

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

May 4, 2023

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

1. ASHLEY SHENEFIELD V. SEAN AGUILAR

PFL20140027

On December 2, 2022 Petitioner filed a Request for Order (RFO) requesting modification of child custody and parenting time orders. Parties were referred to Child Custody Recommending Counseling (CCRC) for an appointment on December 29, 2022 and a review hearing on February 23, 2023. Respondent filed a Responsive Declaration on December 28, 2022 objecting to any change in the standing court orders.

Both parties appeared to CCRC on December 29, 2022. A report was filed on January 27, 2023. According to the CCRC report the parties were able to reach agreements, predicated on the minors' reunification counselor also agreeing to the step-up plan. The reunification therapist believed it was premature to progress to unsupervised parenting time between Petitioner and the minors. However, the CCRC counselor recommended proceeding with the step-up plan despite the therapist's position.

Petitioner filed a Declaration on February 14, 2023 requesting the court adopt the recommendations from CCRC as well as designate two additional holidays.

The parties presented to the court for hearing on February 23, 2023. At that time the court declined to adopt the recommendations as set forth in the CCRC report noting that Petitioner and the children were just recently assigned a new reunification therapist and further sessions were warranted. The court did order one hour of unsupervised visitation from 4:00-5:00 pm prior to the minors' therapy session. The court set a review hearing for the present date and ordered a further CCRC report to be prepared, with the CCRC counselor to reach out to the new reunification counselor for an update on the progress in reunification counseling. Parties were ordered to submit Supplemental Declarations no later than 10 days prior to the hearing date.

As ordered, CCRC filed an updated report on April 10, 2023. The report indicates that Petitioner and the children were once again re-assigned to a new therapist. This is the third reassignment. The first session with the new therapist was scheduled to take place on April 20th.

Petitioner filed a declaration on April 26th. In her declaration, Petitioner notes that she and the children have been re-assigned to a new reunification therapist for the third time. She states that at the last session with her prior therapist she and the children were informed that the counselor was intending to sign the mediator's recommendations from January 27th, however the notes from the prior therapist will not be passed on to the new therapist. She states that her weekly one hour of unsupervised time since February has been going well and she requests that the court commence the step-up plan as stated in the CCRC report.

Respondent has not filed a Supplemental Declaration.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

May 4, 2023

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

The court has reviewed the aforementioned filings and finds it to be in the best interest of the children to adopt all of the recommendations set forth in the January 27th CCRC report. Step 1 of the step-up plan is to commence immediately.

TENTATIVE RULING #1: THE COURT ADOPTS ALL OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS SET FORTH IN THE JANUARY 27TH CCRC REPORT AS THE ORDERS OF THE COURT. STEP 1 OF THE STEP-UP PLAN IS TO COMMENCE IMMEDIATELY. PETITIONER IS TO PREPARE AND FILE THE FINDINGS AND ORDERS AFTER HEARING.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY TELEPHONE TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6725 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; *SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT*, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY TELEPHONE OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

May 4, 2023

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

2. BRIAN WICKERT V. SHERRI SWETKOVICH-WICKERT

PFL20130700

Respondent filed a Request for Order (RFO) seeking child and spousal support orders as well as attorney's fees. The RFO and Respondent's Income and Expense Declaration were filed and personally served on February 15th. On April 13th Petitioner filed and served his Responsive Declaration to Request for Order, Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Opposition to Spousal Support and his Income and Expense Declaration.

Respondent makes her child support request for the support of the couples' youngest child. According to Respondent, Petitioner earns substantially more than Respondent and he does not support the parties' child. It is on that basis that she also asks for spousal support as she is unable to continue paying for health insurance and the parties were in a long-term marriage prior to separation. She states she had previously requested support, but her prior attorney never filed for it, and she believes that Petitioner is often paid in cash which has allowed him to underreport his income. Finally, she requests \$8,500 in attorney's fees and costs.

Petitioner does not oppose the request for child support however he notes the parties' only remaining minor child turns 18 in July of 2023. He has provided a proposed calculation for child support with a 40/60 timeshare.

Petitioner does oppose spousal support on the basis that Respondent has no need for support under Family Code section 4320. He notes that the parties have been separated since 2013 and Respondent has never requested support because she is self-sufficient. He further argues that Respondent never relied upon Petitioner financially during the marriage. While he concedes that his income recently increased to an amount in excess of Respondent's, he points to the fact that Respondent's income actually exceeded Petitioner's during their last five years of marriage.

Finally, Petitioner strongly opposes the request for attorney's fees. He argues the RFO was unnecessary as the child support issue could have been easily settled out of court. In fact, he maintains that he proposed an increase to the child support, but Respondent refused to engage in any meaningful discussion on the issue.

Child Support

Respondent's request for child support is granted. Respondent asserts a timeshare of 65/35 while Petitioner states the timeshare is 57/43. Thus, the court has used a 61/39 split.

Utilizing the same figures as outlined in the attached DissoMaster, the court finds that child support is \$1,101 per month. The court adopts the attached DissoMaster report and orders Petitioner to pay Respondent \$1,101 per month as and for child support, payable on the

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

May 4, 2023

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

15th of the month until further order of the court or legal termination. The court orders the effective February 15, 2023.

The court finds the above order results in arrears in the amount of \$3,303 through and including April 15th. The court orders Petitioner to pay Respondent \$550.50 on the 1st of each month until paid in full (approximately 6 months). If a payment is late or missed the remaining balance is due in full with legal interest within five (5) days of the date the missed or late payment was due.

Spousal Support

Generally speaking, a married person has a duty to support his or her spouse. Cal. Fam. Code § 4300. The intent is to ensure that each party, upon separation, is able to maintain the marital standard of living. See Cal. Fam. Code § 4330(a). The court maintains broad discretion in determining whether a support award is warranted and if so, the amount and duration thereof. In re Marriage of McLain, 7 Cal. App. 5th 262, 269 (2017). In doing so, however, Family Code section 4320 enumerate a myriad of factors the court is to consider. Where the court finds, taking into consideration all of the factors enumerated in Family Code section 4320, that each party is sufficiently able to maintain the marital standard of living the court is within its discretion to deny a request for spousal support. In re Marriage of Schu, 6 Cal. App. 5th 470, 474 (2016).

As required by Family Code sections 4320(a) and (d) the court first turns to “[t]he extent to which the earning capacity of each party is sufficient to maintain the standard of living established during the marriage” and “[t]he needs of each party based on the standard of living established during the marriage.” According to tax returns and other documents submitted by Petitioner, in the last five years of marriage the joint income of the parties ranged from \$63,370 to \$121,707 with an average annual joint income of \$89,787. For much of that time Respondent was the main wage earner. In fact, it was not until 2013, the year the parties separated, when Petitioner’s income far exceeded that of Respondent. Now, according to Respondent’s Income and Expense Declaration, she made approximately \$91,047 gross income in the past twelve months. Respondent’s income alone is in excess of the average income shared by the parties during the last five years of their marriage. In fact, this evidences another factor to be considered, “[t]he goal that the supported party shall be self-supporting within a reasonable period of time.” Cal. Fam. Code § 4320(l). It appears that Respondent is already self-supporting and has been for the past ten years since the parties separated.

When looking to Petitioner’s ability to pay support, his average monthly income is \$6,500 plus an additional \$6,617.41 which is certainly enough to pay support if warranted. However, the parties are of like age with similar assets and expenses. Respondent is gainfully

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

May 4, 2023

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

employed with only one minor child who is close to the age of majority and likely does not inhibit Respondent's ability to maintain full time employment.

Neither party has submitted information regarding any of the following: The extent to which the party requesting support submitted to the education and career of the other party; any history of domestic violence; tax consequences; and criminal convictions.

In light of the foregoing, it does not appear that an award of temporary spousal support is warranted in this case. Respondent is, and has been, self-supporting for purposes of maintaining the marital standard of living. The fact that Petitioner's income has increased since separation is not sufficient grounds to award temporary spousal support. Respondent's request for temporary spousal support is denied.

Attorney's Fees

The public policy of Family Code section 2030 is to provide "at the outset of litigation, consistent with the financial circumstances of the parties, parity between spouses in their ability to obtain effective legal representation." In Re Marriage Of Keech, 75 Cal. App. 4th 860, 866(1999). This assures each party has access to legal representation to preserve each party's rights. It "is not the redistribution of money from the greater income party to the lesser income party," but rather "parity." Alan S. v Superior Court, 172 Cal. App. 4th 238,251(2009). The award must be just and reasonable; in determining what is just and reasonable, the court can take into consideration the need for the award to enable each party, to the extent practical, to have sufficient financial resources to present the party's case adequately. In addition to the parties' financial resources, the court may consider the parties' trial tactics. In Re Marriage Of Falcone & Fyke, 203 Cal. App. 4th 964; 975 (2012). The court must consider the impact of the fee award on the payor taking into account any orders for support. In Re Marriage of Keech, *supra*, at 860.

In accordance with the above, the court must determine whether a disparity exists in each party's respective ability to pay for, and access funds to retain counsel. This element has been met. Petitioner's current income is well in excess of Respondent's, even with the child support order in place. As such, Petitioner has significantly more resources to pay for not only his attorney's fees, but those of Respondent as well.

In making an award of attorney's fees the court may also consider whether or not the fees are reasonably necessary and the trial tactics of each of the parties. Petitioner argues the RFO was unnecessary and therefore he should not be held accountable for fees Respondent could have otherwise avoided. The court does not agree. While Petitioner did offer to increase child support, Respondent was also requesting temporary spousal support which Petitioner contested. Additionally, in negotiating the support orders Respondent still would have incurred

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

May 4, 2023

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

attorney's fees and costs. That said, the court finds \$8,500 in fees and costs for the preparation and filing of the RFO to be unreasonable.

Respondent did not complete Section 15 of her Income and Expense Declaration evidencing the actual amount she has paid her attorney. The FL-300 indicates that she is only seeking \$4,090. Yet again, nothing specifies whether that amount has actually been incurred. However, given the court's familiarity with the average rates billed by attorneys in this and surrounding counties, as well as the nature and complexity of the RFO, the court finds an award of \$4,090 should be sufficient to cover costs and fees associated with the preparation and filing of the present motion as well as additional time spent negotiating and executing a Marital Settlement Agreement should the parties continue to do so.

Petitioner is to pay Respondent \$4,090 as and for attorney's fees. Fees may be paid in one lump sum or in increments of \$681.66 due and payable on the 1st of each month until paid in full (approximately 6 months). If a payment is late or missed the remaining balance is due in full with legal interest within five (5) days of the date the missed or late payment was due.

TENTATIVE RULING #2: THE COURT FINDS THAT CHILD SUPPORT PER THE ALAMEDA FORMULA IS \$1,101 PER MONTH. THE COURT ADOPTS THE ATTACHED DISSOMASTER REPORT AND ORDERS PETITIONER TO PAY RESPONDENT \$1,101 PER MONTH AS AND FOR CHILD SUPPORT, PAYABLE ON THE 15TH OF THE MONTH UNTIL FURTHER ORDER OF THE COURT OR LEGAL TERMINATION. THE COURT ORDERS THE TEMPORARY SPOUSAL SUPPORT ORDER EFFECTIVE FEBRUARY 15, 2023.

THE COURT FINDS THE ABOVE ORDER RESULTS IN ARREARS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$3,303 THROUGH AND INCLUDING APRIL 15TH. THE COURT ORDERS PETITIONER TO PAY RESPONDENT \$550.50 ON THE 1ST OF EACH MONTH UNTIL PAID IN FULL (APPROXIMATELY 6 MONTHS). IF A PAYMENT IS LATE OR MISSED THE REMAINING BALANCE IS DUE IN FULL WITH LEGAL INTEREST WITHIN FIVE (5) DAYS OF THE DATE THE MISSED OR LATE PAYMENT WAS DUE.

PETITIONER IS TO PAY RESPONDENT \$4,090 AS AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES. FEES MAY BE PAID IN ONE LUMP SUM OR IN INCREMENTS OF \$681.66 DUE AND PAYABLE ON THE 1ST OF EACH MONTH UNTIL PAID IN FULL (APPROXIMATELY 6 MONTHS). IF A PAYMENT IS LATE OR MISSED THE REMAINING BALANCE IS DUE IN FULL WITH LEGAL INTEREST WITHIN FIVE (5) DAYS OF THE DATE THE MISSED OR LATE PAYMENT WAS DUE.

**RESPONDENT'S REQUEST FOR TEMPORARY SPOUSAL SUPPORT IS DENIED.
RESPONDENT IS TO PREPARE AND FILE THE FINDINGS AND ORDERS AFTER HEARING.**

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

May 4, 2023

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY TELEPHONE TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6725 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; *SEE ALSO* LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY TELEPHONE OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

ATTORNEY (NAME AND ADDRESS): EDC Court California ATTORNEY FOR: Father	TELEPHONE NO: Superior Court Of The State of California, County of COURT NAME: STREET ADDRESS: MAILING ADDRESS: BRANCH NAME:
DISSOMASTER REPORT 2023, Monthly	CASE NUMBER:

Input Data	Father	Mother	Guideline (2023)	Cash Flow Analysis	Father	Mother
Number of children	0	1	Nets (adjusted)	Guideline		
% time with Second Parent	39%	0%	Father	8,849	Payment (cost)/benefit	(1,101) 1,101
Filing status	MFS->	HH/MLA	Mother	3,007	Net spendable income	7,747 4,108
# Federal exemptions	1*	2*	Total	11,856	% combined spendable	65.4% 34.6%
Wages + salary	6,500	7,562	Support		Total taxes	4,268 1,188
401(k) employee contrib	1,000	0	CS Payor	Father	Comb. net spendable	11,855
Self-employment income	6,617	0	Presumed	1,101	Proposed	
Other taxable income	0	25	Basic CS	1,101	Payment (cost)/benefit	(1,101) 1,101
Short-term cap. gains	0	0	Add-ons	0	Net spendable income	7,747 4,108
Long-term cap. gains	0	0	Presumed Per Kid		NSI change from gdl	0 0
Other gains (and losses)	0	0	Child 1	1,101	% combined spendable	65.4% 34.6%
Ordinary dividends	0	0	Spousal support	blocked	% of saving over gdl	0% 0%
Tax. interest received	0	0	Total	1,101	Total taxes	4,268 1,188
Social Security received	0	0	Proposed, tactic 9		Comb. net spendable	11,855
Unemployment compensation	0	0	CS Payor	Father	Percent change	0.0%
Operating losses	0	0	Presumed	1,101	Default Case Settings	
Ca. operating loss adj.	0	0	Basic CS	1,101		
Roy, partnerships, S corp, trusts	0	0	Add-ons	0		
Rental income	0	0	Presumed Per Kid			
Misc ordinary tax. inc.	0	25	Child 1	1,101		
Other nontaxable income	0	0	Spousal support	blocked		
New-spouse income	0	0	Total	1,101		
SS paid other marriage	0	0	Savings	0		
CS paid other relationship	0	0	No releases			
Adj. to income (ATI)	0	0				
Ptr Support Pd. other P'ships	0	0				
Health insurance	0	2,020				
Qual. Bus. Inc. Ded.	0	0				
Itemized deductions	582	472				
Other medical expenses	0	0				
Property tax expenses	582	472				
Ded. interest expense	0	0				
Charitable contribution	0	0				
Miscellaneous itemized	0	0				
State sales tax paid	0	0				
Required union dues	0	350				
Cr. for Pd. Sick and Fam. L.	0	0				
Mandatory retirement	0	873				
Hardship deduction	0*	0*				
Other gdl. adjustments	0	150				
AMT info (IRS Form 6251)	0	0				
Child support add-ons	0	0				
TANF, SSI and CS received	0	0				

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

May 4, 2023

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

3. DANIELA A. WARD V. RORY V. WARD

22FL0614

Petitioner filed a Request for Order (RFO) on January 17, 2023 seeking child custody and visitation orders as well as child support, spousal support, property control and attorney's fees. The RFO was mail served on February 6th.

The parties were referred to Child Custody Recommending Counseling (CCRC) and a hearing on the RFO was set for March 30th. However, Respondent did not attend CCRC as scheduled. Thereafter, the parties stipulated to be re-referred to CCRC and to continue the hearing on the RFO to the present date.

The parties attended the rescheduled CCRC appointment and were able to reach a full agreement. The agreement was codified in a written stipulation which was signed by the court on March 29th. While the parties reached agreements on all issues raised in the RFO except for attorney's fees; the parties requested the hearing remain on calendar for the court to address the issues of credits and offsets to child support going back to the date of filing the RFO.

Respondent (although his document is labeled indicating he is the Petitioner) filed a Responsive Declaration to Request for Order on April 21st. There is not a Proof of Service on file indicating this document was served. As such, the court has not read or considered it.

On May 1st and 2nd respectively, Petitioner filed an Income and Expense Declaration and an Updating Declaration of Petitioner. The court finds these to be Reply documents which are late filed pursuant to Civil Procedure section 1005(b). Pursuant to Section 1005(b) reply papers are to be filed at least five court days before the hearing date. Civil Procedure section 12c states, "[w]here any law requires an act to be performed no later than a specified number of days before a hearing date, the last day to perform that act shall be determined by counting backward from the hearing date, *excluding the day of the hearing* as provided by Section 12." Cal. Civ. Pro. § 12c. Section 1005(b) in conjunction with Section 12c would have made the last day for filing to have been April 27th. Therefore, these are late filed and have not been read or considered by the court.

Respondent filed a Request for Domestic Violence Restraining Order (DVRO) on April 26th which is set to be heard on May 19th. As part of the DVRO request, Respondent asks that spousal support be set to zero and request Petitioner pay his attorney's fees.

Given the overlap in issues, the court finds it is in the interest of judicial economy to continue the present hearing to join with the May 19th DVRO hearing.

TENTATIVE RULING #3: GIVEN THE OVERLAP IN ISSUES, THE COURT FINDS IT IS IN THE INTEREST OF JUDICIAL ECONOMY TO CONTINUE THE PRESENT HEARING TO JOIN WITH THE

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

May 4, 2023

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

MAY 19TH DVRO HEARING. RESPONDENT IS TO SERVE HIS RESPONSIVE DECLARATION TO REQUEST FOR ORDER AND FILE THE PROOF OF SERVICE WITH THE COURT.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY TELEPHONE TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6725 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; *SEE ALSO* LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY TELEPHONE OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

May 4, 2023

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

4. DENEEN BECERRIL V. JEFF BECERRIL

PFL20210290

On February 21, 2023, Petitioner filed a Request for Order (RFO) and her Income and Expense Declaration. Thereafter on March 2nd she filed a Declaration which included her FL-315 attachment to support her request for bifurcation. All documents were mail served on March 3rd. Respondent has not filed a responsive declaration.

By way of her RFO Petitioner requests the following orders: (1) Enforce the Stipulation and Order dated October 11, 2022, and order Respondent to move out of the marital residence within 5 days of the hearing date; (2) Bifurcate the issue of marital status; (3) Set the remaining property issues for trial; and (4) Impose Family Code section 271 sanctions. According to Petitioner the parties were previously set for a Mandatory Settlement Conference (“MSC”) in October of 2022. The parties stipulated that Respondent would move out of the marital residence and the home would be put up for sale. They were unable to come to any agreements on the remaining issues of property division. Respondent has failed to comply with the stipulation and necessitated the filing of the present motion. As such, Petitioner requests Family Code section 271 sanctions in the amount of \$1,800.

A party may request bifurcation of the issue of marital status however, prior to doing so, the party must ensure that “[a]ll pension plans that have not been divided by court order that require joinder ...” have been joined. Cal. Rule Ct. 5.390(d)(1). A party seeking bifurcation is to submit a completed FL-315. Cal. Rule Ct. 5.390(a). Here, Petitioner has complied with both of the aforementioned requirements. She has submitted her completed FL-315 wherein she indicates that there are no pension or retirement plans to be joined. As such, the parties are ordered to appear to select hearing dates for a status-only judgment as well as trial dates for the remaining property division issues.

Regarding Petitioner’s request for the court to enforce the provisions of the stipulation, the court signed the stipulation on October 11, 2022. At that time the terms of the stipulation became the orders of the court. Respondent is admonished to comply with all prior orders. Failure to comply may result in an order to show cause for contempt.

Petitioner has made a request for sanctions pursuant to Family Code Section 271 which states in pertinent part, “...the court may base an award of attorney’s fees and costs on the extent to which the conduct of each party or attorney furthers or frustrates the policy of the law to promote settlement of litigation and, where possible, to reduce the cost of litigation by encouraging cooperation of the parties...” Fam. Code § 271(a). Respondent’s failure to comply with the terms of the stipulation has necessitated the filing of the present RFO which has caused Petitioner to incur costs and fees. This most certainly is not in keeping with the policy of the law. As such, Petitioner’s request for sanctions is granted. Respondent is ordered to pay

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

May 4, 2023

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

Petitioner \$1,800 as and for Family Code section 271 sanctions. Sanctions may be paid in one lump sum or in monthly increments of \$300 until paid in full (approximately 6 months). Sanction payments are due the 15th of each month commencing with May 15th. If any payment is missed or late the entire amount is to become immediately due and payable with legal interest.

TENTATIVE RULING #4: THE PARTIES ARE ORDERED TO APPEAR TO SELECT HEARING DATES FOR A STATUS-ONLY JUDGMENT AS WELL AS TRIAL DATES FOR THE REMAINING PROPERTY DIVISION ISSUES. RESPONDENT IS ADMONISHED TO COMPLY WITH ALL PRIOR ORDERS. FAILURE TO COMPLY MAY RESULT IN AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CONTEMPT. PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR SANCTIONS IS GRANTED. RESPONDENT IS ORDERED TO PAY PETITIONER \$1,800 AS AND FOR FAMILY CODE SECTION 271 SANCTIONS. SANCTIONS MAY BE PAID IN ONE LUMP SUM OR IN MONTHLY INCREMENTS OF \$300 UNTIL PAID IN FULL (APPROXIMATELY 6 MONTHS). SANCTION PAYMENTS ARE DUE THE 15TH OF EACH MONTH COMMENCING WITH MAY 15TH. IF ANY PAYMENT IS MISSED OR LATE THE ENTIRE AMOUNT IS TO BECOME IMMEDIATELY DUE AND PAYABLE WITH LEGAL INTEREST. PETITIONER IS TO PREPARE AND FILE THE FINDINGS AND ORDERS AFTER HEARING.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY TELEPHONE TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6725 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY TELEPHONE OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

May 4, 2023

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

5. EUGENE EXUM V. ALYSSA EXUM

PFL20190540

Respondent filed a Request for Order (RFO) seeking child custody and visitation orders. The RFO was filed on February 17. The parties were referred to Child Custody Recommending Counseling (CCRC) with an appointment scheduled for March 15th, however the RFO was not served until two days prior on March 13th. Petitioner did not appear at CCRC, nor has he filed a response to the RFO.

Respondent requests sole legal and physical custody of the minors with supervised visitation to Petitioner. Respondent makes this request on the basis that feels Petitioner's girlfriend, Samantha Braziel, had threatened the lives of herself and the children. She also states that Ms. Braziel often operates her vehicle while under the influence of alcohol, even when she is driving the children. She states that the children do not feel safe with their father when Ms. Braziel is around and even though Respondent claims he no longer allows contact between Ms. Braziel and the children, Respondent is of the belief that contact is still occurring.

The parties are ordered to appear.

TENTATIVE RULING #5: THE PARTIES ARE ORDERED TO APPEAR.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

May 4, 2023

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

7. JENNIFER LADLEY V. WILLIAM LADLEY

PFL20180837

On April 29, 2022, Respondent filed a Request for Order (RFO) and supporting documents requesting the court issue an order compelling responses to Respondent's Demand for Production of Documents, Set Number One, and imposing monetary sanctions in the amount of \$1,000. Petitioner filed her Responsive Declaration to Request for Order and her updated Income and Expense Declaration on June 30, 2022. The foregoing documents were served via U.S. Mail on June 30, 2022.

The court issued a tentative ruling on the RFO, and Petitioner requested oral argument. The parties appeared before the court on July 21, 2022 and presented arguments. The court adopted its tentative ruling in part but stayed the portion regarding sanctions and continued it to August 4, 2022.

On June 7, 2022, Petitioner filed an RFO requesting an order compelling Respondent to produce pay stubs for determination of support owed on overtime and a determination of child support and spousal support arrears. The RFO was served via U.S. Mail on June 15, 2022. On July 18, 2022, Respondent filed a Responsive Declaration to Request for Order and an updated Income and Expense Declaration, both of which were served that same day.

The court issued its ruling and ordered Respondent to produce pay stubs from December 21, 2018 to September 20, 2019 and from April 15, 2022 through the present no later than August 18, 2022. The matter was set for a review hearing on October 6th to calculate arrears and make a determination on the issue of discovery sanctions. The court reserved jurisdiction to award amounts owed dating back to the date of the filing of the RFO. Likewise, the court continued to reserve jurisdiction to award discovery sanctions pursuant to the April 29, 2022, RFO.

On August 30th Respondent filed another RFO requesting orders on child custody, visitation, and child support. The parties were referred to Child Custody Recommending Counseling (CCRC) with an appointment on October 3rd and the RFO was set to be heard on November 17th.

On October 6, 2022, parties appeared for a hearing. The parties were rereferred to CCRC, given Petitioner did not receive notice of the prior CCRC appointment. The court set a new appointment for CCRC on October 25, 2022 and a review hearing on December 22, 2022. Given the overlap in issues between Respondent's August 30th RFO and the calculation of arrears, the court found it was in the interest of judicial economy to continue the review hearing to join with the November 17, 2022 hearing. The court reset the November 17, 2022 hearing to December 22, 2022, which was later continued to February 9th. The court continued to reserve jurisdiction to award amounts owed dating back to the date of the filing of the June

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

May 4, 2023

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

7th RFO. Likewise, the court continued to reserve jurisdiction to award discovery sanctions pursuant to the order on the April 29, 2022, RFO.

The parties presented for hearing on February 9, 2023. At that time the court adopted the CCRC recommendations regarding custody and ordered \$995 a month in child support effective September 1, 2022. The court reserved jurisdiction to modify support back to September 1, 2022 and reserved jurisdiction on arrears retroactive to June 7, 2022. The parties were ordered to file supplemental declarations no later than 10 days prior to the hearing date. Respondent was ordered to prepare the Findings and Orders After Hearing (FOAH).

Petitioner timely served her supplemental declaration on April 19th and filed it with the court on April 25th. Respondent was untimely in the filing and service of his supplemental declaration and his Income and Expense Declaration. Both were filed and served on May 1st. Because these filings were untimely the court has not read or considered them.

According to Petitioner she has not received Respondent's paystubs for 2018 and 2023 and therefore cannot calculate arrears for that time frame. Likewise, Petitioner has not received the FOAH which Respondent was ordered to prepare. In the event Respondent does not prepare the FOAH by April 23rd, Petitioner will prepare it and submit to the court for signature. Thereafter the IWO can be submitted and child support can be garnished from Respondent's wages. Petitioner did submit the overtime tables and earnings documentation from 2019, 2020, 2021 and 2022.

Using the calculations submitted by Petitioner the court finds Respondent owes the following arrears amounts for child support: \$4,338 for 2019, \$5,049 for 2020, \$4,565 for 2021 and \$3,076 for 2022. This amounts to a total of \$17,028. This amount is offset by overages paid for the years 2022 and 2023 after one child reached the age of majority. The offset amount is \$5,088. Thus, the total due and owing for arrears on child support is \$11,940.

Also using the calculations submitted by Petitioner, the total arrears amount owed for spousal support is \$18,991.

Based on the figures outlined above the court finds the total arrears amount owed for the period of 2019-2022 is \$30,931. The court orders Petitioner to pay Respondent \$552.34 on the 1st of each month until paid in full (approximately 56 months). If a payment is late or missed the remaining balance is due in full with legal interest within five (5) days of the date the missed or late payment was due. Respondent is ordered to produce any 2018 and 2023 paystubs that have not already been produced to Petitioner. Paystubs are to be produced no later than May 11, 2023. The court continues to reserve jurisdiction on Respondent's request for Section 271 sanctions.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

May 4, 2023

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

TENTATIVE RULING #7: BASED ON THE FIGURES OUTLINED ABOVE THE COURT FINDS THE TOTAL ARREARS AMOUNT OWED FOR THE PERIOD OF 2019-2022 IS \$30,931. THE COURT ORDERS PETITIONER TO PAY RESPONDENT \$552.34 ON THE 1ST OF EACH MONTH UNTIL PAID IN FULL (APPROXIMATELY 56 MONTHS). IF A PAYMENT IS LATE OR MISSED THE REMAINING BALANCE IS DUE IN FULL WITH LEGAL INTEREST WITHIN FIVE (5) DAYS OF THE DATE THE MISSED OR LATE PAYMENT WAS DUE. RESPONDENT IS ORDERED TO PRODUCE ANY 2018 AND 2023 PAYSTUBS THAT HAVE NOT ALREADY BEEN PRODUCED TO PETITIONER. PAYSTUBS ARE TO BE PRODUCED NO LATER THAN MAY 11, 2023. THE COURT CONTINUES TO RESERVE JURISDICTION ON RESPONDENT'S REQUEST FOR SECTION 271 SANCTIONS.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY TELEPHONE TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6725 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; *SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT*, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY TELEPHONE OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

May 4, 2023

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

10. SAMANTHA LOUISE JOHNSON V. MATTHEW GREG JOHNSON

22FL0195

Petitioner filed a Request for Order (RFO) seeking spousal support, attorney's fees, and an order compelling Respondent's preliminary disclosure of documents. The RFO and her Income and Expense Declaration were filed on February 21, 2023 and mail served on February 24th. Respondent filed his Responsive Declaration to Request for Order, his Income and Expense Declaration and his Declaration Regarding Service of Declaration of Disclosure and Income and Expense Declaration. The Income and Expense Declaration was served on April 18th while the responsive declaration was served on April 21st.

Petitioner is requesting guideline spousal support and attorney's fees in the amount of \$5,000 pursuant to Family Code section 2030, as well as an additional \$1,500 in fees pursuant to Family Code section 2107(c). She also requests an order compelling Respondent's preliminary disclosures, however given the Declaration Regarding Service of Declaration of Disclosure and Income and Expense Declaration it appears this issue is moot as the declarations have already been served. That leaves the issues of spousal support and attorney's fees to be decided by the court.

Spousal Support

According to Petitioner, Respondent's monthly income is more than triple that of Petitioner's. She further argues that Respondent left the state, took all of the furniture from their home, and used community funds to purchase a home in Texas. Petitioner was no longer able to afford rent, so she and her daughter were forced to move.

Respondent opposes the request for spousal support. He states that the two were only married for 6 months prior to separation and although the parties were together prior to marriage during that time Respondent states they both contributed equally to the monthly expenses, and they did not have any children nor did he support Petitioner.

Generally speaking, a married person has a duty to support his or her spouse. Cal. Fam. Code § 4300. The intent is to ensure that each party, upon separation, is able to maintain the marital standard of living. *See* Cal. Fam. Code § 4330(a). The court maintains broad discretion in determining whether a support award is warranted and if so, the amount and duration thereof. In re Marriage of McLain, 7 Cal. App. 5th 262, 269 (2017). In doing so, however, Family Code section 4320 enumerate a myriad of factors the court is to consider. Where the court finds, taking into consideration all of the factors enumerated in Family Code section 4320, that each party is sufficiently able to maintain the marital standard of living the court is within its discretion to deny a request for spousal support or determine its amount. In re Marriage of Schu, 6 Cal. App. 5th 470, 474 (2016).

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

May 4, 2023

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

While it is apparent the marriage was for an exceptionally short duration, there was still a standard of living established during that time. The parties each contributed to the bills, including the home they rented together. Additionally, when Respondent left, he took all of the furniture in the house which left Petitioner in need of new furniture and household items to maintain the standard of living she had become accustomed to. Petitioner states that she is working full time though she did not receive her annual bonus last year as she had in the past which has also contributed to her difficulty in maintaining the standard of living from the marriage.

Utilizing the same figures as outlined in the attached DissoMaster, the court finds that spousal support per the Alameda formula is \$0 per month. See attached DissoMaster report. The court finds that Respondent and Petitioner routinely earn commissions and bonuses, therefore the court adopts the attached monthly overtime table to be used to account for monthly overtime and commissions. The party owing based on the table is to pay a true up payment on the 1st of the month following the month in which the commission or overtime was received. Parties are to provide copies of paystubs to substantiate the true-up amount due. This order is effective as of March 1, 2023. This results in arrears owed for amounts earned during March and April. The parties are to calculate arrears owed and agree to a monthly installment payment amount until arrears are paid in full.

2030 Attorney's Fees

The public policy of Family Code section 2030 is to provide "at the outset of litigation, consistent with the financial circumstances of the parties, parity between spouses in their ability to obtain effective legal representation." In Re Marriage Of Keech, 75 Cal. App. 4th 860, 866(1999). This assures each party has access to legal representation to preserve each party's rights. It "is not the redistribution of money from the greater income party to the lesser income party," but rather "parity." Alan S. v Superior Court, 172 Cal. App. 4th 238,251(2009). The award must be just and reasonable; in determining what is just and reasonable, the court can take into consideration the need for the award to enable each party, to the extent practical, to have sufficient financial resources to present the party's case adequately. In addition to the parties' financial resources, the court may consider the parties' trial tactics. In Re Marriage Of Falcone & Fyke, 203 Cal. App. 4th 964; 975 (2012). The court must consider the impact of the fee award on the payor taking into account any orders for support. In Re Marriage Of Keech, *supra*, at 860.

First, the court must consider whether a disparity exists in ability to pay for, and access to funds to retain counsel. This requirement has been met. Respondent's gross monthly income exceeds that of Petitioner's by over \$4,000. While it is true that Respondent's monthly expenses also exceed those of Petitioner's, the majority of those expenses are attributable to

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

May 4, 2023

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

mortgage payments on his rental properties and not his primary residence or his day-to-day living expenses.

The court next turns to the issue of whether the fees and costs of maintaining or defending the proceeding are reasonably necessary. Here, the marriage between the parties was of extremely short duration. Only 9 months. While this does not necessarily lend itself to be a difficult or intricate divorce, Respondent has already incurred over \$5,000 in fees, while Petitioner has already paid \$3,033.50. It is not unreasonable to believe that the amount of attorney's fees incurred by Petitioner will reach the \$5,000 mark. Thus, the court finds the request for \$5,000 to be reasonable and necessary.

For the foregoing reasons, the court grants Petitioner's request for \$5,000 in attorney's fees. This amount may be paid in one lump sum or in monthly increments of \$500 due and payable on the 15th of each month until paid in full (approximately 10 months). If any payment is missed or late, the entire amount becomes immediately due and payable with legal interest.

2107 Attorney's Fees

Family Code section 2104 imposes on each party the obligation of making a preliminary disclosure of assets within the timeframe specified. For the party responding to a Petition for Dissolution, the disclosure is due either concurrently with the response or within 60 days of filing the same. Where a party fails to comply with Section 2104, the complying party may, among other things, file a motion to compel and seek sanctions against the noncomplying party. Fam. Code § 2107(b)(1). "...[T]he court *shall*...impose monetary sanctions against the noncomplying party. Sanctions shall be in an amount sufficient to deter repetition of the conduct or comparable conduct, and *shall* include reasonable attorney's fees, costs incurred, or both, unless the court finds that the noncomplying party acted with substantial justification or that other circumstances make the imposition of the sanction unjust." Fam. Code § 2107(c).

Respondent opposes the request for Section 2107 sanctions on the basis that a motion to compel was not necessary. He claims he would have produced the documents regardless of the motion to compel and he in fact, has produced them. Regardless, Section 2107 mandates the imposition of sanctions where a party has failed to comply with Section 2104 which does impose time limits on the production of documents. Petitioner attempted to meet and confer on the missing documents, yet they still were not timely produced.

When imposing sanctions under Section 2107 the court is required to award reasonable attorney's fees and costs unless the court finds that circumstances make the imposition of sanctions unjust. As discussed above, Respondent has already been ordered to pay \$5,000 as and for Petitioner's attorney's fees. Thus, ordering the payment of fees associated with the preparation of the present motion would result in a windfall to Petitioner. The court finds it is

LAW & MOTION TENTATIVE RULINGS

DEPARTMENT 5

May 4, 2023

8:30 a.m./1:30 p.m.

more appropriate under the circumstances to impose sanctions in an amount sufficient to deter repetition of the conduct or comparable conduct. As such, Petitioner's request for sanctions is granted. Respondent is ordered to pay Petitioner \$500 in sanctions due and payable no later than May 18, 2023.

TENTATIVE RULING #10: UTILIZING THE SAME FIGURES AS OUTLINED IN THE ATTACHED DISSOMASTER, THE COURT FINDS THAT SPOUSAL SUPPORT PER THE ALAMEDA FORMULA IS \$0 PER MONTH. SEE ATTACHED DISSOMASTER REPORT. THE COURT FINDS THAT RESPONDENT AND PETITIONER ROUTINELY EARN COMMISSIONS AND BONUSES, THEREFORE THE COURT ADOPTS THE ATTACHED MONTHLY OVERTIME TABLE TO BE USED TO ACCOUNT FOR MONTHLY OVERTIME AND COMMISSIONS. THE PARTY OWING BASED ON THE TABLE IS TO PAY A TRUE UP PAYMENT ON THE 1ST OF THE MONTH FOLLOWING THE MONTH IN WHICH THE COMMISSION OR OVERTIME WAS RECEIVED. PARTIES ARE TO PROVIDE COPIES OF PAYSTUBS TO SUBSTANTIATE THE TRUE-UP AMOUNT DUE. THIS ORDER IS EFFECTIVE AS OF MARCH 1, 2023. THIS RESULTS IN ARREARS OWED FOR AMOUNTS EARNED DURING MARCH AND APRIL. THE PARTIES ARE TO CALCULATE ARREARS OWED AND AGREE TO A MONTHLY INSTALLMENT PAYMENT AMOUNT UNTIL ARREARS ARE PAID IN FULL. THE COURT GRANTS PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR \$5,000 IN ATTORNEY'S FEES. THIS AMOUNT MAY BE PAID IN ONE LUMP SUM OR IN MONTHLY INCREMENTS OF \$500 DUE AND PAYABLE ON THE 15TH OF EACH MONTH UNTIL PAID IN FULL (APPROXIMATELY 10 MONTHS). IF ANY PAYMENT IS MISSED OR LATE, THE ENTIRE AMOUNT BECOMES IMMEDIATELY DUE AND PAYABLE WITH LEGAL INTEREST. PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR SANCTIONS IS GRANTED. RESPONDENT IS ORDERED TO PAY PETITIONER \$500 IN SANCTIONS DUE AND PAYABLE NO LATER THAN MAY 18, 2023. PETITIONER IS TO PREPARE AND FILE THE FINDINGS AND ORDERS AFTER HEARING.

NO HEARING ON THIS MATTER WILL BE HELD UNLESS A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT IS TRANSMITTED ELECTRONICALLY THROUGH THE COURT'S WEBSITE OR BY TELEPHONE TO THE COURT AT (530) 621-6725 BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07; SEE ALSO LEWIS V. SUPERIOR COURT, 19 CAL.4TH 1232, 1247 (1999). NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF A REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT AND THE GROUNDS UPON WHICH ARGUMENT IS BEING REQUESTED MUST BE MADE BY TELEPHONE OR IN PERSON BY 4:00 P.M. ON THE DAY THE TENTATIVE RULING IS ISSUED. CAL. RULE CT. 3.1308; LOCAL RULE 8.05.07.

ATTORNEY (NAME AND ADDRESS): <div style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold; font-size: 1.2em;">California</div> ATTORNEY FOR: Husband	TELEPHONE NO:	Superior Court Of The State of California, County of COURT NAME: STREET ADDRESS: MAILING ADDRESS: BRANCH NAME:
DISSOMASTER REPORT 2023, Monthly		CASE NUMBER:

Input Data	Husband	Wife	Guideline (2023)	Cash Flow Analysis	Husband	Wife
Number of children	0	0	Nets (adjusted)	Guideline		
% time with Second Parent	0%	0%	Husband	2,589 Payment (cost)/benefit	0	0
Filing status	MFS->	<-MFS	Wife	2,777 Net spendable income	2,589	2,777
# Federal exemptions	1*	1*	Total	5,366 % combined spendable	48.2%	51.8%
Wages + salary	3,000	3,079	Support	Total taxes	573	568
401(k) employee contrib	0	0	Alameda	0 Comb. net spendable	5,367	
Self-employment income	0	0	Total	0 Proposed		
Other taxable income	484	366	Proposed, tactic 9	Payment (cost)/benefit	0	0
Short-term cap. gains	0	0	Alameda	0 Net spendable income	2,589	2,777
Long-term cap. gains	0	0	Total	0 NSI change from gdl	0	0
Other gains (and losses)	0	0	Savings	0 % combined spendable	48.2%	51.8%
Ordinary dividends	0	0	No releases	% of saving over gdl	0%	0%
Tax. interest received	0	0		Total taxes	573	568
Social Security received	0	0		Comb. net spendable	5,367	
Unemployment compensation	0	0		Percent change	0.0%	
Operating losses	0	0		Default Case Settings		
Ca. operating loss adj.	0	0				
Roy, partnerships, S corp, trusts	0	0				
Rental income	0	0				
Misc ordinary tax. inc.	484	366				
Other nontaxable income	0	0				
New-spouse income	0	0				
SS paid other marriage	0	0				
CS paid other relationship	0	0				
Adj. to income (ATI)	0	0				
Ptr Support Pd. other P'ships	0	0				
Health insurance	322	100				
Qual. Bus. Inc. Ded.	0	0				
Itemized deductions	625	0				
Other medical expenses	0	0				
Property tax expenses	625	0				
Ded. interest expense	0	0				
Charitable contribution	0	0				
Miscellaneous itemized	0	0				
State sales tax paid	0	0				
Required union dues	0	0				
Cr. for Pd. Sick and Fam. L.	0	0				
Mandatory retirement	0	0				
Hardship deduction	0*	0*				
Other gdl. adjustments	0	0				
AMT info (IRS Form 6251)	0	0				
Child support add-ons	0	0				
TANF, SSI and CS received	0	0				

ATTORNEY (NAME AND ADDRESS): California ATTORNEY FOR: Husband	TELEPHONE NO:	Superior Court Of The State of California, County of COURT NAME: STREET ADDRESS: MAILING ADDRESS: BRANCH NAME:
Two-way Monthly Overtime Wages Report 2023 Monthly		CASE NUMBER:

Change in Alameda Spousal Support

Blue is a cost to Husband. *Red italic is a cost to Wife*

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages	Husband's Gross Overtime Wages							
	500	600	700	800	900	1,000	1,100	1,200
500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,300	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,400	44	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,500	73	33	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,600	100	60	21	0	0	0	0	0
1,700	127	87	48	9	0	0	0	0
1,800	154	114	75	36	0	0	0	0
1,900	181	142	102	63	24	0	0	0
2,000	208	168	129	89	50	11	0	0
2,100	234	195	155	116	76	37	0	0
2,200	261	221	182	142	103	63	24	0
2,300	287	248	208	169	129	90	50	11
2,400	313	274	234	195	156	116	77	37
2,500	340	300	261	221	182	143	103	64
2,600	366	327	287	248	208	169	129	90
2,700	393	353	314	274	235	195	156	116
2,800	419	380	340	301	261	222	182	143
2,900	445	406	366	327	288	248	209	169
3,000	472	432	393	353	314	275	235	196
3,100	498	459	419	380	340	301	262	222
3,200	525	485	446	406	367	327	288	249
3,300	551	512	472	433	393	354	314	275
3,400	577	538	499	459	420	380	341	301
3,500	604	564	525	486	446	407	367	327
3,600	630	591	551	512	472	433	393	353
3,700	657	617	578	538	498	459	419	379
3,800	683	643	603	564	524	484	445	405
3,900	709	669	629	590	550	510	471	431
4,000	735	695	655	616	576	536	497	457
4,100	761	721	681	642	602	562	523	483
4,200	787	747	707	668	628	588	549	509



PETITIONER:
RESPONDENT:

CASE NUMBER:

Change in Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. Red italic is a cost to Wife

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	1,300	1,400	1,500	1,600	1,700	1,800	1,900	2,000
500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,500	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,600	51	11	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,700	77	38	4	0	0	0	0	0
2,800	103	64	30	0	0	0	0	0
2,900	130	91	56	22	0	0	0	0
3,000	156	117	83	49	15	0	0	0
3,100	183	143	109	75	41	7	0	0
3,200	209	170	136	101	67	34	0	0
3,300	236	196	162	127	93	60	26	0
3,400	262	222	188	153	120	86	53	19
3,500	287	248	214	180	146	113	79	45
3,600	313	274	240	206	172	139	105	72
3,700	339	300	266	232	199	165	132	98
3,800	365	326	292	259	225	192	158	124
3,900	391	352	318	285	251	218	184	151
4,000	417	378	345	311	278	244	211	177
4,100	443	404	371	338	304	271	237	203
4,200	470	431	397	364	330	297	263	230
4,300	496	457	424	390	357	323	290	256
4,400	522	483	450	416	383	349	316	282
4,500	549	510	476	443	409	376	342	309
4,600	575	536	503	469	436	402	369	335
4,700	601	562	529	495	462	428	395	361
4,800	627	589	555	522	488	455	421	388



PETITIONER:
RESPONDENT:

CASE NUMBER:

Change in Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. Red italic is a cost to Wife

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	2,100	2,200	2,300	2,400	2,500	2,600	2,700	2,800
500	52	78	105	131	158	184	210	237
600	12	39	65	92	118	144	171	197
700	0	0	26	52	79	105	131	158
800	0	0	0	13	39	66	92	118
900	0	0	0	0	0	26	53	79
1,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	42
1,100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
1,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,500	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,600	38	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,700	64	31	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,800	91	57	24	0	0	0	0	0
3,900	117	83	50	16	0	0	0	0
4,000	143	110	76	43	9	0	0	0
4,100	170	136	102	69	35	2	0	0
4,200	196	162	129	95	62	28	0	0
4,300	222	189	155	121	88	54	21	0
4,400	249	215	181	148	114	81	47	13
4,500	275	241	208	174	141	107	73	40
4,600	301	268	234	200	167	133	100	66
4,700	328	294	260	227	193	160	126	92
4,800	354	320	287	253	220	186	152	119



PETITIONER:
RESPONDENT:

CASE NUMBER:

Change in Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. *Red italic is a cost to Wife*

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	2,900	3,000	3,100	3,200	3,300	3,400	3,500	3,600
500	263	289	316	342	369	395	421	447
600	224	250	276	303	329	356	382	408
700	184	211	237	264	290	316	345	371
800	145	171	198	224	253	279	305	331
900	105	135	161	188	214	240	266	292
1,000	69	95	122	148	174	200	226	252
1,100	29	56	82	108	134	160	186	212
1,200	0	16	42	68	94	120	146	172
1,300	0	0	3	29	55	81	107	133
1,400	0	0	0	0	15	41	67	93
1,500	0	0	0	0	0	5	31	57
1,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22
1,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,500	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,600	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,700	59	25	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,800	85	52	19	0	0	0	0	0



PETITIONER:

RESPONDENT:

CASE NUMBER:

Change in Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. *Red italic is a cost to Wife*

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	3,700	3,800	3,900	4,000	4,100	4,200	4,300	4,400
500	473	499	525	554	580	606	632	658
600	437	463	489	515	541	567	593	619
700	397	423	449	475	501	527	553	579
800	357	383	409	435	461	487	513	539
900	318	344	370	396	422	448	474	500
1,000	278	304	330	356	382	408	434	460
1,100	238	264	290	316	342	368	394	420
1,200	198	224	250	276	302	328	354	380
1,300	159	185	211	237	263	289	315	341
1,400	119	145	171	197	223	249	275	301
1,500	83	109	135	161	187	213	239	265
1,600	48	74	100	126	152	178	205	231
1,700	14	40	66	92	118	145	171	197
1,800	0	6	32	59	85	111	138	164
1,900	0	0	0	25	51	78	104	130
2,000	0	0	0	0	18	44	70	97
2,100	0	0	0	0	0	10	37	63
2,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	29
2,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

PETITIONER:

RESPONDENT:

CASE NUMBER:

Change in Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. *Red italic is a cost to Wife*

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	4,500	4,600	4,700	4,800	4,900	5,000	5,100	5,200
500	684	710	736	762	788	814	840	866
600	645	671	697	723	749	775	801	827
700	605	631	657	683	709	735	761	787
800	565	591	617	643	669	695	721	747
900	526	552	578	604	630	656	682	708
1,000	486	512	538	564	590	616	642	668
1,100	446	472	498	524	550	576	602	628
1,200	406	432	458	484	510	536	562	588
1,300	367	393	419	445	471	497	523	549
1,400	327	353	379	405	431	458	484	510
1,500	291	317	343	370	396	422	448	475
1,600	257	283	310	336	362	389	415	441
1,700	224	250	276	303	329	355	382	408
1,800	190	216	243	269	295	322	348	374
1,900	157	183	209	236	262	288	314	341
2,000	123	149	176	202	228	255	281	307
2,100	89	116	142	168	195	221	247	274
2,200	56	82	108	135	161	187	214	240
2,300	22	48	75	101	127	154	180	206
2,400	0	15	41	67	94	120	146	173
2,500	0	0	8	34	60	86	113	139
2,600	0	0	0	0	27	53	79	105
2,700	0	0	0	0	0	19	46	72
2,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	38
2,900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
3,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

PETITIONER:
RESPONDENT:

CASE NUMBER:

Change in Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. *Red italic is a cost to Wife*

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	5,300	5,400	5,500	5,600	5,700	5,800	5,900	6,000
500	892	918	944	970	995	1,020	1,046	1,071
600	852	878	904	930	956	981	1,006	1,031
700	813	839	865	891	916	941	966	991
800	773	799	825	851	876	902	927	952
900	734	759	785	811	837	862	887	912
1,000	694	720	746	772	797	823	848	873
1,100	654	680	706	732	758	783	809	834
1,200	614	641	667	693	719	744	770	795
1,300	575	602	628	654	680	705	731	756
1,400	537	563	589	615	641	666	692	717
1,500	501	527	554	580	606	631	656	682
1,600	468	494	520	547	572	598	623	648
1,700	434	460	487	513	539	564	590	615
1,800	401	427	453	480	505	531	556	582
1,900	367	393	420	446	472	497	522	548
2,000	333	360	386	412	438	463	489	514
2,100	300	326	353	379	404	430	455	481
2,200	266	293	319	345	371	396	422	447
2,300	233	259	285	312	337	363	388	414
2,400	199	225	252	278	304	329	354	380
2,500	165	192	218	244	270	295	321	346
2,600	132	158	184	211	236	262	287	313
2,700	98	124	151	177	203	228	254	279
2,800	65	91	117	144	169	195	220	246
2,900	31	57	84	110	135	161	187	212
3,000	0	24	50	76	102	128	154	179
3,100	0	0	17	43	69	95	120	146
3,200	0	0	0	10	36	62	87	113
3,300	0	0	0	0	3	29	54	80
3,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	47
3,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
3,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



PETITIONER:
RESPONDENT:

CASE NUMBER:

Change in Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. *Red italic is a cost to Wife*

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	6,100	6,200	6,300	6,400	6,500	6,600	6,700	6,800
500	1,096	1,121	1,146	1,171	1,196	1,221	1,247	1,272
600	1,056	1,081	1,106	1,132	1,157	1,182	1,207	1,232
700	1,017	1,042	1,067	1,092	1,117	1,143	1,168	1,194
800	977	1,002	1,027	1,053	1,078	1,104	1,129	1,155
900	938	963	989	1,014	1,039	1,065	1,090	1,116
1,000	899	924	950	975	1,001	1,026	1,051	1,077
1,100	860	885	910	936	961	987	1,012	1,038
1,200	821	846	871	897	922	948	973	999
1,300	782	807	833	858	883	909	934	960
1,400	743	768	794	819	845	870	895	921
1,500	707	733	758	784	809	835	860	885
1,600	674	699	725	750	776	801	826	852
1,700	640	666	691	717	742	768	793	818
1,800	607	632	658	683	709	734	760	785
1,900	573	599	624	650	675	701	726	751
2,000	540	565	591	616	642	667	692	718
2,100	506	532	557	582	608	633	659	684
2,200	473	498	523	549	574	600	625	651
2,300	439	464	490	515	541	566	592	617
2,400	405	431	456	482	507	533	558	583
2,500	372	397	423	448	473	499	524	550
2,600	338	364	389	414	440	465	491	517
2,700	305	330	355	381	407	432	458	484
2,800	271	297	322	348	374	399	425	451
2,900	238	264	289	315	341	366	392	417
3,000	205	231	256	282	307	333	359	384
3,100	172	197	223	249	274	300	326	351
3,200	139	164	190	216	241	267	293	318
3,300	105	131	157	182	208	234	259	285
3,400	72	98	124	149	175	201	226	252
3,500	39	65	90	116	142	167	193	219
3,600	6	32	57	83	109	134	160	186
3,700	0	0	24	50	75	101	127	152
3,800	0	0	0	17	42	68	93	119
3,900	0	0	0	0	9	35	60	86
4,000	0	0	0	0	0	2	27	53
4,100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
4,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



PETITIONER:
RESPONDENT:

CASE NUMBER:

Change in Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. *Red italic is a cost to Wife*

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	6,900	7,000	7,100	7,200	7,300	7,400	7,500	7,600
500	1,297	1,322	1,348	1,373	1,398	1,424	1,449	1,474
600	1,258	1,283	1,309	1,334	1,360	1,385	1,410	1,435
700	1,219	1,244	1,270	1,295	1,321	1,346	1,371	1,396
800	1,180	1,206	1,231	1,256	1,282	1,307	1,332	1,357
900	1,141	1,167	1,192	1,217	1,243	1,268	1,293	1,318
1,000	1,102	1,128	1,153	1,179	1,204	1,229	1,255	1,280
1,100	1,063	1,088	1,114	1,139	1,165	1,190	1,215	1,240
1,200	1,024	1,050	1,075	1,100	1,126	1,151	1,176	1,201
1,300	985	1,011	1,036	1,061	1,087	1,112	1,137	1,162
1,400	946	972	997	1,023	1,048	1,073	1,099	1,124
1,500	911	936	962	987	1,013	1,038	1,063	1,088
1,600	877	903	928	954	979	1,005	1,030	1,055
1,700	844	869	895	920	946	971	996	1,021
1,800	810	836	861	887	912	938	963	988
1,900	777	802	828	853	879	904	929	954
2,000	743	769	794	820	845	870	896	921
2,100	710	735	761	786	811	837	862	887
2,200	676	701	727	752	778	803	828	853
2,300	642	668	693	719	744	770	795	820
2,400	609	634	660	685	711	737	762	787
2,500	575	601	627	652	678	704	729	754
2,600	542	568	594	619	645	671	696	721
2,700	509	535	561	586	612	637	663	688
2,800	476	502	527	553	579	604	630	655
2,900	443	469	494	520	546	571	597	622
3,000	410	436	461	487	513	538	564	589
3,100	377	403	428	454	480	505	530	556
3,200	344	369	395	421	446	472	497	523
3,300	311	336	362	388	413	439	464	489
3,400	277	303	329	354	380	406	431	456
3,500	244	270	296	321	347	373	398	423
3,600	211	237	262	288	314	339	365	390
3,700	178	204	229	255	281	306	332	357
3,800	145	171	196	222	247	273	298	324
3,900	112	137	163	189	214	240	265	290
4,000	78	104	130	155	181	207	232	257
4,100	45	71	97	122	148	174	199	224
4,200	12	38	63	89	115	140	166	191
4,300	0	5	30	56	82	107	132	158
4,400	0	0	0	23	48	74	99	125
4,500	0	0	0	0	15	41	66	91
4,600	0	0	0	0	0	8	33	58
4,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
4,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



PETITIONER:

RESPONDENT:

CASE NUMBER:

Change in Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. *Red italic is a cost to Wife*

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	7,700	7,800	7,900	8,000	8,100	8,200	8,300	8,400
500	1,499	1,524	1,549	1,574	1,599	1,624	1,649	1,674
600	1,460	1,485	1,510	1,535	1,560	1,585	1,610	1,635
700	1,421	1,446	1,471	1,496	1,521	1,546	1,571	1,597
800	1,382	1,407	1,432	1,458	1,483	1,508	1,533	1,558
900	1,343	1,369	1,394	1,419	1,444	1,469	1,494	1,519
1,000	1,305	1,330	1,355	1,380	1,405	1,430	1,455	1,480
1,100	1,265	1,290	1,315	1,340	1,365	1,390	1,416	1,441
1,200	1,226	1,251	1,276	1,302	1,327	1,352	1,377	1,402
1,300	1,187	1,213	1,238	1,263	1,288	1,313	1,338	1,363
1,400	1,149	1,174	1,199	1,224	1,249	1,274	1,299	1,324
1,500	1,113	1,138	1,163	1,188	1,213	1,238	1,263	1,288
1,600	1,080	1,105	1,130	1,155	1,180	1,205	1,230	1,255
1,700	1,046	1,071	1,096	1,121	1,146	1,171	1,196	1,222
1,800	1,013	1,038	1,063	1,088	1,113	1,138	1,163	1,188
1,900	979	1,004	1,029	1,054	1,079	1,104	1,129	1,155
2,000	946	971	996	1,021	1,046	1,071	1,096	1,121
2,100	912	937	962	987	1,013	1,038	1,063	1,088
2,200	879	904	929	954	980	1,005	1,030	1,055
2,300	846	871	896	921	947	972	997	1,022
2,400	812	838	863	888	913	939	964	989
2,500	779	805	830	855	880	906	931	956
2,600	746	772	797	822	847	873	898	923
2,700	713	739	764	789	814	839	865	890
2,800	680	705	731	756	781	806	832	857
2,900	647	672	698	723	748	773	799	824
3,000	614	639	665	690	715	740	766	791
3,100	581	606	631	657	682	707	732	758
3,200	548	573	598	624	649	674	699	725
3,300	515	540	565	590	616	641	666	691
3,400	481	507	532	557	582	608	633	658
3,500	448	474	499	524	549	575	600	625
3,600	415	440	466	491	516	541	567	592
3,700	382	407	433	458	483	508	533	559
3,800	349	374	399	425	450	475	500	526
3,900	316	341	366	391	417	442	467	492
4,000	283	308	333	358	384	409	434	459
4,100	249	275	300	325	350	376	401	426
4,200	216	241	267	292	317	342	368	393
4,300	183	208	234	259	284	309	335	360
4,400	150	175	200	226	251	276	301	327
4,500	117	142	167	193	218	243	268	294
4,600	84	109	134	159	185	210	235	260
4,700	50	76	101	126	151	177	202	227
4,800	17	43	68	93	118	143	169	194

PETITIONER:

RESPONDENT:

CASE NUMBER:

Change in Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. *Red italic is a cost to Wife*

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	8,500	8,600	8,700	8,800	8,900	9,000	9,100	9,200
500	1,699	1,724	1,749	1,774	1,800	1,825	1,850	1,875
600	1,660	1,686	1,711	1,736	1,761	1,786	1,811	1,836
700	1,622	1,647	1,672	1,697	1,722	1,747	1,772	1,797
800	1,583	1,608	1,633	1,658	1,683	1,708	1,733	1,758
900	1,544	1,569	1,594	1,619	1,644	1,669	1,694	1,719
1,000	1,505	1,530	1,555	1,580	1,605	1,630	1,655	1,680
1,100	1,466	1,491	1,516	1,541	1,566	1,591	1,616	1,641
1,200	1,427	1,452	1,477	1,502	1,527	1,552	1,577	1,602
1,300	1,388	1,413	1,438	1,463	1,488	1,513	1,538	1,563
1,400	1,349	1,374	1,399	1,424	1,449	1,474	1,499	1,524
1,500	1,313	1,339	1,364	1,389	1,414	1,439	1,464	1,489
1,600	1,280	1,305	1,330	1,355	1,380	1,405	1,430	1,455
1,700	1,247	1,272	1,297	1,322	1,347	1,372	1,397	1,422
1,800	1,213	1,238	1,263	1,288	1,314	1,339	1,364	1,389
1,900	1,180	1,205	1,230	1,255	1,281	1,306	1,331	1,356
2,000	1,147	1,172	1,197	1,222	1,248	1,273	1,298	1,323
2,100	1,114	1,139	1,164	1,189	1,215	1,240	1,265	1,290
2,200	1,081	1,106	1,131	1,156	1,182	1,207	1,232	1,257
2,300	1,047	1,073	1,098	1,123	1,148	1,174	1,199	1,224
2,400	1,014	1,040	1,065	1,090	1,115	1,141	1,166	1,191
2,500	981	1,007	1,032	1,057	1,082	1,108	1,133	1,158
2,600	948	974	999	1,024	1,049	1,075	1,100	1,125
2,700	915	940	966	991	1,016	1,041	1,067	1,092
2,800	882	907	933	958	983	1,008	1,034	1,059
2,900	849	874	900	925	950	975	1,001	1,026
3,000	816	841	867	892	917	942	968	993
3,100	783	808	833	859	884	909	934	960
3,200	750	775	800	825	851	876	901	926
3,300	717	742	767	792	818	843	868	893
3,400	683	709	734	759	784	810	835	860
3,500	650	676	701	726	751	777	802	827
3,600	617	642	668	693	718	743	769	794
3,700	584	609	634	660	685	710	735	761
3,800	551	576	601	627	652	677	702	728
3,900	518	543	568	593	619	644	669	694
4,000	485	510	535	560	586	611	636	661
4,100	451	477	502	527	552	578	603	628
4,200	418	443	469	494	519	544	570	595
4,300	385	410	436	461	486	511	537	562
4,400	352	377	402	428	453	478	503	529
4,500	319	344	369	394	420	445	470	495
4,600	286	311	336	361	387	412	437	462
4,700	252	278	303	328	353	379	404	429
4,800	219	245	270	295	320	346	371	396

PETITIONER:
RESPONDENT:

CASE NUMBER:

Change in Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. *Red italic is a cost to Wife*

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	9,300	9,400	9,500	9,600	9,700	9,800	9,900	10,000
500	1,900	1,925	1,950	1,975	2,000	2,025	2,050	2,076
600	1,861	1,886	1,911	1,936	1,961	1,986	2,012	2,037
700	1,822	1,847	1,872	1,897	1,922	1,947	1,973	1,998
800	1,783	1,808	1,833	1,858	1,883	1,908	1,934	1,959
900	1,744	1,769	1,794	1,819	1,844	1,869	1,895	1,920
1,000	1,705	1,730	1,755	1,780	1,805	1,830	1,856	1,881
1,100	1,666	1,691	1,716	1,741	1,766	1,791	1,817	1,842
1,200	1,627	1,652	1,677	1,702	1,727	1,752	1,778	1,803
1,300	1,588	1,613	1,638	1,663	1,688	1,713	1,739	1,764
1,400	1,549	1,574	1,599	1,624	1,649	1,675	1,700	1,726
1,500	1,514	1,539	1,564	1,589	1,614	1,640	1,665	1,691
1,600	1,481	1,506	1,531	1,556	1,582	1,607	1,633	1,658
1,700	1,448	1,473	1,498	1,523	1,549	1,574	1,600	1,625
1,800	1,415	1,440	1,465	1,490	1,516	1,541	1,567	1,592
1,900	1,382	1,407	1,432	1,457	1,483	1,508	1,534	1,559
2,000	1,349	1,374	1,399	1,424	1,450	1,475	1,501	1,526
2,100	1,316	1,341	1,366	1,391	1,417	1,442	1,468	1,493
2,200	1,282	1,308	1,333	1,358	1,383	1,409	1,435	1,460
2,300	1,249	1,275	1,300	1,325	1,350	1,376	1,401	1,427
2,400	1,216	1,242	1,267	1,292	1,317	1,343	1,368	1,394
2,500	1,183	1,209	1,234	1,259	1,284	1,310	1,335	1,361
2,600	1,150	1,176	1,201	1,226	1,251	1,277	1,302	1,328
2,700	1,117	1,142	1,168	1,193	1,218	1,244	1,269	1,295
2,800	1,084	1,109	1,135	1,160	1,185	1,210	1,236	1,262
2,900	1,051	1,076	1,102	1,127	1,152	1,177	1,203	1,229
3,000	1,018	1,043	1,069	1,094	1,119	1,144	1,170	1,196
3,100	985	1,010	1,035	1,061	1,086	1,111	1,137	1,163
3,200	952	977	1,002	1,027	1,053	1,078	1,104	1,129
3,300	919	944	969	994	1,020	1,045	1,071	1,096
3,400	885	911	936	961	986	1,012	1,037	1,063
3,500	852	878	903	928	953	979	1,004	1,030
3,600	819	844	870	895	920	945	971	997
3,700	786	811	836	862	887	912	938	964
3,800	753	778	803	829	854	879	905	930
3,900	720	745	770	795	821	846	872	897
4,000	686	712	737	762	787	813	839	864
4,100	653	679	704	729	754	780	805	831
4,200	620	645	671	696	721	747	772	798
4,300	587	612	638	663	688	713	739	765
4,400	554	579	604	630	655	680	706	732
4,500	521	546	571	596	622	647	673	698
4,600	488	513	538	563	589	614	640	665
4,700	454	480	505	530	555	581	606	632
4,800	421	447	472	497	522	548	573	599

PETITIONER:	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	

Change in Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. Red italic is a cost to Wife

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages	Husband's Gross Overtime Wages							
	500	600	700	800	900	1,000	1,100	1,200
4,300	<i>813</i>	<i>773</i>	<i>733</i>	<i>694</i>	<i>654</i>	<i>614</i>	<i>575</i>	<i>535</i>
4,400	<i>839</i>	<i>799</i>	<i>759</i>	<i>720</i>	<i>680</i>	<i>640</i>	<i>601</i>	<i>561</i>
4,500	<i>865</i>	<i>825</i>	<i>785</i>	<i>746</i>	<i>706</i>	<i>666</i>	<i>627</i>	<i>587</i>
4,600	<i>891</i>	<i>851</i>	<i>811</i>	<i>772</i>	<i>732</i>	<i>692</i>	<i>653</i>	<i>614</i>
4,700	<i>917</i>	<i>877</i>	<i>837</i>	<i>798</i>	<i>758</i>	<i>718</i>	<i>679</i>	<i>640</i>
4,800	<i>943</i>	<i>903</i>	<i>863</i>	<i>824</i>	<i>784</i>	<i>744</i>	<i>705</i>	<i>666</i>
4,900	<i>969</i>	<i>929</i>	<i>889</i>	<i>850</i>	<i>810</i>	<i>771</i>	<i>732</i>	<i>693</i>
5,000	<i>995</i>	<i>955</i>	<i>915</i>	<i>876</i>	<i>836</i>	<i>797</i>	<i>758</i>	<i>719</i>

PETITIONER:	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	

Change in Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. Red italic is a cost to Wife

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	1,300	1,400	1,500	1,600	1,700	1,800	1,900	2,000
4,300	<i>496</i>	<i>457</i>	<i>424</i>	<i>390</i>	<i>357</i>	<i>323</i>	<i>290</i>	<i>256</i>
4,400	<i>522</i>	<i>483</i>	<i>450</i>	<i>416</i>	<i>383</i>	<i>349</i>	<i>316</i>	<i>282</i>
4,500	<i>549</i>	<i>510</i>	<i>476</i>	<i>443</i>	<i>409</i>	<i>376</i>	<i>342</i>	<i>309</i>
4,600	<i>575</i>	<i>536</i>	<i>503</i>	<i>469</i>	<i>436</i>	<i>402</i>	<i>369</i>	<i>335</i>
4,700	<i>601</i>	<i>562</i>	<i>529</i>	<i>495</i>	<i>462</i>	<i>428</i>	<i>395</i>	<i>361</i>
4,800	<i>627</i>	<i>589</i>	<i>555</i>	<i>522</i>	<i>488</i>	<i>455</i>	<i>421</i>	<i>388</i>
4,900	<i>654</i>	<i>615</i>	<i>581</i>	<i>548</i>	<i>515</i>	<i>481</i>	<i>447</i>	<i>414</i>
5,000	<i>680</i>	<i>641</i>	<i>608</i>	<i>574</i>	<i>541</i>	<i>507</i>	<i>474</i>	<i>440</i>

PETITIONER: RESPONDENT:	CASE NUMBER:
--------------------------------	--------------

Change in Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. *Red italic is a cost to Wife*

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	2,100	2,200	2,300	2,400	2,500	2,600	2,700	2,800
4,300	<i>222</i>	<i>189</i>	<i>155</i>	<i>121</i>	<i>88</i>	<i>54</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>0</i>
4,400	<i>249</i>	<i>215</i>	<i>181</i>	<i>148</i>	<i>114</i>	<i>81</i>	<i>47</i>	<i>13</i>
4,500	<i>275</i>	<i>241</i>	<i>208</i>	<i>174</i>	<i>141</i>	<i>107</i>	<i>73</i>	<i>40</i>
4,600	<i>301</i>	<i>268</i>	<i>234</i>	<i>200</i>	<i>167</i>	<i>133</i>	<i>100</i>	<i>66</i>
4,700	<i>328</i>	<i>294</i>	<i>260</i>	<i>227</i>	<i>193</i>	<i>160</i>	<i>126</i>	<i>92</i>
4,800	<i>354</i>	<i>320</i>	<i>287</i>	<i>253</i>	<i>220</i>	<i>186</i>	<i>152</i>	<i>119</i>
4,900	<i>380</i>	<i>347</i>	<i>313</i>	<i>279</i>	<i>246</i>	<i>212</i>	<i>179</i>	<i>145</i>
5,000	<i>407</i>	<i>373</i>	<i>339</i>	<i>306</i>	<i>272</i>	<i>239</i>	<i>205</i>	<i>171</i>

PETITIONER: RESPONDENT:	CASE NUMBER:
--------------------------------	--------------

Change in Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. *Red italic is a cost to Wife*

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	2,900	3,000	3,100	3,200	3,300	3,400	3,500	3,600
4,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,500	<i>6</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,600	<i>32</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,700	<i>59</i>	<i>25</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,800	<i>85</i>	<i>52</i>	<i>19</i>	0	0	0	0	0
4,900	<i>111</i>	<i>78</i>	<i>45</i>	<i>12</i>	0	0	0	0
5,000	<i>138</i>	<i>105</i>	<i>72</i>	<i>38</i>	<i>5</i>	0	0	0

PETITIONER:	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	

Change in Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. *Red italic is a cost to Wife*

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	3,700	3,800	3,900	4,000	4,100	4,200	4,300	4,400
4,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

PETITIONER:	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	

Change in Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. *Red italic is a cost to Wife*

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	4,500	4,600	4,700	4,800	4,900	5,000	5,100	5,200
4,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



PETITIONER:	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	

Change in Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. *Red italic is a cost to Wife*

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	5,300	5,400	5,500	5,600	5,700	5,800	5,900	6,000
4,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

PETITIONER:	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	

Change in Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. *Red italic is a cost to Wife*

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	6,100	6,200	6,300	6,400	6,500	6,600	6,700	6,800
4,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



PETITIONER:	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	

Change in Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. *Red italic is a cost to Wife*

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	6,900	7,000	7,100	7,200	7,300	7,400	7,500	7,600
4,300	0	5	30	56	82	107	132	158
4,400	0	0	0	23	48	74	99	125
4,500	0	0	0	0	15	41	66	91
4,600	0	0	0	0	0	8	33	58
4,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
4,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

PETITIONER:	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	

Change in Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. *Red italic is a cost to Wife*

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	7,700	7,800	7,900	8,000	8,100	8,200	8,300	8,400
4,300	183	208	234	259	284	309	335	360
4,400	150	175	200	226	251	276	301	327
4,500	117	142	167	193	218	243	268	294
4,600	84	109	134	159	185	210	235	260
4,700	50	76	101	126	151	177	202	227
4,800	17	43	68	93	118	143	169	194
4,900	0	9	35	60	85	110	136	161
5,000	0	0	1	27	52	77	102	128

PETITIONER:	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	

Change in Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. *Red italic is a cost to Wife*

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	8,500	8,600	8,700	8,800	8,900	9,000	9,100	9,200
4,300	385	410	436	461	486	511	537	562
4,400	352	377	402	428	453	478	503	529
4,500	319	344	369	394	420	445	470	495
4,600	286	311	336	361	387	412	437	462
4,700	252	278	303	328	353	379	404	429
4,800	219	245	270	295	320	346	371	396
4,900	186	211	237	262	287	312	338	363
5,000	153	178	203	229	254	279	304	330

PETITIONER:	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	

Change in Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. *Red italic is a cost to Wife*

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	9,300	9,400	9,500	9,600	9,700	9,800	9,900	10,000
4,300	587	612	638	663	688	713	739	765
4,400	554	579	604	630	655	680	706	732
4,500	521	546	571	596	622	647	673	698
4,600	488	513	538	563	589	614	640	665
4,700	454	480	505	530	555	581	606	632
4,800	421	447	472	497	522	548	573	599
4,900	388	413	439	464	489	514	540	566
5,000	355	380	405	431	456	481	507	533

PETITIONER:
RESPONDENT:

CASE NUMBER:

Total Alameda Spousal Support

Blue is a cost to Husband. Red italic is a cost to Wife

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages	Husband's Gross Overtime Wages							
	500	600	700	800	900	1,000	1,100	1,200
500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,300	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,400	44	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,500	73	33	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,600	100	60	21	0	0	0	0	0
1,700	127	87	48	9	0	0	0	0
1,800	154	114	75	36	0	0	0	0
1,900	181	142	102	63	24	0	0	0
2,000	208	168	129	89	50	11	0	0
2,100	234	195	155	116	76	37	0	0
2,200	261	221	182	142	103	63	24	0
2,300	287	248	208	169	129	90	50	11
2,400	313	274	234	195	156	116	77	37
2,500	340	300	261	221	182	143	103	64
2,600	366	327	287	248	208	169	129	90
2,700	393	353	314	274	235	195	156	116
2,800	419	380	340	301	261	222	182	143
2,900	445	406	366	327	288	248	209	169
3,000	472	432	393	353	314	275	235	196
3,100	498	459	419	380	340	301	262	222
3,200	525	485	446	406	367	327	288	249
3,300	551	512	472	433	393	354	314	275
3,400	577	538	499	459	420	380	341	301
3,500	604	564	525	486	446	407	367	327
3,600	630	591	551	512	472	433	393	353
3,700	657	617	578	538	498	459	419	379
3,800	683	643	603	564	524	484	445	405
3,900	709	669	629	590	550	510	471	431
4,000	735	695	655	616	576	536	497	457
4,100	761	721	681	642	602	562	523	483
4,200	787	747	707	668	628	588	549	509
4,300	813	773	733	694	654	614	575	535
4,400	839	799	759	720	680	640	601	561
4,500	865	825	785	746	706	666	627	587
4,600	891	851	811	772	732	692	653	614
4,700	917	877	837	798	758	718	679	640
4,800	943	903	863	824	784	744	705	666



PETITIONER:
RESPONDENT:

CASE NUMBER:

Total Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. Red italic is a cost to Wife

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	1,300	1,400	1,500	1,600	1,700	1,800	1,900	2,000
500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,500	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,600	51	11	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,700	77	38	4	0	0	0	0	0
2,800	103	64	30	0	0	0	0	0
2,900	130	91	56	22	0	0	0	0
3,000	156	117	83	49	15	0	0	0
3,100	183	143	109	75	41	7	0	0
3,200	209	170	136	101	67	34	0	0
3,300	236	196	162	127	93	60	26	0
3,400	262	222	188	153	120	86	53	19
3,500	287	248	214	180	146	113	79	45
3,600	313	274	240	206	172	139	105	72
3,700	339	300	266	232	199	165	132	98
3,800	365	326	292	259	225	192	158	124
3,900	391	352	318	285	251	218	184	151
4,000	417	378	345	311	278	244	211	177
4,100	443	404	371	338	304	271	237	203
4,200	470	431	397	364	330	297	263	230
4,300	496	457	424	390	357	323	290	256
4,400	522	483	450	416	383	349	316	282
4,500	549	510	476	443	409	376	342	309
4,600	575	536	503	469	436	402	369	335
4,700	601	562	529	495	462	428	395	361
4,800	627	589	555	522	488	455	421	388

PETITIONER:
RESPONDENT:

CASE NUMBER:

Total Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. Red italic is a cost to Wife

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	2,100	2,200	2,300	2,400	2,500	2,600	2,700	2,800
500	52	78	105	131	158	184	210	237
600	12	39	65	92	118	144	171	197
700	0	0	26	52	79	105	131	158
800	0	0	0	13	39	66	92	118
900	0	0	0	0	0	26	53	79
1,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	42
1,100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
1,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,500	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,600	38	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,700	64	31	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,800	91	57	24	0	0	0	0	0
3,900	117	83	50	16	0	0	0	0
4,000	143	110	76	43	9	0	0	0
4,100	170	136	102	69	35	2	0	0
4,200	196	162	129	95	62	28	0	0
4,300	222	189	155	121	88	54	21	0
4,400	249	215	181	148	114	81	47	13
4,500	275	241	208	174	141	107	73	40
4,600	301	268	234	200	167	133	100	66
4,700	328	294	260	227	193	160	126	92
4,800	354	320	287	253	220	186	152	119



PETITIONER:
RESPONDENT:

CASE NUMBER:

Total Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. *Red italic is a cost to Wife*

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	2,900	3,000	3,100	3,200	3,300	3,400	3,500	3,600
500	263	289	316	342	369	395	421	447
600	224	250	276	303	329	356	382	408
700	184	211	237	264	290	316	345	371
800	145	171	198	224	253	279	305	331
900	105	135	161	188	214	240	266	292
1,000	69	95	122	148	174	200	226	252
1,100	29	56	82	108	134	160	186	212
1,200	0	16	42	68	94	120	146	172
1,300	0	0	3	29	55	81	107	133
1,400	0	0	0	0	15	41	67	93
1,500	0	0	0	0	0	5	31	57
1,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22
1,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1,900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,500	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,600	32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,700	59	25	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,800	85	52	19	0	0	0	0	0



PETITIONER:
RESPONDENT:

CASE NUMBER:

Total Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. *Red italic is a cost to Wife*

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	3,700	3,800	3,900	4,000	4,100	4,200	4,300	4,400
500	473	499	525	554	580	606	632	658
600	437	463	489	515	541	567	593	619
700	397	423	449	475	501	527	553	579
800	357	383	409	435	461	487	513	539
900	318	344	370	396	422	448	474	500
1,000	278	304	330	356	382	408	434	460
1,100	238	264	290	316	342	368	394	420
1,200	198	224	250	276	302	328	354	380
1,300	159	185	211	237	263	289	315	341
1,400	119	145	171	197	223	249	275	301
1,500	83	109	135	161	187	213	239	265
1,600	48	74	100	126	152	178	205	231
1,700	14	40	66	92	118	145	171	197
1,800	0	6	32	59	85	111	138	164
1,900	0	0	0	25	51	78	104	130
2,000	0	0	0	0	18	44	70	97
2,100	0	0	0	0	0	10	37	63
2,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	29
2,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2,900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



PETITIONER:
RESPONDENT:

CASE NUMBER:

Total Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. *Red italic is a cost to Wife*

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	4,500	4,600	4,700	4,800	4,900	5,000	5,100	5,200
500	684	710	736	762	788	814	840	866
600	645	671	697	723	749	775	801	827
700	605	631	657	683	709	735	761	787
800	565	591	617	643	669	695	721	747
900	526	552	578	604	630	656	682	708
1,000	486	512	538	564	590	616	642	668
1,100	446	472	498	524	550	576	602	628
1,200	406	432	458	484	510	536	562	588
1,300	367	393	419	445	471	497	523	549
1,400	327	353	379	405	431	458	484	510
1,500	291	317	343	370	396	422	448	475
1,600	257	283	310	336	362	389	415	441
1,700	224	250	276	303	329	355	382	408
1,800	190	216	243	269	295	322	348	374
1,900	157	183	209	236	262	288	314	341
2,000	123	149	176	202	228	255	281	307
2,100	89	116	142	168	195	221	247	274
2,200	56	82	108	135	161	187	214	240
2,300	22	48	75	101	127	154	180	206
2,400	0	15	41	67	94	120	146	173
2,500	0	0	8	34	60	86	113	139
2,600	0	0	0	0	27	53	79	105
2,700	0	0	0	0	0	19	46	72
2,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	38
2,900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
3,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



PETITIONER:	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	

Total Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. *Red italic is a cost to Wife*

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages	5,300	5,400	5,500	5,600	5,700	5,800	5,900	6,000
500	892	918	944	970	995	1,020	1,046	1,071
600	852	878	904	930	956	981	1,006	1,031
700	813	839	865	891	916	941	966	991
800	773	799	825	851	876	902	927	952
900	734	759	785	811	837	862	887	912
1,000	694	720	746	772	797	823	848	873
1,100	654	680	706	732	758	783	809	834
1,200	614	641	667	693	719	744	770	795
1,300	575	602	628	654	680	705	731	756
1,400	537	563	589	615	641	666	692	717
1,500	501	527	554	580	606	631	656	682
1,600	468	494	520	547	572	598	623	648
1,700	434	460	487	513	539	564	590	615
1,800	401	427	453	480	505	531	556	582
1,900	367	393	420	446	472	497	522	548
2,000	333	360	386	412	438	463	489	514
2,100	300	326	353	379	404	430	455	481
2,200	266	293	319	345	371	396	422	447
2,300	233	259	285	312	337	363	388	414
2,400	199	225	252	278	304	329	354	380
2,500	165	192	218	244	270	295	321	346
2,600	132	158	184	211	236	262	287	313
2,700	98	124	151	177	203	228	254	279
2,800	65	91	117	144	169	195	220	246
2,900	31	57	84	110	135	161	187	212
3,000	0	24	50	76	102	128	154	179
3,100	0	0	17	43	69	95	120	146
3,200	0	0	0	10	36	62	87	113
3,300	0	0	0	0	3	29	54	80
3,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	47
3,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
3,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3,900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

PETITIONER:

RESPONDENT:

CASE NUMBER:

Total Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. *Red italic is a cost to Wife*

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	6,100	6,200	6,300	6,400	6,500	6,600	6,700	6,800
500	1,096	1,121	1,146	1,171	1,196	1,221	1,247	1,272
600	1,056	1,081	1,106	1,132	1,157	1,182	1,207	1,232
700	1,017	1,042	1,067	1,092	1,117	1,143	1,168	1,194
800	977	1,002	1,027	1,053	1,078	1,104	1,129	1,155
900	938	963	989	1,014	1,039	1,065	1,090	1,116
1,000	899	924	950	975	1,001	1,026	1,051	1,077
1,100	860	885	910	936	961	987	1,012	1,038
1,200	821	846	871	897	922	948	973	999
1,300	782	807	833	858	883	909	934	960
1,400	743	768	794	819	845	870	895	921
1,500	707	733	758	784	809	835	860	885
1,600	674	699	725	750	776	801	826	852
1,700	640	666	691	717	742	768	793	818
1,800	607	632	658	683	709	734	760	785
1,900	573	599	624	650	675	701	726	751
2,000	540	565	591	616	642	667	692	718
2,100	506	532	557	582	608	633	659	684
2,200	473	498	523	549	574	600	625	651
2,300	439	464	490	515	541	566	592	617
2,400	405	431	456	482	507	533	558	583
2,500	372	397	423	448	473	499	524	550
2,600	338	364	389	414	440	465	491	517
2,700	305	330	355	381	407	432	458	484
2,800	271	297	322	348	374	399	425	451
2,900	238	264	289	315	341	366	392	417
3,000	205	231	256	282	307	333	359	384
3,100	172	197	223	249	274	300	326	351
3,200	139	164	190	216	241	267	293	318
3,300	105	131	157	182	208	234	259	285
3,400	72	98	124	149	175	201	226	252
3,500	39	65	90	116	142	167	193	219
3,600	6	32	57	83	109	134	160	186
3,700	0	0	24	50	75	101	127	152
3,800	0	0	0	17	42	68	93	119
3,900	0	0	0	0	9	35	60	86
4,000	0	0	0	0	0	2	27	53
4,100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20
4,200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,400	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,600	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

PETITIONER:

RESPONDENT:

CASE NUMBER:

Total Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. *Red italic is a cost to Wife*

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	6,900	7,000	7,100	7,200	7,300	7,400	7,500	7,600
500	1,297	1,322	1,348	1,373	1,398	1,424	1,449	1,474
600	1,258	1,283	1,309	1,334	1,360	1,385	1,410	1,435
700	1,219	1,244	1,270	1,295	1,321	1,346	1,371	1,396
800	1,180	1,206	1,231	1,256	1,282	1,307	1,332	1,357
900	1,141	1,167	1,192	1,217	1,243	1,268	1,293	1,318
1,000	1,102	1,128	1,153	1,179	1,204	1,229	1,255	1,280
1,100	1,063	1,088	1,114	1,139	1,165	1,190	1,215	1,240
1,200	1,024	1,050	1,075	1,100	1,126	1,151	1,176	1,201
1,300	985	1,011	1,036	1,061	1,087	1,112	1,137	1,162
1,400	946	972	997	1,023	1,048	1,073	1,099	1,124
1,500	911	936	962	987	1,013	1,038	1,063	1,088
1,600	877	903	928	954	979	1,005	1,030	1,055
1,700	844	869	895	920	946	971	996	1,021
1,800	810	836	861	887	912	938	963	988
1,900	777	802	828	853	879	904	929	954
2,000	743	769	794	820	845	870	896	921
2,100	710	735	761	786	811	837	862	887
2,200	676	701	727	752	778	803	828	853
2,300	642	668	693	719	744	770	795	820
2,400	609	634	660	685	711	737	762	787
2,500	575	601	627	652	678	704	729	754
2,600	542	568	594	619	645	671	696	721
2,700	509	535	561	586	612	637	663	688
2,800	476	502	527	553	579	604	630	655
2,900	443	469	494	520	546	571	597	622
3,000	410	436	461	487	513	538	564	589
3,100	377	403	428	454	480	505	530	556
3,200	344	369	395	421	446	472	497	523
3,300	311	336	362	388	413	439	464	489
3,400	277	303	329	354	380	406	431	456
3,500	244	270	296	321	347	373	398	423
3,600	211	237	262	288	314	339	365	390
3,700	178	204	229	255	281	306	332	357
3,800	145	171	196	222	247	273	298	324
3,900	112	137	163	189	214	240	265	290
4,000	78	104	130	155	181	207	232	257
4,100	45	71	97	122	148	174	199	224
4,200	12	38	63	89	115	140	166	191
4,300	0	5	30	56	82	107	132	158
4,400	0	0	0	23	48	74	99	125
4,500	0	0	0	0	15	41	66	91
4,600	0	0	0	0	0	8	33	58
4,700	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
4,800	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

PETITIONER: RESPONDENT:	CASE NUMBER:
--------------------------------	--------------

Total Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. *Red italic is a cost to Wife*

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	7,700	7,800	7,900	8,000	8,100	8,200	8,300	8,400
500	1,499	1,524	1,549	1,574	1,599	1,624	1,649	1,674
600	1,460	1,485	1,510	1,535	1,560	1,585	1,610	1,635
700	1,421	1,446	1,471	1,496	1,521	1,546	1,571	1,597
800	1,382	1,407	1,432	1,458	1,483	1,508	1,533	1,558
900	1,343	1,369	1,394	1,419	1,444	1,469	1,494	1,519
1,000	1,305	1,330	1,355	1,380	1,405	1,430	1,455	1,480
1,100	1,265	1,290	1,315	1,340	1,365	1,390	1,416	1,441
1,200	1,226	1,251	1,276	1,302	1,327	1,352	1,377	1,402
1,300	1,187	1,213	1,238	1,263	1,288	1,313	1,338	1,363
1,400	1,149	1,174	1,199	1,224	1,249	1,274	1,299	1,324
1,500	1,113	1,138	1,163	1,188	1,213	1,238	1,263	1,288
1,600	1,080	1,105	1,130	1,155	1,180	1,205	1,230	1,255
1,700	1,046	1,071	1,096	1,121	1,146	1,171	1,196	1,222
1,800	1,013	1,038	1,063	1,088	1,113	1,138	1,163	1,188
1,900	979	1,004	1,029	1,054	1,079	1,104	1,129	1,155
2,000	946	971	996	1,021	1,046	1,071	1,096	1,121
2,100	912	937	962	987	1,013	1,038	1,063	1,088
2,200	879	904	929	954	980	1,005	1,030	1,055
2,300	846	871	896	921	947	972	997	1,022
2,400	812	838	863	888	913	939	964	989
2,500	779	805	830	855	880	906	931	956
2,600	746	772	797	822	847	873	898	923
2,700	713	739	764	789	814	839	865	890
2,800	680	705	731	756	781	806	832	857
2,900	647	672	698	723	748	773	799	824
3,000	614	639	665	690	715	740	766	791
3,100	581	606	631	657	682	707	732	758
3,200	548	573	598	624	649	674	699	725
3,300	515	540	565	590	616	641	666	691
3,400	481	507	532	557	582	608	633	658
3,500	448	474	499	524	549	575	600	625
3,600	415	440	466	491	516	541	567	592
3,700	382	407	433	458	483	508	533	559
3,800	349	374	399	425	450	475	500	526
3,900	316	341	366	391	417	442	467	492
4,000	283	308	333	358	384	409	434	459
4,100	249	275	300	325	350	376	401	426
4,200	216	241	267	292	317	342	368	393
4,300	183	208	234	259	284	309	335	360
4,400	150	175	200	226	251	276	301	327
4,500	117	142	167	193	218	243	268	294
4,600	84	109	134	159	185	210	235	260
4,700	50	76	101	126	151	177	202	227
4,800	17	43	68	93	118	143	169	194

PETITIONER:	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	

Total Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. *Red italic is a cost to Wife*

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	8,500	8,600	8,700	8,800	8,900	9,000	9,100	9,200
500	1,699	1,724	1,749	1,774	1,800	1,825	1,850	1,875
600	1,660	1,686	1,711	1,736	1,761	1,786	1,811	1,836
700	1,622	1,647	1,672	1,697	1,722	1,747	1,772	1,797
800	1,583	1,608	1,633	1,658	1,683	1,708	1,733	1,758
900	1,544	1,569	1,594	1,619	1,644	1,669	1,694	1,719
1,000	1,505	1,530	1,555	1,580	1,605	1,630	1,655	1,680
1,100	1,466	1,491	1,516	1,541	1,566	1,591	1,616	1,641
1,200	1,427	1,452	1,477	1,502	1,527	1,552	1,577	1,602
1,300	1,388	1,413	1,438	1,463	1,488	1,513	1,538	1,563
1,400	1,349	1,374	1,399	1,424	1,449	1,474	1,499	1,524
1,500	1,313	1,339	1,364	1,389	1,414	1,439	1,464	1,489
1,600	1,280	1,305	1,330	1,355	1,380	1,405	1,430	1,455
1,700	1,247	1,272	1,297	1,322	1,347	1,372	1,397	1,422
1,800	1,213	1,238	1,263	1,288	1,314	1,339	1,364	1,389
1,900	1,180	1,205	1,230	1,255	1,281	1,306	1,331	1,356
2,000	1,147	1,172	1,197	1,222	1,248	1,273	1,298	1,323
2,100	1,114	1,139	1,164	1,189	1,215	1,240	1,265	1,290
2,200	1,081	1,106	1,131	1,156	1,182	1,207	1,232	1,257
2,300	1,047	1,073	1,098	1,123	1,148	1,174	1,199	1,224
2,400	1,014	1,040	1,065	1,090	1,115	1,141	1,166	1,191
2,500	981	1,007	1,032	1,057	1,082	1,108	1,133	1,158
2,600	948	974	999	1,024	1,049	1,075	1,100	1,125
2,700	915	940	966	991	1,016	1,041	1,067	1,092
2,800	882	907	933	958	983	1,008	1,034	1,059
2,900	849	874	900	925	950	975	1,001	1,026
3,000	816	841	867	892	917	942	968	993
3,100	783	808	833	859	884	909	934	960
3,200	750	775	800	825	851	876	901	926
3,300	717	742	767	792	818	843	868	893
3,400	683	709	734	759	784	810	835	860
3,500	650	676	701	726	751	777	802	827
3,600	617	642	668	693	718	743	769	794
3,700	584	609	634	660	685	710	735	761
3,800	551	576	601	627	652	677	702	728
3,900	518	543	568	593	619	644	669	694
4,000	485	510	535	560	586	611	636	661
4,100	451	477	502	527	552	578	603	628
4,200	418	443	469	494	519	544	570	595
4,300	385	410	436	461	486	511	537	562
4,400	352	377	402	428	453	478	503	529
4,500	319	344	369	394	420	445	470	495
4,600	286	311	336	361	387	412	437	462
4,700	252	278	303	328	353	379	404	429
4,800	219	245	270	295	320	346	371	396

PETITIONER: RESPONDENT:	CASE NUMBER:
--------------------------------	--------------

Total Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. *Red italic is a cost to Wife*

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	9,300	9,400	9,500	9,600	9,700	9,800	9,900	10,000
500	1,900	1,925	1,950	1,975	2,000	2,025	2,050	2,076
600	1,861	1,886	1,911	1,936	1,961	1,986	2,012	2,037
700	1,822	1,847	1,872	1,897	1,922	1,947	1,973	1,998
800	1,783	1,808	1,833	1,858	1,883	1,908	1,934	1,959
900	1,744	1,769	1,794	1,819	1,844	1,869	1,895	1,920
1,000	1,705	1,730	1,755	1,780	1,805	1,830	1,856	1,881
1,100	1,666	1,691	1,716	1,741	1,766	1,791	1,817	1,842
1,200	1,627	1,652	1,677	1,702	1,727	1,752	1,778	1,803
1,300	1,588	1,613	1,638	1,663	1,688	1,713	1,739	1,764
1,400	1,549	1,574	1,599	1,624	1,649	1,675	1,700	1,726
1,500	1,514	1,539	1,564	1,589	1,614	1,640	1,665	1,691
1,600	1,481	1,506	1,531	1,556	1,582	1,607	1,633	1,658
1,700	1,448	1,473	1,498	1,523	1,549	1,574	1,600	1,625
1,800	1,415	1,440	1,465	1,490	1,516	1,541	1,567	1,592
1,900	1,382	1,407	1,432	1,457	1,483	1,508	1,534	1,559
2,000	1,349	1,374	1,399	1,424	1,450	1,475	1,501	1,526
2,100	1,316	1,341	1,366	1,391	1,417	1,442	1,468	1,493
2,200	1,282	1,308	1,333	1,358	1,383	1,409	1,435	1,460
2,300	1,249	1,275	1,300	1,325	1,350	1,376	1,401	1,427
2,400	1,216	1,242	1,267	1,292	1,317	1,343	1,368	1,394
2,500	1,183	1,209	1,234	1,259	1,284	1,310	1,335	1,361
2,600	1,150	1,176	1,201	1,226	1,251	1,277	1,302	1,328
2,700	1,117	1,142	1,168	1,193	1,218	1,244	1,269	1,295
2,800	1,084	1,109	1,135	1,160	1,185	1,210	1,236	1,262
2,900	1,051	1,076	1,102	1,127	1,152	1,177	1,203	1,229
3,000	1,018	1,043	1,069	1,094	1,119	1,144	1,170	1,196
3,100	985	1,010	1,035	1,061	1,086	1,111	1,137	1,163
3,200	952	977	1,002	1,027	1,053	1,078	1,104	1,129
3,300	919	944	969	994	1,020	1,045	1,071	1,096
3,400	885	911	936	961	986	1,012	1,037	1,063
3,500	852	878	903	928	953	979	1,004	1,030
3,600	819	844	870	895	920	945	971	997
3,700	786	811	836	862	887	912	938	964
3,800	753	778	803	829	854	879	905	930
3,900	720	745	770	795	821	846	872	897
4,000	686	712	737	762	787	813	839	864
4,100	653	679	704	729	754	780	805	831
4,200	620	645	671	696	721	747	772	798
4,300	587	612	638	663	688	713	739	765
4,400	554	579	604	630	655	680	706	732
4,500	521	546	571	596	622	647	673	698
4,600	488	513	538	563	589	614	640	665
4,700	454	480	505	530	555	581	606	632
4,800	421	447	472	497	522	548	573	599

PETITIONER: RESPONDENT:	CASE NUMBER:
--------------------------------	--------------

Total Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. Red italic is a cost to Wife

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages	Husband's Gross Overtime Wages							
	500	600	700	800	900	1,000	1,100	1,200
4,900	<i>969</i>	<i>929</i>	<i>889</i>	<i>850</i>	<i>810</i>	<i>771</i>	<i>732</i>	<i>693</i>
5,000	<i>995</i>	<i>955</i>	<i>915</i>	<i>876</i>	<i>836</i>	<i>797</i>	<i>758</i>	<i>719</i>



PETITIONER:	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	

Total Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. Red italic is a cost to Wife

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages	1,300	1,400	1,500	1,600	1,700	1,800	1,900	2,000
4,900	<i>654</i>	<i>615</i>	<i>581</i>	<i>548</i>	<i>515</i>	<i>481</i>	<i>447</i>	<i>414</i>
5,000	<i>680</i>	<i>641</i>	<i>608</i>	<i>574</i>	<i>541</i>	<i>507</i>	<i>474</i>	<i>440</i>

PETITIONER:	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	

Total Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. Red italic is a cost to Wife

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	2,100	2,200	2,300	2,400	2,500	2,600	2,700	2,800
4,900	<i>380</i>	<i>347</i>	<i>313</i>	<i>279</i>	<i>246</i>	<i>212</i>	<i>179</i>	<i>145</i>
5,000	<i>407</i>	<i>373</i>	<i>339</i>	<i>306</i>	<i>272</i>	<i>239</i>	<i>205</i>	<i>171</i>



PETITIONER:	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	

Total Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. Red italic is a cost to Wife

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	2,900	3,000	3,100	3,200	3,300	3,400	3,500	3,600
4,900	<i>111</i>	<i>78</i>	<i>45</i>	<i>12</i>	0	0	0	0
5,000	<i>138</i>	<i>105</i>	<i>72</i>	<i>38</i>	<i>5</i>	0	0	0



PETITIONER:	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	

Total Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. *Red italic is a cost to Wife*

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	3,700	3,800	3,900	4,000	4,100	4,200	4,300	4,400
4,900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



PETITIONER:	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	

Total Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. Red italic is a cost to Wife

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	4,500	4,600	4,700	4,800	4,900	5,000	5,100	5,200
4,900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



PETITIONER:	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	

Total Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. Red italic is a cost to Wife

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	5,300	5,400	5,500	5,600	5,700	5,800	5,900	6,000
4,900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



PETITIONER:	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	

Total Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. Red italic is a cost to Wife

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	6,100	6,200	6,300	6,400	6,500	6,600	6,700	6,800
4,900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



PETITIONER:	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	

Total Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. Red italic is a cost to Wife

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	6,900	7,000	7,100	7,200	7,300	7,400	7,500	7,600
4,900	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0



PETITIONER:	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	

Total Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. Red italic is a cost to Wife

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	7,700	7,800	7,900	8,000	8,100	8,200	8,300	8,400
4,900	0	9	35	60	85	110	136	161
5,000	0	0	1	27	52	77	102	128



PETITIONER:	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	

Total Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. *Red italic is a cost to Wife*

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	8,500	8,600	8,700	8,800	8,900	9,000	9,100	9,200
4,900	186	211	237	262	287	312	338	363
5,000	153	178	203	229	254	279	304	330



PETITIONER:	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	

Total Alameda Spousal Support, cont'd

Blue is a cost to Husband. *Red italic is a cost to Wife*

Wife's Gross Overtime Wages								
	9,300	9,400	9,500	9,600	9,700	9,800	9,900	10,000
4,900	388	413	439	464	489	514	540	566
5,000	355	380	405	431	456	481	507	533

