RFO) filed February 18, 2025, seeking an order for the Court to reconsider its decision of February 06, 2025, concerning Child Support. The Court's ruling was mailed to the parties on February 06, 2025, as shown by the Clerk's Certificate of Mailing filed that same date.

The RFO is pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1008.

Considering the statutory extension of 5 days to the time-of-mailing the court's February 06, 2025, decision, the Court finds that the RFO is timely.

Proof of Service was filed March 13, 2025, showing personal service of the RFO on the Petitioner on March 09, 2025.

No Responsive Declaration has been filed by the Petitioner.

The Service on the Petitioner is not timely, but the Court deems the issue to be corrective of an error by the Court and so proceeds in the absence of timely service or a Response from the Petitioner.

Respondent points out to the Court that the Ruling of February 06, 2025, fails to consider the Court's previous order entered on December 05, 2024. That order set Child Support beginning on December 01, 2024, and gave credit to the Respondent for support paid voluntarily and credited the Respondent for support for November of 2024.

Additionally, the Respondent has attached to his RFO proof of payments of Child Support pursuant to the December 05, 2024 order for December of 2024 and January and February of 2025.

Therefore, the Court amends its Ruling of February 06, 2025, to begin child support at the new amount (\$1,066 per month) effective March 01, 2025.

TENTATIVE RULING #6: THE COURT'S RULING OF FEBRUARY 06, 2025 IS MODIFIED TO BEGIN CHILD SUPPORT AT THE NEW RATE (\$1,066/MO.) EFFECTIVE MARCH 01, 2025.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY PHONE CALL TO THE COURT AT (530) 573-3042 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL.RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; SEE ALSO

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS
DEPARTMENT 12
MARCH 19, 2025
8:30 a.m.

LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT, 19 CAL.4TH 1232 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY PHONE CALL OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL.RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.